# I Brazilian guideline on hypertension in dialysis of the Brazilian Society of Nephrology

I Diretriz Brasileira de hipertensão arterial na diálise da Sociedade Brasileira de Nefrologia

#### Authors

Cibele Isaac Saad Rodrigues<sup>1,2,3</sup>D Sebastião Rodrigues Ferreira-Filho1,400 Ana Flávia de Souza Moura<sup>1,2,5</sup>D Carlos Eduardo Poli-de-Figueiredo<sup>1,6</sup> Dirceu Reis da Silva<sup>1,7</sup> Fernanda Salomão Gorayeb Polacchini<sup>1,2,8</sup> Fernando Antônio de Almeida<sup>1,2,3</sup>D Maria Eliete Pinheiro<sup>1,9</sup> Rodrigo Bezerra<sup>1,10</sup> Rogério Baumgratz de Paula<sup>1,2,11</sup>iD Aldo José Peixoto<sup>12</sup> Ana Elizabeth Prado Lima Figueiredo Audes Diógenes Magalhães Feitosa<sup>10,13</sup> Carlos Alberto Machado<sup>2,13,14</sup> Celso Amodeo13 Décio Mion Junior<sup>1,2,13,16</sup> Elizabeth Silaid Muxfeldt<sup>2,13,17</sup> Giovanio Vieira da Silva<sup>1,16</sup>D José Andrade Moura-Neto<sup>1,5</sup> José Muniz Pazeli Júnior<sup>1,18</sup> Leda Daud Lotaif<sup>1,15</sup> Luciano F. Drager<sup>1,2,16</sup> Luis Cuadrado Martín<sup>1,2,19</sup>D Luiz Aparecido Bortolotto<sup>2,13,16</sup>D Marcus Gomes Bastos<sup>1,11</sup>D Marcus Vinícius Bolívar Malachias13,20 Marcos Vinícius Paiva Cavalcanti Moreira<sup>1</sup> Maria Eugenia Fernandes Canziani<sup>1,21</sup> Roberto Dischinger Miranda<sup>13,21</sup> Roberto Jorge da Silva Franco<sup>1,2,19</sup>D Roberto Pecoits Filho<sup>1,22,23</sup> Rogerio Andrade Mulinari<sup>1,24</sup> Rosilene Motta Elias<sup>1,16,25</sup> Weimar Kunz Sebba Barroso<sup>13,26</sup>D Wilson Nadruz<sup>2,13,27</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Brazilian Society of Nephrology, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>Brazilian Society of Hypertension, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. <sup>3</sup>Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo, Sorocaba, SP, Brazil.

## ABSTRACT

Hypertension in dialysis patients (HTND) has a high prevalence, affecting at least 80% or more of patients, and its management in the nephrology practice is heterogeneous and often empirical. Knowing how to define, understand the pathophysiology, diagnose, monitor and treat with lifestyle changes, and adjust antihypertensive drugs to achieve the recommended blood pressure (BP) target - to reduce morbidity and mortality - requires specific knowledge and approaches within the contexts of hemodialysis (HD) and peritoneal dialysis (PD). This document is the first guideline of the Brazilian Society of Nephrology, developed by the departments of Hypertension and Dialysis. It aims to guide physicians who provide care in dialysis centers on how to manage patients with HTND, in a comprehensive and individualized manner, based on the critical appraisal of the best available scientific evidence. When such evidence is scarce or unavailable, the opinion of specialists should be recommended. The different topics covered include HTND definition (pre-HD BP  $\geq$  140/90 mmHg and post-HD BP  $\geq$  130/80 mmHg), epidemiology, and pathophysiology; diagnosis of HTND preferably with BP measurements outside the dialysis setting (BP  $\geq$  130/80 mmHg); complementary assessment; blood pressure targets; non-pharmacological treatment; use of the most appropriate antihypertensive medications; special situations; and complications of HTND, predominantly cardiovascular ones.

Keywords: Hypertension; Kidney Dialysis; Peritoneal Dialysis; Risk Factors; Pharmacological Treatment; Clinical Practice Guidelines as Topic; Chronic Kidney Failure; Renal Hypertension; Blood Pressure Determination; Chronic Kidney Insufficiency.

### **R**esumo

A hipertensão arterial em pacientes em diálise (HAD) tem alta prevalência, de pelo menos 80% ou mais, e seu manejo na prática do nefrologista ocorre de forma heterogênea e, frequentemente, empírica. Saber definir, conhecer a fisiopatologia, diagnosticar, acompanhar e tratar com mudanças no estilo de vida, e adequar os medicamentos anti-hipertensivos para alcançar a meta de pressão arterial (PA) recomendada, com vistas à redução da morbidade e mortalidade, requerem conhecimentos e abordagens específicos nos contextos da hemodiálise (HD) e da diálise peritoneal (DP). Este documento é a primeira diretriz da Sociedade Brasileira de Nefrologia, elaborada pelos departamentos de Hipertensão e de Diálise, que visa orientar os médicos que prestam assistência em centros de diálise a como manejar pacientes com HAD, de forma integral e individualizada, com base no julgamento crítico das melhores evidências científicas disponíveis e, quando essas são escassas ou indisponíveis, indicar a opinião de especialistas. Os diferentes temas abordados envolvem: a definição (PA pré-HD  $\geq$  140/90 mmHg e PA pós- $HD \ge 130/80 \text{ mmHg}$ , epidemiologia e fisiopatologia; diagnóstico da HAD preferencialmente com medidas da PA fora do ambiente de diálise (PA  $\geq$ 130/80 mmHg); avaliação complementar; metas pressóricas; tratamento não medicamentoso; uso dos antihipertensivos mais adequados; situações especiais; e complicações da HAD, predominantemente as cardiovasculares.

Descritores: Hipertensão; Diálise Renal; Diálise Peritoneal; Fatores de Risco; Tratamento Farmacológico; Guias de Prática Clínica Como Assunto; Falência Renal Crônica; Hipertensão Renal; Determinação da Pressão Arterial; Insuficiência Renal Crônica.

> () BY

<sup>4</sup>Universidade Federal de Uberlândia. Uberlândia, MG, Brazil. <sup>5</sup>Escola Bahiana de Medicina e Saúde Pública, Salvador, BA, Brazil. <sup>6</sup>Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS. Brazil. <sup>7</sup>Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil. <sup>8</sup>Hospital de Base de São José do Rio Preto, São José do Rio Preto, SP. Brazil. <sup>9</sup>Universidade Federal de Alagoas, Alagoas, AL, Brazil. <sup>10</sup>Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, PE, Brazil. <sup>11</sup>Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora, Juiz de Fora, MG, Brazil. <sup>12</sup>Yale University, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, United States. <sup>13</sup>Brazilian Society of Cardiology, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. <sup>14</sup>Secretaria Municipal de Saúde de Campos do Jordão, Campos do Jordão, SP, Brazil. <sup>15</sup>Hospital do Coração da Associação Beneficente Síria de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. <sup>16</sup>Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. <sup>17</sup>Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. <sup>18</sup>Faculdade de Medicina de Barbacena, Barbacena, MG, Brazil. <sup>19</sup>Universidade Estadual Paulista, Botucatu, SP, Brazil. <sup>20</sup>Faculdade de Ciências Médicas de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil <sup>21</sup>Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. <sup>22</sup>Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil. <sup>23</sup>Arbor Research Collaborative for Health, Ann Arbor, United States. <sup>24</sup>Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil. <sup>25</sup>Universidade Nove de Julho, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. <sup>26</sup>Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiania, GO, Brazil. <sup>27</sup>Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brazil.

Implementation: Brazilian Society of Nephrology, Department of Hypertension and Department of Dialysis

**General coordinators:** Cibele Isaac Saad Rodrigues and Sebastião Rodrigues Ferreira-Filho

Chapter coordinators: Cibele Isaac Saad Rodrigues, Sebastião Rodrigues Ferreira-Filho, Ana Flávia Moura, Carlos Eduardo Poli de Figueiredo, Dirceu Reis da Silva, Fernanda Salomão Gorayeb Polacchini, Fernando Antônio de Almeida, Maria Eliete Pinheiro, Rodrigo Bezerra, Rogério Baumgratz de Paula.

Submitted on: 02/19/2024. Approved on: 08/01/2024. Published on: mm/dd/2024.

**Correspondence to:** Cibele Isaac Saad Rodrigues.

Email: cibele.sr@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/2175-8239-JBN-2024-0033en

#### **A**BBREVIATIONS

ABPM: ambulatory blood pressure monitoring ACEI: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors ADMA: asymmetric dimethylarginine AF: atrial fibrillation AMI: acute myocardial infarction ARBs: angiotensin II receptor blockers AS: arterial stiffness **BB:** beta-blockers BIA: Bioimpedance analysis BMI: body mass index BNP: B-type natriuretic peptide BP: blood pressure BSN: Brazilian Society of Nephrology CAD: coronary artery disease CAP: central arterial pressure CCB: calcium channel blockers CH: controlled hypertension CKD: chronic kidney disease CKD 5D: chronic kidney disease with dialysis patient CNS: central nervous system CO: cardiac output CV: cardiovascular CVA: cerebrovascular accident CVD: cardiovascular disease DBP: diastolic blood pressure DM: diabetes mellitus DW: dry weight ED: endothelial dysfunction EPO: human recombinant erythropoietin ET1: endothelin GFR: glomerular filtration rate HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring HD: hemodialysis

## GRADE OF RECOMMENDATIONS AND LEVELS OF EVIDENCE<sup>1</sup>

The recommendations were stratified into Classes or Grades I, IIa, IIb, or III and levels of evidence, described as follows:

### CLASSES (DEGREES) OF RECOMMENDATION

Class I – Conditions for which there is conclusive evidence, or if not, general agreement that a given treatment or procedure is safe/beneficial and useful/ effective;

Class II – Conditions for which there is conflicting evidence and/or differing opinions about the safety and benefit/ efficacy of the given treatment or procedure;

Class IIA – The majority of evidence/ opinion is in favor of the given treatment or procedure. The majority approves; HDF: high-volume online hemodiafiltration HF: heart failure HTN: hypertension IDH: intradialytic hypertension IDWG: interdialytic weight gain KDIGO: KIDNEY DISEASES IMPROVING GLOBAL OUTCOMES LV: left ventricle LVH: left ventricular hypertrophy MH: masked hypertension MRA: mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists MUH: masked uncontrolled hypertension NH: nocturnal hypertension NO: nitric oxide NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide OSA: obstructive sleep apnea syndrome PD: peritoneal dialysis POAD: peripheral obstructive artery disease PP: pulse pressure PRA: plasma renin activity RAAS: renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system RCT: randomized controlled trials RRF: residual renal function RRT: renal replacement therapy RV: right ventricle SBP: systolic blood pressure SH: sustained hypertension SHTN: systolic hypertension SMBP: self-measured blood pressure SNS: sympathetic nervous system SUH: sustained uncontrolled hypertension SVR: systemic vascular resistance TI: therapeutic inertia TN: true normotension UF: ultrafiltration WCH: white coat hypertension WCUH: white coat uncontrolled hypertension

Class IIB – Safety and benefit/ efficacy is less well established, with no predominance of opinion;

Class III – Conditions for which there is evidence and/or consensus that the procedure or treatment is not useful/ effective and in some cases may even be harmful.

#### LEVELS OF EVIDENCE

Level A – Data from multiple welldesigned, concordant randomized controlled trials and/or robust metaanalysis of randomized clinical studies;

Level B – Data from a less robust meta-analysis, from a single randomized study or from large non-randomized (observational) studies;

Level C – Data from the consensus of expert opinions and/or small studies, retrospective trials, and registries.

## INTRODUCTION

The primary objective of this publication is to provide nephrologists caring for chronic kidney disease patients on dialysis with the best available scientific evidence on the different aspects of HTN, from its pathophysiology to treatment. This is an unprecedented document in Portuguese language, designed to be useful to nephrologists in their daily clinical practice.

The SBN departments involved in this initiative aim for the recommendations and suggestions expressed here to have national repercussions, contributing to a better approach and treatment for individuals requiring dialysis therapies, with consequent benefits in reducing CV and renal morbidity and mortality.

It is a fact that even the prestigious KDIGO (Kidney Diseases Improving Global Outcomes), in its guidelines on HTN in CKD, did not postulate recommendations for stage 5D. This is likely due to the lack of randomized controlled trials, and systematic reviews and meta-analyses in this population that could support recommendations with high levels of confidence and quality.

Currently in Brazil, according to the 2022 Census of the Brazilian Society of Nephrology, there are 872 dialysis centers, with an estimated prevalence of 153,831 patients in stage 5D, with 91% on HD. HTN is identified as the direct cause responsible for CKD 5D in approximately 34% of cases, and it is present as a comorbidity in over 80% of patients in dialysis programs. This means that there is a major problem to be tackled, with a potential therapeutic inertia (TI) that could be responsible for worse morbidity and mortality outcomes<sup>2</sup>.

DEFINITION, EPIDEMIOLOGY, AND PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF HYPERTENSION IN DIALYSIS PATIENTS

## DEFINITION/EPIDEMIOLOGY

HTND is defined based on observations previously established for the general population, with thresholds for determining normotension or hypertension varying across different guidelines<sup>3</sup>. Measuring BP in dialysis patients thus poses a challenge to standardization. Ideally, BP should be based on home interdialytic assessments with HBPM or ABPM, following the recommended standardization<sup>4,5</sup>.

In epidemiological terms, HTN occurs in more than 80% of dialysis patients, and is often poorly controlled<sup>6</sup>.

Key messages:

- IDH has an estimated prevalence of 5% to 15% and is correlated with hospitalizations and mortality<sup>7</sup> (Class I/Level B);
- Many studies show the presence of reverse epidemiology, with J or U-shaped curves<sup>8</sup> (Class I/Level B).

For the diagnosis of HTN, it is recommended:

- HBPM: mean ≥ 130/80 mmHg, considering the day of installation and additional 6 consecutive days (see protocol in Chapter 3);
- ABPM in HD: mean ≥ 130/80 mmHg in 44h (see protocol in Chapter 3);
- ABPM in PD: mean ≥ 130/80 mmHg in 24h (see protocol in Chapter 3);
- HBPM/ABPM unavailable: measurements taken on a non-dialysis day, midweek for HD, or at the office for PD;
- IDH is defined as an increase ≥ 10 mmHg in SBP during or immediately after HD in 4 out of 6 sessions. Motivates further evaluation<sup>7</sup>.

Key messages:

- Pre-, intra- and post-HD BP measurements are inaccurate for diagnosis, but useful for diagnosing IDH and for hemodynamic control<sup>9</sup> (Class I/Level B).
- The diagnostic threshold for pre-HD is > 140/90 mmHg, and post-HD > 130/80 mmHg<sup>10</sup> (Class I/Level B).

### PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF HTND

The pathophysiology of HTND is complex and multifactorial, encompassing both general and CKD-specific factors<sup>5</sup> (Figure 1). However, most patients with CKD 5D have HTN and DM as their main causes. This means they represent a population with HTN that may precede the onset of dialysis therapy by decades. Additionally, patients with CKD of other etiologies also present with HTN, often secondary to the baseline kidney disease.

# Relationship between volume overload and hypertension in CKD

The role of hypervolemia in HTND could be evidenced by the reduction in BP associated with intensified UF. In a study, daily, long-term HD resulted in BP control in about 90% of patients<sup>11</sup>, with a dissociation observed between achieving the estimated DW and BP control, verified weeks or months after reaching



Abbreviations – CKD Grade 5D: chronic kidney disease stage 5 on dialysis; OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; Na+: sodium; RRT: renal replacement therapy; RAAS: renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system; SNS: sympathetic nervous system; EPO: recombinant human erythropoietin. **Figure 1.** Pathophysiology of hypertension in patients undergoing dialysis treatment.

euvolemia. This phenomenon, known as the "lag phenomenon", appears to be related to the additional removal of salt as UF is intensified<sup>12</sup>. Similar results have been observed in Turkey<sup>13</sup>. Common strategies in these studies included restricting salt in the diet and extended dialysis sessions. A randomized study, in which UF intensification was achieved without extending the HD session length, confirmed a significant improvement in BP control in patients who reached the estimated DW, compared to those in the control group<sup>14</sup>.

In PD patients, few studies have assessed the relationship between hypervolemia and HTN<sup>15</sup>. One of them evaluated PD patients undergoing intensified UF, with a 5% reduction in body weight<sup>16</sup>. After 5 weeks, a reduction in body weight, extracellular water, and inspiratory diameter of the inferior vena cava were observed, along with improved BP control. Hypervolemia appears to play a predominant role in HTN in PD patients.

In recent decades, a new element has been associated with excess sodium in CKD. In 2003, Titze

et al.<sup>17</sup> demonstrated the sodium retention complexed to glycosaminoglycans in the skin and muscles of animal models, therefore without water retention. Non-osmotic sodium accumulation creates a "buffer" system for sodium storage18, with macrophage recruitment, induction of the tonicity-responsive enhancer-binding protein (TonEPB) gene expression, and vascular endothelial growth factor C (VEGF-C), which induce hypertrophy of lymphatic vessels, NO release, and vasodilation. However, in situations of excess sodium or impaired sodium excretion, the functioning of this "buffer" system is compromised, leading to increased sodium in the skin and muscles, with immune system activation, inflammation, and fibrosis<sup>19</sup>. Increased subcutaneous sodium content, detectable by magnetic resonance imaging, contributes to HTN and increased CV events in chronic kidney patients<sup>20,21</sup>.

Key message:

• The role of hypervolemia in HTN in CKD stage 5D could be evidenced by the BP reduction associated with intensified UF (Class I/Level B).

In PD patients, it also appears to play a leading role in HTN (Class I/Level C).

# Parameters interfering with BP: sodium/composition of dialysis solution

Dialysis patients are typically hypervolemic and hypertensive, especially due to exacerbated salt sensitivity, inappropriate activation of the RAAS in response to sodium intake, and decreased natriuresis<sup>22</sup>. The body's sodium pool includes skin and muscle deposits, particularly in diabetics<sup>23</sup>, with partial removal by HD<sup>21</sup>, and impacts on BP control and LVH<sup>24</sup>. When it persists at the end of HD, HTN may translate into residual hypervolemia<sup>25</sup>, as well as in the interdialytic interval<sup>26</sup>.

Different sodium concentrations in the dialysis solution may be related to volume and BP control<sup>27–31</sup> and, if higher than serum concentrations, result in increased IDWG, without correlating with BP variations<sup>27</sup>. Patients subjected to lower sodium concentrations in the dialysis solution experienced a higher occurrence of intradialytic hypotension<sup>27–29,31</sup>, reduced IDWG<sup>28–31</sup>, lower BP<sup>29–31</sup>, and minimized use of antihypertensives<sup>29</sup>, but with no positive effects on the reduction of LVH<sup>28</sup>, hospitalization rates<sup>27</sup>, and mortality<sup>27</sup>. An ongoing study aims to evaluate the effect of different dialysate sodium concentrations on CV events and mortality in HD patients (RESOLVE, NCT02823821).

In addition, pre-HD natremia usually approaches the physiological level of individual adjustment (set point), and it is possible to adopt a dialysis solution sodium concentration similar to this level (isonatremic dialysis), based on sodium removal by convection and avoiding positive balance<sup>32</sup>.

Key messages:

- Caution is advised when increasing or decreasing the sodium of the dialysis solution, since high sodium levels increase the IDWG and, conversely, low sodium levels reduce the IDWG and BP, but increase the risk of intradialytic hypotension (Class I/Level B).
- There is no indication to adjust the sodium concentration in the dialysis solution with the aim of reducing hospitalization or mortality (Class I/Level B).

#### ULTRAFILTRATION (UF) RATE

High UF rates are associated with hypotension, but if they are too low, they could perpetuate hypervolemia, the main determinant of HTN<sup>6</sup>. The relationship between UF and BP reduction is consistent, but in hypertensive patients it may be influenced by other factors involved in the pathophysiology of HTN<sup>33</sup>.

Essential to avoid hypotension, the maintenance of plasma volume during HD depends on refilling (absorption of interstitial and lymphatic fluids in the microcirculation), which *per se* is independent of whether or not higher UF rates are adopted<sup>34</sup>.

Counterregulatory compensatory mechanisms to UF are reflex tachycardia and vasoconstriction. Therefore, patients with autonomic and/or ventricular dysfunction may develop intradialytic hypotension even with low UF rates<sup>35</sup>. High UF rates are associated with intradialytic hypotension, reduced RRF, and increased mortality<sup>35–39</sup>. The adoption of UF rates > 13 mL/kg/h<sup>35–39</sup> seemed to be deleterious overall, and UF rates > 10 mL/kg/h<sup>36,37,39</sup> are harmful, especially in the presence of ventricular dysfunction<sup>35</sup>. In a HD regimen of 1 to 2 times a week, even lower UF rates (less than 6 mL/Kg/h) appear to be necessary<sup>36</sup>. If RRF remains preserved, the association between high UF rates and mortality is attenuated<sup>36</sup>.

Hypotension is associated with an accelerated reduction in RRF, mitigating its positive effect on mortality, both in HD and PD<sup>40</sup>. It is recommended to increase either the HD session length or its frequency to avoid UF rates > 13 mL/kg/h while still managing hypervolemia<sup>9,41</sup>. Essentially, these UF rate limits may be adopted, but a set of factors should also be considered, including intradialytic hemodynamics, comorbidities, symptoms, and medication use<sup>41</sup>.

Key messages:

- It is recommended to avoid high UF rates, i.e. > 13 mL/kg/h, as they are associated with increased mortality, intradialytic hypotension, and an accelerated reduction in RRF.
- It is recommended that in patients with ventricular dysfunction, the suggested threshold is even lower (up to 10 mL/kg/h) (Class I/Level B).

# RENIN-ANGIOTENSIN-ALDOSTERONE SYSTEM (RAAS) ACTIVATION

The kidneys can synthesize all components of the RAAS, even in dialysis patients<sup>42</sup>, in whom PRA is inappropriately elevated in relation to hypervolemia. These data are reinforced by the increase in PRA following dialysis sessions, indicating that remaining nephrons may perceive sodium variations and increase

RAAS activity. Angiotensin II exerts deleterious effects by stimulating aldosterone production, and sodium retention by causing endothelial damage and stimulating the SNS<sup>42-44</sup>. The administration of lisinopril to HD patients resulted in improved BP control, as assessed by 44-hour ABPM, when compared to the control group<sup>45</sup>.

In addition to Angiotensin II, the vasculotoxic action of aldosterone has been shown, especially in the presence of salt. This finding suggests that aldosterone may play a permissive role in sodium toxicity in the endothelium, thereby becoming a risk factor for CV complications<sup>46</sup>.

Accordingly, blockade of mineralocorticoid receptors with spironolactone resulted in improved BP control and reduced CV mortality in HD patients<sup>47</sup>. Thus, aldosterone contributes to the worsening of HTN by exerting genomic and non-genomic effects, inducing inflammation and vascular toxicity in the presence of excess salt.

Key message:

• It is recommended to block RAAS due to its inadequate activation, despite hypervolemia, which significantly contributes to the genesis of HTN and to increased CV risk in CKD patients (Class I/Level B).

#### SYMPATHETIC NERVOUS SYSTEM (SNS) ACTIVATION

Activation of the SNS in CKD is one of the main mechanisms related to the pathophysiology of HTN. Factors responsible for SNS hyperactivity in CKD include reduced bioavailability of NO, ED, uremic toxicity, and inflammation, as well as a high prevalence of OSA<sup>48–50</sup>.

Increased plasma norepinephrine concentrations and sympathetic activity, assessed through muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA), highlight the importance of the SNS in the pathophysiology of HTN in CKD. Renal sympathetic afferent nerves innervating the renal arteries, and CNS efferents stimulated by B1 receptors, cause renal arteriolar vasoconstriction and RAAS activation, with a consequent increase in renal vascular resistance and sodium retention<sup>51</sup>. CKD patients exhibit renalase deficiency, an enzyme produced by the kidneys and responsible for metabolizing catecholamines<sup>52</sup>.

SNS hyperactivity also contributes to CV mortality, being associated with atherosclerotic disease, LVH, and the presence of cardiac arrhythmias, which account for approximately 25% of deaths in dialysis patients<sup>53</sup>. Sympathetic hyperactivity is confirmed by improved BP control following native kidney nephrectomy in patients with CKD stage 5D<sup>54</sup>, and by renal denervation in patients at different CKD stages<sup>55</sup> and on HD<sup>56</sup>. Finally, the administration of BB in dialysis patients reduces CKD progression and mortality<sup>57,58</sup>.

Key message:

• SNS blockade is recommended for the treatment of HTN, as sympathetic hyperactivity is an important pathophysiological mechanism in HTN and mortality in CKD (Class I/Level A).

### ENDOTHELIAL DYSFUNCTION

It is reasonable to state that endothelial disfunction associated with HTN in patients on HD or PD precedes the diagnosis of CKD by decades, considering that most CKD patients have DM and/or HTN, or are elderly and present several CV risk factors. A study in rats subjected to 5/6 nephrectomy documented the reduction in endothelial NO synthase activity, resulting in lower NO availability and elevated BP59. The reduction in NO supply has been attributed to changes in the metabolism of pteridines, which are aromatic compounds that act as cofactors in various inflammatory and immunological processes<sup>60</sup>. In patients with stage 5D CKD, the reduction in the BH4/ BH2 ratio - compounds belonging to the pteridine group - was associated with a reduction in endothelial NO availability, inflammation/malnutrition processes, and CVD61. Also, the oxidative stress present in CKD<sup>62</sup>, and particularly the increased plasma levels of asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), interfere with NO production and are associated with LVH and CV mortality in HD patients<sup>63-65</sup>. ADMA is an endogenous inhibitor of NO synthesis, which accumulates due to reductions in GFR and intracellular metabolization65.

Patients with stage 5D CKD have elevated ET1 levels, which contribute to the worsening of HTN<sup>66</sup> and play a significant role in the occurrence of IDH<sup>67</sup>.

Key message:

• Main message: The pre-existing endothelial dysfunction experienced by most CKD patients becomes even more severe as GFR decreases, thus contributing to the pathophysiology of HTN and the occurrence of CV events and death (Class II/Level B).

## INCREASED ARTERIAL STIFFNESS (AS)

AS causes an increase in peripheral and central BP, such as SBP, PP, and LV mass, as well as reductions in DBP and coronary perfusion. AS may also be considered an independent factor for CV mortality and CKD progression.

The mechanisms involved in AS in patients with CKD are not yet fully defined, but they include arterial calcification, chronic volume overload, mechanical stress due to HTN, chronic microinflammation, sympathetic and RAAS hyperactivity, accumulation of glucose degradation products, lipid peroxidation, and abnormalities in the NO system. Even a mild reduction in GFR is a risk factor for the development of AS. UF in HD is not capable of significantly reversing/decreasing AS<sup>68–71</sup>.

AS is multifactorial and some of these are exclusive to CKD: a) high phosphorus levels, which activate genes related to the osteoblast phenotype in smooth muscle cells, leading to arterial calcification<sup>72</sup>; b) protein-energy malnutrition, which is common in CKD and causes an increase in AS<sup>73</sup>; c) elevated ADMA; d) increased FGF 23; e) reduced magnesium<sup>74–76</sup>. Low serum concentrations of fetuin-A are associated with vascular calcification in CKD<sup>77</sup>.

### OBSTRUCTIVE SLEEP APNEA (OSA) IN HTND

OSA is defined as a partial or complete collapse of the upper airways during sleep, causing sleep fragmentation and intermittent hypoxemia. The diagnosis and severity of OSA are based on the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) obtained through polysomnography or polygraphy: up to 4.9 = noOSA; 5.0 to 14.9 = mild OSA; 15 to 29.9 = moderate OSA; and  $\geq$  30 events per hour = severe OSA<sup>78</sup>.

OSA is highly prevalent in the dialysis population, affecting 50% to 70% of patients. When associated with HTN, it exhibits pathophysiological mechanisms that are amplified, most notably hypervolemia<sup>79,80</sup>.

The clinical picture is poorly specific, with a lower prevalence of snoring and daytime sleepiness reported in CKD 5D<sup>81</sup>. Indication for an objective sleep examination should be considered more liberally in these patients. Severe OSA may be related to resistant and refractory HTN<sup>82</sup>.

Once moderate or severe OSA has been identified, regardless of BP behavior, treatment should be individualized. The indication for continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) during sleep may not be the first choice in dialysis patients. To reduce hypervolemia in this subgroup, UF optimization techniques, such as extended nocturnal HD, or PD with cycler machines, have proven effective in small clinical trials<sup>78</sup>.

### Key message:

• The clinical picture of OSA in patients with CKD 5D is poorly specific. The indication for an objective sleep examination (polysomnography or polygraphy) should be considered in a more liberal and individualized manner, as should the use of CPAP (Class IIB/Level C).

#### DRUGS THAT INTERFERE WITH BLOOD PRESSURE CONTROL

Increased BP is a well-recognized complication of EPO therapy in HD patients<sup>83</sup>. Approximately 30% of patients develop HTN or require adjustment of antihypertensive medication after a few weeks or months. The pathophysiology of HTN due to EPO use appears to be independent of its effect on red blood cell mass and viscosity<sup>84</sup>. The most likely mechanisms involve increased production or enhanced response to ET-1, a pronounced increase in BP response to angiotensin II infusion, and hypersensitivity to norepinephrine<sup>83</sup>. There are reports of an association between abnormalities in the circadian rhythm of BP and the use of EPO in ABPM3. Preventing EPOinduced hypertension is a clinical challenge with several possible management strategies listed below as recommendations<sup>83,84</sup>.

Finally, the use of drugs that notoriously raise BP should be avoided, which are already extensively mentioned in the Brazilian Hypertension Guidelines<sup>4</sup>.

### Key messages:

In EPO-induced HTN refractory to antihypertensive management, the following are recommended:

- Attention to dry weight (Class IIA/Level B);
- Preference for subcutaneous route of EPO administration (Class IIA/Level B);
- Reduce hemoglobin target (Class IIA/Level C);
- Start with a low dose of EPO, increase slowly and, in extreme cases, discontinue its use (Class IIA/Level B);
- Avoid the use of drugs that notoriously raise BP (Class IIA/Level B).

#### INERTIA OF THE CARE TEAM

Clinical or therapeutic inertia (TI) refers to the failure of healthcare professionals to initiate, intensify, or

discontinue treatments when indicated, including both pharmacological and non-pharmacological measures<sup>85</sup>. TI is observed in around 2/3 of visits with hypertensive patients<sup>86</sup>. Also, in the dialysis literature, there is evidence associated with failure in introducing or intensifying antihypertensive therapy or adjusting volume status. In a retrospective analysis of patients with CKD and uncontrolled HTN, TI appears to have occurred on approximately 44% of occasions, judging by the non-identification of a reason for the failure in medical decision-making<sup>87</sup>.

Regarding the psychological profile of the physician most prone to TI, the preference for apparent short-term safety through inaction seems to predominate<sup>88</sup>. Coping with this behavior involves the continued encouragement of proactive conduct aimed at achieving therapeutic goals, within a policy of improving quality of care<sup>89</sup>.

TI is described in various CV prevention scenarios<sup>89</sup>, such as the management of dialysis patients with DM<sup>90</sup> or elderly patients on polypharmacy<sup>91</sup>. Implicating factors include clinical uncertainty regarding BP measurement, poor medication adherence, and diastolic and/or orthostatic hypotension<sup>87</sup>.

Examining the use of potentially inappropriate medications (centrally-acting alpha-agonists or alphablockers), the risk of TI in elderly dialysis patients was higher among black individuals, with polypharmacy, and without functional limitations. However, there was no increase in hospitalizations or mortality in those who maintained these medications<sup>87</sup>.

Among hypertensive patients, the use of structured medical education and a regular feedback system was successful, with greater control of HTN, but no improvement in CV outcomes<sup>92</sup>.

Recommendations in the existing literature indicate that patient education and involvement in the management of their CV risks reduce TI<sup>88,93</sup>.

Key message:

• To reduce therapeutic inertia, it is recommended that protocols should involve patients, caregivers, physicians, and a multidisciplinary team for achieving clinical goals in the control of HTN (Class I/Level B).

## POOR ADHERENCE TO ANTIHYPERTENSIVE TREATMENT

Adherence can be defined as the extent to which an individual complies with the recommendations of a healthcare provider<sup>94</sup>. Low adherence to treatment is

associated with unfavorable clinical outcomes and is common among patients with CKD 5D<sup>95</sup>.

Regarding BP control in HD or PD, poor adherence could pose a series of difficulties for the management of HTN. The lack of implementation of behavioral measures, such as reducing salt intake and limiting weight gain in the interdialytic period, coupled with a failure to use prescribed antihypertensive drugs whether due to complex dosing regimens, associated side effects, or, in the specific case of HD patients, the fear of intradialytic hypotension episodes - could hinder the achievement of therapeutic goals.

As for strategies to improve adherence, studies in this population are scarce. In general, the following strategies are recommended:

- 1. Reduction in salt intake/limitation of interdialytic weight gain (IDWG): structured interventions based on continuous patient education, conducted by a multidisciplinary team, may improve these variables<sup>96</sup>.
- 2. Measurement of BP in the interdialytic period, through HBPM, promotes greater adherence to antihypertensive drugs, directly impacting on BP control<sup>97</sup>.
- 3. Pharmacological treatment: selection of medications with a lower adverse event profile and better dosing convenience<sup>4</sup>.
- 4. In HD patients, the medication schedule should be adjusted according to the session, without discontinuing antihypertensive drugs, particularly in patients with a tendency to intradialytic hypertension<sup>98</sup>.

### Key messages:

Strategies to improve adherence to antihypertensive treatment in HD patients include:

- Continuous education aimed at limiting salt intake and IDWG (Class IIA/Level B).
- Home or outpatient BP measurement during the interdialytic period (Class IIA/Level B).
- Use of antihypertensive drugs, preferably in a single daily dose (Class I/Level A).

## DIAGNOSIS OF HYPERTENSION IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING PERITONEAL DIALYSIS (PD) AND HEMODIALYSIS (HD)

BP measurements related to the HD session are not sufficient for diagnosing HTN and have poor prognostic value. Observational studies report a U-shaped association between peridialytic BP and

mortality. In contrast, BP outside the dialysis unit shows a linear and direct association with mortality<sup>99,100</sup>. The BP behavior in dialysis patients is directly related to their volume status, so that measurements taken prior to HD overestimate BP, while those taken after HD underestimate it<sup>101</sup>. As opposed to this expected drop in BP during HD, 7–30% of these patients experience an increase during this period<sup>102</sup>. This contributes to the variability and inconsistency in BP measurements during the peridialysis period. Conversely, there is growing evidence of the superiority of measurements taken outside the HD unit.

# BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENT RELATED TO THE HD Session

BP measurement related to the HD session can be assessed using three measures: predialysis BP, intradialytic BP, and postdialysis BP. Determining the number of readings to be taken during the intradialytic period will depend on the nephrologist's clinical judgment. This decision will consider pre-dialysis BP values, a history of intradialytic hypotension or hypertension episodes, the need for elevated ultrafiltration rates, patients undergoing dry weight adjustment, and the patient's general clinical condition.

Key messages:

- BP during the HD session has low prognostic value (Class I/Level B).
- It is recommended that during the HD session, BP be measured at least every hour (Class IIA/ Level C).
- According to the Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (KDOQI), in HD patients, HTN should be diagnosed as pre-dialysis BP > 140/90 mmHg, or post-dialysis BP > 130/80 mmHg10 (Class IIA/Level B).

However, they are taken under circumstances that deviate from those recommended for adequate BP measurement, including: anxiety about starting the treatment, anticipation of pain from the arteriovenous fistula puncture<sup>103</sup>, discontinuation of antihypertensive drugs on the day of HD (in some patients), clearance of antihypertensive drugs, use of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents, white coat and masking effects, pre- or intradialytic diet, validation of oscillometric devices used in HD machines, and a high number of patients being assessed by the same health professional in a short period of time.

Several studies have been conducted to assess the diagnostic accuracy of peridialytic BP measures, as well as their representativeness of BP in the interdialytic period<sup>101,104,105</sup>. The pattern observed in most patients is a tendency towards a reduction in post-dialysis BP in relation to pre-dialysis BP. This can be explained by the hemodynamic response to UF during dialysis, and generally, the magnitude of this reduction is associated with the ultrafiltrate volume. Similarly, studies suggest that IDWG has a direct influence on the elevation of pre-dialysis BP<sup>105</sup>. Performing the correct BP measurement technique in the HD unit is challenging, and for this reason, inadequate technique has already been implicated in the poor performance of pre- and post-HD BP to diagnose and/or assess the prognosis of HTN in these patients. However, a study has demonstrated that, even when properly performed, this type of measure has no prognostic significance<sup>104</sup>. Certain conditions are inherent to the dialysis setting, and performing pre- and post-dialysis BP measurements in a designated location, that preserves the necessary criteria for correct BP measurement, may not be feasible within the logistics of a HD session. Thus, BP measurements during the HD session should not be used for diagnosing HTN or defining adjustments to the patient's antihypertensive regimen<sup>101</sup>.

Peridialytic BP measurements are imprecise estimates of BP, limiting their ability to provide clear and direct prognostic associations, even when such an association does exist.

### INTRADIALYTIC HYPERTENSION (IDH)

Despite fluid removal through UF, there is a subset of patients who exhibit a rise in BP during and/or after the HD session, compared to pre-HD BP levels. These patients are classified as having IDH<sup>5</sup>. An increase in SBP  $\geq$  10 mmHg during or after the HD session compared to pre-dialysis levels in 4 out of 6 sessions is commonly used to characterize a patient with IDH<sup>106</sup>. However, Singh et al. reported that any observed increases in SBP are related to a higher risk of fatal CV events<sup>107</sup>.

It is important to note that IDH is not detected in all HD sessions for the same patient. Conversely, there is a greater correlation between BP values detected within the first 90 minutes of HD and those measured immediately after the session. In Brazil, a single-center analysis showed that 11% of patients experienced an increase in SBP  $\ge$  10 mmHg in more than 50% of HD sessions<sup>108</sup>. The pathophysiological mechanisms that justify this condition include hypervolemia, positive sodium balance, RAAS and SNS hyperactivity, endothelial disfunction, and higher or lower clearance of antihypertensive medications<sup>109</sup>.

The main mechanisms involved in intradialytic HTN are highlighted in Chart 1.

Several strategies have been proposed for the treatment of IDH, such as<sup>111</sup>:

- Optimization of antihypertensive treatment: ensuring the use of appropriate medication, aiming for the ideal dry weight, checking adherence to both pharmacological and nonpharmacological treatment, in addition to extending the duration and/or frequency of HD sessions;
- Consider the use of BB with alpha-blocker activity (labetalol);
- Use short-acting antihypertensives before starting the HD session;
- Selection of less dialyzable antihypertensive agents;
- Consider reducing sodium concentration of dialysis solution;

- Avoid a very high calcium concentration in the dialysis solution;
- Consider elevating the temperature of the dialysate. Very cold baths are favorable to the emergence of IDH;
- Consider administering erythropoiesis-stimulating agents subcutaneously.

# BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS OUTSIDE THE HEMODIALYSIS UNIT

These measurements have proven to be a more accurate parameter for assessing BP changes related to reduced DW, in addition to being more associated with target organ damage and CV events. In these patients, the prevalence of nocturnal HTN (risers) and the non-dipper pattern are usually higher than those in the overall hypertensive population<sup>112,113</sup>.

Self-measurement of blood pressure in dialysis patients

There is no conclusive evidence validating specific protocols (number of measurements and timing) nor normality values for this method<sup>114</sup>. However, SMBP should follow the same recommendations and precautions as office BP measurements.

CHART 1	PATHOPHYSIOLOGICAL M	ECHANISMS OF INTRADIALYTIC HYPERTENSION
Hypervoler	nia	Excess fluid is one of the primary causes of elevated blood pressure in patients with intradialytic hypertension (IDH). The paradoxical rise in blood pressure observed in some patients during ultrafiltration may result from increased cardiac output due to hypervolemia and dilation of the cardiac chambers. This indicates that intensifying ultrafiltration and reducing dry weight could serve as effective treatment strategies for IDH.
Activation of aldosterone	of the renin-angiotensin- e system (RAAS)	RAAS stimulation is triggered by hypovolemia resulting from ultrafiltration.
Sympathet	ic System Hyperactivity	Individuals with chronic kidney disease (CKD) typically exhibit sympathetic hyperactivity, which can be alleviated by increasing the frequency of hemodialysis sessions <sup>110</sup> .
Sodium Co	ntrol	A positive sodium balance is one of the primary mechanisms contributing to extracellular fluid overload and hypertension in dialysis patients. Effective sodium removal can be achieved by adjusting the ultrafiltration rate and the sodium concentration in the dialysate.
Endothelial	Dysfunction	In response to ultrafiltration and both mechanical and hormonal stimuli, endothelial cells synthesize and release humoral factors that play a role in blood pressure homeostasis. Patients with intradialytic hypertension (IDH) exhibit a significant increase in plasma endothelin-1 levels and a decrease in nitric oxide levels compared to controls <sup>109</sup> .
Dialysis cle antihyperte	erance of ensive medications	Antihypertensive medications vary in their susceptibility to filtration through the dialyzer membrane.

Abbreviations – IDH: intradialytic hypertension; CO: cardiac output; UF: ultrafiltration; DW: dry weight; RAAS: renin angiotensin aldosterone system; CKD: chronic kidney disease; NO: nitric oxide.

Key message:

• SMBP is not recommended on a routine basis for the diagnosis and management of HTN in HD or PD patients and should only be used as a screening method (Class I, Level C).

## Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring (ABPM) and Home Blood Pressure Monitoring (HBPM)

In HD patients, ABPM and HBPM have shown a better correlation with target organ damage, CV events, and death from any cause<sup>100,101,104</sup>. Both display a good correlation with each other, as reported in the DRIP study, in which BP changes assessed by HBPM after 4 and 8 weeks of DW adjustment were associated with BP changes assessed by 44-hour ABPM<sup>105</sup>. Another benefit demonstrated in a study with HD patients was the finding that an antihypertensive medication adjustment strategy based on HBPM proved to be more effective in BP control than a strategy based on pre-HD SBP<sup>97</sup>.

Performing a 44-hour ABPM, fitting the device after a midweek dialysis session (between the second and third sessions of the week) and removing it immediately before the next session, provides prognostic information in HD patients and is the only method capable of assessing BP behavior during sleep. As in the general population, the sleep-wake ratio is a significant predictor of clinical outcomes in dialysis patients. Therefore, the absence of BP dipping during sleep (< 10% of the average nighttime BP in relation to wakefulness) or reverse dipping (elevated nighttime BP in relation to wakefulness), is associated with the risk of overall and CV mortality<sup>115,116</sup>. However, ABPM is not a practical test to perform and has low acceptance in this population, partly due to the prevalent frequency of sleep disorders and pruritus associated with CKD<sup>78,117</sup>. Conversely, HBPM, in addition to showing a good correlation with prognosis, is low-cost and better tolerated<sup>118</sup>. The advantages of the methods are listed in Chart 2, and the recommended protocols for their implementation are shown in Charts 3 and 4 (Class IIA/Level C).

Key messages:

- Message: Both ABPM and HBPM are preferred over office BP in terms of predicting clinical outcomes with a better correlation with target organ damage, CV events, and death from any cause when compared to peridialytic measures (Class I/Level B).
- Both ABPM and HBPM show good correlation with each other in HD and PD (Class I/Level B).
- 44-hour ABPM is recommended as the gold standard method for diagnosing HTN in HD and PD patients<sup>113</sup> (Class I, Level B).
- HBPM (installation day and 6 additional days) is recommended as an alternative when ABPM is unavailable (Class I, Level C).
- For PD and daily HD, it is recommended to follow the guidelines for the hypertensive

CHART 2	Advantages of ambulatory blood press	URE MONITORING (ABPM) AND HOME BLOOD PRESSURE
	MONITORING (HBPM)	
	Advantages comr	non to ABPM and HBPM
• Characte	erization of white-coat hypertension	
• Characte	erization of masked hypertension	
Identific	ation of true resistant hypertension	
• Greater	adherence to the diagnosis and treatment of	hypertension
Better e	fficiency in blood pressure control	
Good re	producibility	
Better c	orrelation with target organ damage and cardi	ovascular events than blood pressure measured at dialysis unit
	Advantages of ABPM	Advantages of HBPM
<ul> <li>Regarde</li> </ul>	ed as the gold standard for blood pressure	<ul> <li>Assessment of the highest number of blood pressure</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Regarded as the gold standard for blood pressure assessment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Assessment of the highest number of blood pressure measurements over the greatest number of days.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Assessment of blood pressure during sleep and daily activities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Involves the patient in their self-care through blood pressure measurement.</li> </ul>
• Assessment of rapid morning blood pressure elevation.	• Low-cost.
• Evaluates 24-hour blood pressure management.	Well accepted by patients.

Abbreviations – ABPM: ambulatory blood pressure monitoring; HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring.

### CHART 3 PROTOCOL FOR CONDUCTING AMBULATORY BLOOD PRESSURE MONITORING (ABPM) IN DIALYSIS PATIENTS

#### ABPM

In patients undergoing hemodialysis three times a week, 24-hour ambulatory ABPM does not capture blood pressure measurements throughout the interdialytic cycle. For these patients, a 44-hour ABPM is recommended<sup>5</sup>. It is important to note that the cuff should not be placed on the arm with the arteriovenous fistula (AVF).

#### Verification period:

• 44 hours (if the software does not support the 44-hour protocol, two consecutive 22-hour assessments are recommended). For patients undergoing daily hemodialysis (HD) or peritoneal dialysis (PD), a 24-hour ABPM is recommended.

#### Number of measurements:

• At least 48 valid measurements are required during the 44-hour ABPM (32 while awake and 16 during sleep) and 24 valid measurements during the 24-hour ABPM (16 while awake and 8 during sleep).

#### Installation day:

• The device should be installed after the mid-week dialysis session and removed immediately after the following session. For a 44-hour ABPM, it should be removed just before the dialysis session.

**ABPM report:** The report must include the date and time of the start and end of the assessment (indicating the interdialytic period during which it was conducted), the number and percentage of measurements taken versus valid measurements, the average systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) over 24 hours during wakefulness and during sleep. It should also detail blood pressure behavior during wakefulness and sleep, episodes of hypotension and/or blood pressure peaks, and any correlations between activities, symptoms, and medications. The conclusion should specify whether the blood pressure behavior was considered normal or abnormal (abnormal if the mean SBP  $\geq$  130 mmHg and/or DBP  $\geq$  80 mmHg, as shown in Table 1).

Abbreviations – ABPM: ambulatory blood pressure monitoring; HD: hemodialysis; BP: blood pressure; h: hours; AVF: arteriovenous fistula; HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure.

# CHART 4 PROTOCOL FOR HOME BLOOD PRESSURE MONITORING IN DIALYSIS PATIENTS ACCORDING TO THE BRAZILIAN GUIDELINES FOR BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS IN- AND OUT-OFFICE 2023<sup>119</sup>

#### Home Blood Pressure Monitoring - HBPM

Verification period: Day of installation + six consecutive days.

**Number of measurements:** Ideally, 36 measurements (at least 18 valid measurements), taken every day, covering both morning and evening/night periods.

**Day 0 or installation day:** For HD and PD, measurements are taken in the office or in the HD clinic, never on the arm with the AVF. Ideally, three measurements are taken (using the average of the last two for the calculation of white-coat and masked blood pressure effects) and measurements are taken at home at night. Measurements taken on the installation day, either in the office or at home, should be excluded from the calculation of the HBPM average.

**HBPM days:** At home, BP measurements are taken for six more days. The patients should take three measurements in the morning and three in the evening or at night. For patients undergoing conventional HD (two or three times weekly), measurements taken on dialysis days should be excluded from the calculation of the HBPM average. In cases of daily HD and PD, all measurements are included in the average calculation.

**HBPM report:** It should include the reason for the examination request, the number of days of effective measurements, the time and number of measurements on each day, the quality of the procedure, mean BP (total, daily, and for morning and evening/night periods), whether white-coat or masked blood pressure effects were present, and whether the results were considered normal or abnormal (abnormal if averages are  $\geq$  130 mmHg and/or  $\geq$  80 mmHg).

Abbreviations – HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring; HD: hemodialysis; PD: peritoneal dialysis; BP: blood pressure; AVF: arteriovenous fistula.

TABLE 1	BLOOD PRESSURE VALUES CONSIDERED ABNORMAL FOR AMBULATORY BLOOD PRESSURE MONITORING (ABPM) AND FOR HOME BLOOD PRESSURE MONITORING (HBPM)		
	SBP (mmHg)		DBP (mmHg)
ABPM 44h	≥130	and/or	≥80
ABPM awa	ake ≥135	and/or	≥85
ABPM sle	èp ≥120	and/or	≥70
HBPM	≥130	and/or	≥80

Abbreviations – ABPM: arterial blood pressure monitoring; HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure.

population in general, regarding HBPM and 24-hour ABPM (Class I/Level C).

Regarding peritoneal dialysis (PD), as it is a home dialysis modality, it is suggested that HBPM should be widely applied. In its latest update, the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis recommends that, in addition to BP measurement during clinical visits, home BP should also be measured on a weekly basis<sup>120</sup>. However, due to the scarcity of evidence, prospective studies are needed to elucidate the actual prognostic significance of out-of-office BP measurements in PD.

### PHENOTYPES

Considering both in-office and out-of-office BP, there are 9 possible types of BP behavior: True Normotension (TN), Controlled Hypertension (CH), Sustained Hypertension (SH), Sustained Uncontrolled Hypertension (SUH), White Coat Hypertension (WCH), White Coat Uncontrolled Hypertension (WCHNC), Masked Hypertension (MH), Masked Uncontrolled Hypertension (MUH), and Nocturnal Hypertension (NH).

WCH refers to a condition in which BP is abnormal in the office but normal when measured by ABPM or HBPM. MH refers to patients whose BP is normal in the office but abnormal when measured by HBPM or ABPM. In patients taking antihypertensive medication, the term "uncontrolled" should be added. TN is when both in- and out-of-office BP measurements are normal and the patient is not on antihypertensive medication; if they are, it is defined as CH. SH is used when both are abnormal in the absence of antihypertensive drugs. If the patient is taking antihypertensive medication, it is defined as SUH. NH is defined when the average BP measured by ABPM is altered during sleep at 24h or 44h, but normal during wakefulness. The prevalence of phenotypes varies considerably according to the BP measurement method and the definition of HTN. In one of the few studies assessing the prevalence of phenotypes among HD patients, 44-hour ABPM abnormal values  $\geq 135/85$  mmHg and  $\geq 140/80$ mmHg were used for the median pre-HD and post-HD BP of six consecutive midweek sessions. The prevalence rates found can be seen in Figure 2<sup>121</sup>.

There is a lack of studies assessing the prognosis of phenotypes in dialysis patients. However, among patients with non-dialysis CKD, the risk of CV morbidity and mortality was not increased in those with WCUH, but it was increased in those with MUH and SUH<sup>122</sup>.

Key message:

• Message: Further studies are needed to assess HTN phenotypes in the population across all dialysis modalities.

## COMPLEMENTARY ASSESSMENTS OF HYPERTENSION AND CARDIOVASCULAR RISK IN PERITONEAL DIALYSIS (PD) AND HEMODIALYSIS (HD)

# Calculating Cardiovascular Risk in Chronic Kidney Disease on Dialysis

While CKD is a strong independent predictor of CV disease<sup>123-125</sup>, CV disease itself is the leading cause of death in chronic nephropathy patients, highlighting the importance of identifying patients at high risk of CV events and death<sup>126,127</sup>. In the general population, there are methods capable of predicting the 10-year probability of a CV event, notably the Framingham score and the Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease (ASCVD) risk algorithm, which only consider traditional CV risk factors<sup>128,129</sup>. In CKD patients,



Abbreviations – BP: blood pressure; HBPM: home blood pressure monitoring; ABPM: ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. **Figure 2.** Prevalence of hypertension phenotypes in patients with chronic kidney disease on hemodialysis.

these scores are less accurate, likely due to the existence of non-traditional risk factors related to loss of kidney function and dialysis treatment<sup>130–133</sup>. Consistent with this view, traditional risk factors are predominant in the early stages of CKD, while the non-traditional ones become more relevant as CKD progresses<sup>130</sup>.

Risk calculation models valuing specific factors for dialysis patients have been proposed<sup>131-133</sup>. From the analysis of HD patients, assessing the 5-year risk of CV events and death, a predictive score was developed including seven characteristics (age, sex, DM, previous CV disease, type of vascular access at dialysis initiation, monocyte/lymphocyte ratio, and uric acid), resulting in better performance than the Framingham score<sup>10</sup>. Evaluating Japanese patients on HD (J-DOPPS) over the course of a year, a model was developed including six variables (age, DM, previous CV disease, length of dialysis session, phosphorus, and albumin), also showing better risk discrimination than the Framingham score<sup>132</sup>. A European study of HD patients, followed for up to 2 years and including clinical and laboratory data (age, BMI, smoking, previous CV disease, CKD etiology, pre-dialysis BP, UF, hemoglobin, CRP, albumin, creatinine, and calcium), demonstrated good prediction of overall mortality risk<sup>133</sup>. Altogether, these studies show that adding non-traditional risk factors to predictive models has improved their accuracy in dialysis patients, although the observed death rate remains higher than the predicted one, suggesting that additional risk factors could be included in the construction of a more definitive model<sup>131–133</sup>. In any case, there is no widely accepted tool available for predicting CV risk in dialysis patients that is both simple and accurate.

Key messages:

- CKD patients should be considered at high CV risk (Class I/Level A).
- CV risk assessment of CKD patients may be conducted using risk calculation models for the general population (Class IIA/Level B).
- The accuracy of CV risk discrimination in CKD patients is enhanced using specific models that include both traditional and non-traditional risk factors related to CKD and dialysis (Class IIA/ Level B).

## NATRIURETIC PEPTIDES IN CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE PATIENTS

Serum natriuretic peptide levels are commonly used in clinical practice for the diagnosis and followup of patients with HF<sup>134</sup>. The diagnosis of HF is corroborated by at least one of the following factors: elevations in serum BNP or NT-proBNP concentrations, or objective evidence of pulmonary or systemic congestion of cardiogenic origin<sup>134</sup>.

These peptides, especially NT-proBNP, appear to predict the risk of CV outcomes and death in individuals at high CV risk<sup>135-141</sup>, including the potential for using NT-proBNP as an isolated variable that resembles or even surpasses the predictions of multivariate risk models<sup>136-140,142</sup>.

Chart 5 illustrates some studies demonstrating the association of NT-proBNP with increased CV risk in the CKD population<sup>139,141,143-147</sup>.

In dialysis patients, NT-proBNP appears to independently predict CV and all-cause mortality<sup>141,147</sup>, in addition to being a predictive factor for volume overload in HD patients (with or without a decline in LV ejection fraction)<sup>147–149</sup> and for an increased risk of stroke-related hospitalizations<sup>150</sup>.

Since renal dysfunction is accompanied by increased concentrations of BNP and NT-proBNP<sup>141,151</sup>, the strategy of sequential measurements is superior to a single measurement in CKD patients<sup>141</sup>. Thus, in the absence of defined BNP and NT-proBNP cut-off values for dialysis patients, sequential values may better and more dynamically reflect the trajectory of cardiac function and hydration status.

Key message:

• It is recommended to perform serial measurements of natriuretic peptides in dialysis patients (preferably NT proBNP), if available, as they may help in the assessment of volume overload, identification and control of HF associated with dialysis CKD, and especially in predicting the risk of CV outcomes and death (Class I/Level B).

# ARTERIAL STIFFNESS (AS) ASSESSED BY PULSE WAVE VELOCITY (PWV) MEASUREMENT

The degree of AS is a marker of vascular health and aging. One way of estimating it is by measuring arterial PWV, defined as the time taken (m/s) for the

DISEA	SES, EVENTS, AND CARDIOVASCULAR DEA	TH	TIDEO AO DIOMANICENO OF MOR OF
Study	Study design	Kidney disease/ other comorbidities	Results
TREAT <sup>144</sup>	Evaluation of the insertion of NT-proBNP and troponin T to a multivariable prediction model	CKD, with T2DM and anemia	Increased the predictive ability of CV outcomes by 17.8%
ALTITUDE <sup>137</sup>	Post-hoc analysis of NT-proBNP prediction (single variable) compared to a model with 20 variables	CKD and/or CV disease	Predictor of risk of CV events and death, similar to the multivariate model
CREATE <sup>145</sup>	NT-proBNP (single variable)	CKD (GFR 15-35ml/ min) and anemia	Elevated plasma level as a predictor of risk of CV events and progression of CKD
Harrison TG et al., 2020 <sup>141</sup>	Meta-analysis with 49 studies, evaluated BNP and NT-proBNP as a marker of risk of CV events and death	CKD 5D	Elevated levels of NT-proBNP and BNP were predictors of CV mortality and all-cause death
Satoh A et al., 2021 <sup>147</sup>	NT-proBNP with measurement before the first HD of the week	Patients on HD	High serum levels were predictors of CV and overall risk of death

CUADT 5 STUDIES IN PATIENTS WITH KIDNEY DISEASE EVALUATING NATRILIEFTIC PEPTIDES AS RIGMARKERS OF RISK OF

Abbreviations - DM2: Type 2 diabetes mellitus, CV: cardiovascular, CKD: chronic kidney disease, GFR: Glomerular filtration rate by creatinine clearance, HD: hemodialvsis.

pulse wave (generated by systole) to travel between two sites in the arterial system, usually the carotid and femoral arteries. The carotid-femoral (aortic) PWV reflects the viscoelastic properties of the aorta and is considered the gold standard method for assessing AS152.

Elevated aortic PWV indicates increased AS and is associated with a higher risk of CV disease and mortality<sup>152</sup>. Normal values for aortic PWV vary according to age and sex (Table 2)<sup>153</sup>.

In HD patients, a greater aortic PWV value predicts higher mortality<sup>154</sup>, and PWV > 10 m/s is an independent predictor of both CV and all-cause mortality<sup>155</sup>. Similarly, accelerated PWV progression (measured at time 0 and every 6 months) may predict CV mortality in patients undergoing HD<sup>156</sup>.

HD patients appear to have PWV levels that are less sensitive to BP control, reflecting the overlap between traditional CV risk factors and nontraditional factors (related to uremia and dialysis treatment) that are determinants of the greater AS which characterizes this population. Altogether, this may justify the recognition that the lower variation in PWV observed regarding the management of HTN in these individuals was associated with a higher risk of CV events157.

TABLE 2	Normal pulse way values for men an to age group	/e velocity (PWV) id women according	
Age group	PV	PWV (m/s)	
	Men	Women	
20–29 years	6.4	6.0	
30–39 years	6.9	6.4	
40–49 years	7.4	7.0	
50–59 years	8.0	7.7	
> 60 years	9.2	8.6	

Increased arterial stiffness is relatively common in PD patients. Higher PWV in PD patients is associated with increasing age, the presence of DM and hyperhydration, and has been shown to be a predictor of outcome in these patients<sup>158</sup>.

In conclusion, the measurement of PWV, considered the gold standard tool for AS, helps calculate CV risk, although further research is needed to establish definitive standards of PWV normality and its role in outcomes within the dialysis population.

#### Key message:

· Assessment of arterial stiffness using carotidfemoral pulse wave velocity (PWV) is recommended, if available, and on a serial basis,

as it potentially predicts CV events as well as mortality in HD and PD (Class I/Level B).

BIOIMPEDANCE AS A DIAGNOSTIC METHOD FOR BODY COMPOSITION AND VOLUME STATUS

Bioimpedance analysis (BIA) assesses body composition by passing an electrical current of different frequencies through the body. It is a diagnostic method used in the context of dialysis patients to estimate the level of hydration and support in BP management<sup>159-161</sup>.

In a systematic review with meta-analysis of chronic HD and PD patients, Tabinor et al. suggest that mortality is predicted by a hyperhydration index > 15%, and a phase angle reduced by 1 degree, regardless of comorbidities<sup>162</sup>. In the largest study included, Zoccali et al. demonstrated higher mortality in hyperhydrated patients, regardless of pre-dialysis BP<sup>163</sup>. Other systematic reviews and meta-analyses have focused on showing the impact of using BIA in dialysis patients, and these are summarized in Chart 6<sup>161,164–167</sup>.

It is important to highlight the diversity of parameters derived from the use of BIA to assess the volume status of dialysis patients, with no consensus on a preferred choice among them<sup>169,170</sup>. Conversely,

the literature is more robust in indicating the use of BIA as a support in hypervolemia control rather than as a primary guideline in the conduct of antihypertensive therapy. The use of BIA could impact BP control; however, it is crucial to realize that it should be seen as one of several available alternatives for managing volume status and BP.

Key message:

• BIA is recommended as a complementary method for assessing and managing hyperhydration and BP in HD and PD patients (Class I/Level A).

POINT-OF-CARE ULTRASOUND IN THE ASSESSMENT OF VOLUME STATUS IN CKD PATIENTS: EVALUATION OF HEART, LUNGS, AND INFERIOR VENA CAVA (IVC)

Volume status is a fundamental parameter across the entire CKD spectrum, and its clinical assessment has limitations, stimulating the development of more objective volume assessment tools, such as point-ofcare ultrasound (POCUS)<sup>171</sup>. POCUS allows for a multi-organ approach, assessing various facets of the patient's volume status.

The pump-pipes-leaks approach is a proposal for practical and objective assessment of volume status. Insonation of the heart through the parasternal long axis cardiac view enables LV systolic function

CHART 6 SU	IMMARY OF THE MAIN S TIENTS	TUDIES DEMONSTRATIN	IG THE IMPACT ON	I THE USE OF BIOI	MPEDANCE IN DIALYSIS
Studies	Study design	Improved control of hyperhydration	Improved control of BP	Other outcomes	Observations
Scotland et al., 2018 <sup>161</sup>	Systematic review	yes	no	l: no M: no	BIA showed no effect on arterial stiffness and was not cost effective
Covic et al., 2017 <sup>164</sup>	Systematic review and meta- analysis	yes	yes	M: no	No change in body composition
Beaubien- Souligny et al., 2020 <sup>168</sup>	Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized studies	yes	yes	LVH: no ECV: no <b>I: yes</b> M: no	-
Yang K et al., 2023 <sup>166</sup>	Meta-analysis of randomized studies	yes	yes	LVH: yes (HD) M: yes	Reduction of NT Pro- BNP and PWV
Horowitz et al., 2023 <sup>167</sup>	Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized studies	yes	yes	LVH: no ECV: no I: no <b>M: yes</b>	-

Abbreviations – BP: blood pressure; BIA: bioelectrical impedance; LVH: left ventricular hypertrophy; ECV: cardiovascular events; I: hospitalization; M: mortality; PWV: pulse wave velocity.

to be assessed from the variation in its systolic and diastolic volumes, lateral wall and interventricular septum thickness in systole, and amplitude of septal displacement of the anterior mitral valve leaflet (pump)<sup>171</sup>. "Pipes" refers to the assessment of IVC diameter (Figure 3A-B).

A more objective model of volume assessment has been developed using Doppler examination of the hepatic, portal, and renal interlobar veins, known as Venous Excess Ultrasound (VExUS). Figure 4 summarizes the Doppler patterns of the hepatic, portal, and renal interlobar veins in the context of mild, moderate, and severe venous congestion. The low quality of evidence available for the use of VExUS in the volume assessment of CKD patients is noteworthy<sup>168</sup>.

Leaks denotes the search for pulmonary congestion through the identification of B-lines (Figure 3C) with high sensitivity, but lower specificity for congestion, as well as pleural effusion (Figure 3D), ascites (Figure 3E), and pericardial effusion (Figure 3F). This allows for the detection of extravascular congestion before the onset of clinical symptoms, thereby adjusting the treatment for dialysis patients<sup>172</sup>. In dialysis patients, identifying DW is challenging. If overestimated, DW contributes to persistent volume overload and its consequences; when underestimated, DW may cause intradialytic hypotension and associated complications. In the context of dialysis treatment patients, POCUS can provide valuable information.

The pump-pipes-leaks strategy enables bedside answers to questions related to the interaction between absolute volume gain, multicompartmental redistribution of extracellular volume, and the multiorgan adverse impact. Further studies are needed to assess its impact on HD patient survival<sup>172</sup>.

Key message:

• It is recommended to use the pump-pipes-leaks volume estimation tool at the bedside, including the VExUS score, as a potential alternative for assessing the severity of venous congestion in CKD patients (Class II A/Level B).

## BLOOD PRESSURE TARGETS IN PERITONEAL DIALYSIS (PD) AND HEMODIALYSIS (HD)

BP targets in dialysis patients, regardless of the modality, remain controversial, and extrapolating



**Figure 3.** Point-of-care ultrasound for identifying volume status. Legend: A and B, ultrasound of the inferior vena cava (IVC): A, IVC < 1.7 cm, with a diameter decrease of more than 50% during inspiration, compatible with a euvolemic state; B, plethoric IVC, with a diameter of 2.7 cm and a decrease of less than 50% during inspiration, suggestive of hypervolemia; C, lung ultrasound: B-lines indicating interstitial lung syndrome, as seen in acute pulmonary edema; D, pleural effusion indicated by an anechoic image above the diaphragm; E, ascites, an anechoic image in the hepatorenal space; and F, pericardial effusion indicated by an anechoic image in the pericardial space.



Abbreviations – IVC: inferior vena cava; a: atrial contraction; S: systole; D: diastole. Note – based on Dinh V. POCUS 101 Vexus ultrasound score – fluid overload and venous congestion assessment.

Figure 4. Doppler patterns of the hepatic, portal and renal interlobar veins in the context of mild, moderate and severe venous congestion.

BP targets from the general population to this subgroup does not seem the best choice. It has been documented in the literature, through observational studies, that there is a U or J-shaped curve in the BP control of HD and PD patients. However, there may be interpretation biases due to the inclusion of individuals with hypotension, severe CV disease or frailty, contributing to a poor prognosis<sup>5,120,173,174</sup>.

The CRIC Study (The Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort Study), a multicenter prospective cohort study, presented interesting results on the relationship between all-cause mortality and SBP. Among participants who initiated HD (n = 326), a U-shaped association was observed between SBP measured "in the dialysis unit", presumably before the start of the session, and mortality (HR 1.26 for every 10-mmHg increase), while SBP "outside the dialysis unit" showed a linear association with mortality. These results suggest that the optimal target BP for treatment should be determined by ambulatory BP monitoring (ABPM), which is not always available, well tolerated, or considered cost-effective<sup>100,175</sup>.

An American study involving over 17,000 HD patients concluded that those with pre-dialysis SBP < 140 mmHg had significantly higher mortality, especially within the first 3 months after initiating HD<sup>176</sup>. Similar findings related to less unfavorable outcomes with BP control during the interdialytic period were found in a Brazilian center, which included 2,672 HD patients followed for 31 months<sup>177</sup>.

The best-designed study with the potential to define BP targets was the Blood-Pressure-in-Dialysis (BID), a pilot study that randomized 126 participants to two SBP targets measured in the clinic before the start of the dialysis session: intensive (110–140 mmHg) or standard (155–165 mmHg), with the primary objective of assessing feasibility and comparative safety over a one-year follow-up period. The study demonstrated the feasibility of the intervention; however, despite the protocol requiring investigators to adjust postdialysis weight as an initial step towards reaching the target SBP, the intensive SBP goal was only achieved using additional antihypertensive drugs. The authors indicated that there may have been inadequate management of extracellular volume to obtain the dry weight<sup>178</sup>.

The Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (2005) guideline, which has not been updated, arbitrarily recommends a pre-dialysis BP < 140/80 mmHg and post-dialysis BP < 130/80 mmHg in HD patients, primarily based on expert opinion (Class IIA/Level C)<sup>179</sup>.

Since BP is a biomarker of the "cardiovascular syndrome", the HTN management should address the prevention and treatment of all its CV complications. In the absence of data from randomized controlled clinical trials in dialysis patients, treatment objectives should be extrapolated from available observational studies and those developed in the general population. Based on this premise, it is reasonable to aim for a pre-dialysis BP  $\leq$  140/90 mmHg or a home BP  $\leq$  130/80 mmHg (Class IIA/Level C). A group of experts participating in a KDIGO initiative that discussed controversies regarding volume and HTN management in dialysis chose not to recommend BP targets for patients on RRT, and defined that general strategies in the management of HTN apply to dialysis patients, such as "Individualizing BP targets and agents according to age, coexisting CV disease, and other comorbidities, in addition to treatment tolerance" and "Inquiring about postural dizziness and checking for orthostatic hypotension"<sup>180</sup>.

In PD patients, an observational study showed that SBP  $\leq$  110 mmHg was associated with increased mortality, and a protective effect was observed with SBP > 120 mmHg<sup>181</sup>. Thus, based on data from the general population and the CKD population, it is recommended that the target BP be < 140/90 mmHg in PD patients, in agreement with the International Society of Peritoneal Dialysis (ISPD) and other authors (class IIA/Level B)<sup>120,182,183</sup>.

In the absence of evidence from long-term, randomized, controlled clinical trials designed for the specific purpose of defining optimal BP targets in HD and PD, it is recommended that clinical trials be developed comparing different home BP measurement thresholds in relation to clinical outcomes and mortality<sup>184–188</sup>.

In Chart 7, the recommendations from the major guidelines that cite goals to be achieved in HD patients can be observed.

Key messages:

- It is recommended that BP targets should be pre-HD: ≤ 140/90 mmHg and post-HD ≤ 130/80 mmHg (Class IIA/Level B).
- It is recommended that the BP target in PD be < 140/90 mmHg (Class IIA/Level B).
- It is recommended to prescribe individualized goals for patients on HD and PD, according to age, degree of frailty, tolerance, presence of

severe CV disease, and comorbidities (Class IIA/ Level B).

• Randomized, controlled, and comparative clinical trials of BP targets are recommended for a high-quality evidence-based indication.

NON-PHARMACOLOGICAL TREATMENT OF HTN IN PD AND HD

# The Importance of Knowing, Achieving, and Maintaining Dry Weight

DW represents the state in which there are no signs or symptoms of hypervolemia or hypohydration. For each HD session, a target weight needs to be defined (possibly higher than the DW), considering the volume status, the IDWG, and the tolerated UF rate, to avoid a target weight that is too low (because it could lead to hypotension and accelerate the loss of RRF)<sup>9,105</sup>, or inappropriately high, resulting in HTN and hypervolemia<sup>9</sup>. Chronic hypervolemia may cause HTN and an increased risk of hospitalization<sup>189</sup> and death<sup>163,190</sup> (Figure 5). The goal of achieving DW throughout the HD sessions is therefore prioritized, thereby avoiding chronic hypervolemia, even with a higher risk of intradialytic hypotension<sup>105</sup>.

The regular application of a DW assessment protocol, preferably using multiple instruments<sup>105</sup>, has been associated with lower mortality, and the use of orthostatic BP measurement has been associated with a lower risk of hospitalization and CV events<sup>191</sup>. Nevertheless, the reliability of clinical signs in accurately estimating blood volume has been questioned<sup>192</sup>.

In PD, to achieve DW and BP control, priority is given to optimizing urine output with the use of diuretics (in patients with RRF)<sup>193</sup> and peritoneal

CHART 7 BLOOD PRESSURE TARGETS IN HYPERTENSION OF DIALYSI	S PATIENTS ACCORDING TO THE MAIN $G$ UIDELINES
Guidelines	Blood pressure target (mmHg)
KDOQI 2005 <sup>179</sup>	Pre-dialysis: <140/90
	Post-dialysis: <130/80
KDOQI 2015 (update) <sup>186</sup>	Not mentioned, citing lack of data
EURECA-m (ERA – EDTA) 2017 <sup>175</sup>	Not mentioned, citing lack of data
Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy 2012 <sup>187</sup>	Pre-dialysis: <140/90
Brazilian Hypertension Guidelines 2020 <sup>4</sup>	Pre-dialysis: ≤140/90 Post-dialysis: ≤130/80
2020 International Society of Hypertension Global Hypertension Practice Guidelines <sup>188</sup>	Not mentioned
International Society of Peritoneal Dialysis (ISPD) 2015 <sup>120</sup>	Peritoneal dialysis: <140/90 mmHg



Abbreviation - UF: ultrafiltration.

Figure 5. Consequences of maintaining hypervolemia.

UF, with appropriate adjustments in glucose load considering the PD prescription and the peritoneal transport rate<sup>5,9</sup>. For these patients, it is recommended to adopt RRF preservation, dietary management, and efforts to limit peritoneal injury.

The barriers to achieving DW are depicted in Figure 6.

Key messages:

- For HTN control, the strategy of gradually adjusting UF to minimal hypovolemia symptoms is recommended, to define and reach DW (Class I/Level B).
- It is recommended that DW be assessed regularly and with multiple tools, such as clinical assessment, ultrasound, and bioimpedance (Class I/Level B).
- In PD, strategies for preserving RRF and the integrity of the peritoneal membrane are recommended (Class I/Level B).

### CHANGES IN DIALYSIS PARAMETERS IN PD AND HD

There are factors related to dialysis parameters that could interfere with BP, both in HD and PD (Figure 7).

Studies analyzing the sodium concentration in the HD dialysis solution are inconclusive as to its impact on BP and have already been detailed in Chapter 2, item 2.2.2 (Parameters interfering with BP)<sup>27,29</sup>. There is no evidence supporting a reduction in mortality when sodium is individualized<sup>27</sup>.

The benefit of sodium profiling used to avoid intradialytic hypotension and reduce sodium intake is controversial<sup>103,191,194</sup>. In one study, the use of this profile was associated with positive sodium balance, leading to higher IDWG rates, elevated BP, CV events, and death<sup>191</sup>. Another study showed that the linear profile did not reduce hypotension, although the "stepwise" profile proved effective<sup>194</sup>. Methods for adjusting UF include the use of profiling, isolated UF followed by HD (sequential dialysis), or biofeedback devices<sup>9,195</sup>. A study evaluating linear UF profile showed no reduced risk of hypotension, nor differences in troponin levels and LV function<sup>196</sup>. UF biofeedback devices may reduce intradialytic hypotension<sup>195</sup>.

Reducing the dialysis solution temperature (in different definitions: a decrease in relation to body temperature, fixed at 35.5°C or 36°C, or adjusted using a biofeedback system) has been associated with a reduced intradialytic hypotension<sup>197</sup> and CV mortality<sup>191</sup>, in addition to being well tolerated<sup>197</sup>. An ongoing study (MY TEMP, NCT02628366) will assess cooling dialysis solution in relation to hospitalization and death outcomes due to CV events.

In PD, the suggested maneuvers for HTN control include<sup>9</sup>: optimization of urine output with diuretics (in patients with RRF)<sup>193</sup>; reducing intraperitoneal residence time (of glucose-based solutions) in high transporters; glucose-based solutions with higher tonicity (less preferred due to peritoneal and systemic impact); icodextrin solution (increased UF without higher glycemic load)<sup>198</sup>, low-sodium dialysate, as it reduces HTN, without altering adequacy)<sup>193</sup>, and solutions with greater biocompatibility, neutral pH or lower content of glucose degradation products for preservation of the peritoneum and RRF<sup>198–200</sup>.

Key messages:

- Caution is recommended when adjusting the sodium concentration in dialysis solution for the purposes of BP and volume control (Class I/Level B).
- Caution is recommended in the use of sodium profiling to avoid intradialytic hypotension due to the risk of positive balance and its associated harms (Class I/Level B).



Abbreviations – UF: ultrafiltration; HTN: hypertension.

Figure 6. Common barriers to achieving dry weight in hemodialysis patients.

- It is not recommended that the use of linear UF profile be used to prevent episodes of intradialytic hypotension (Class I/Level B).
- Cooling dialysis solution is recommended to prevent intradialytic hypotension (Class I/Level A).
- For HTN control in PD, preservation of RRF and peritoneal membrane is recommended (Class I/Level B).

CHANGES IN HEMODIALYSIS MODALITY (HDF, DAILY HD)

Alternatives to conventional HD include intensive regimens (with increased frequency and/or length, such as in short daily HD and long daytime or nocturnal HD), and the use of convection (HDF), which may be performed in HD centers or at home. Daily HD is understood in the literature as any regimen of 5 to 7 times a week<sup>201–205</sup>.

The use of daily HD allows for a reduction in: BP<sup>201–203</sup>, the amount of antihypertensive drugs<sup>203</sup>, LVH<sup>202</sup>, tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinase<sup>202</sup>, and phosphorus, which in turn is associated with a reduction in FGF23<sup>202</sup>. A multicenter study of short daily HD in a home environment confirmed a reduction in the number of antihypertensive medications<sup>204</sup>. Intensive HD may also result in reduced hospitalizations (compared to conventional HD) and mortality (compared to conventional HD or PD)<sup>205</sup>.

Some studies have shown that nocturnal HD reduced SBP<sup>202,206,207</sup>, the number of antihypertensive drugs<sup>207</sup>, and LVH<sup>202,207</sup>, while also improving anemia<sup>206</sup> and hyperphosphatemia<sup>202,206,207</sup>.

High-volume online hemodiafiltration (HDF) provides a lower risk of death from any cause, in



Abbreviations – HD: hemodialysis; HDF: online hemodiafiltration; UF: ultrafiltration.

Figure 7. Factors related to dialysis modality and the patient that may affect blood pressure control.

contrast to high-flow HD<sup>208</sup>. A study evaluating peridialytic BP parameters showed no benefit of HDF over conventional HD<sup>209</sup>. In another study, HDF reduced CV mortality and episodes of hypotension<sup>210</sup>. To reduce intradialytic hypotension, the intermittent use of ultrapure dialysate infusions has been beneficial for the elderly and those with high IDWG<sup>211</sup>.

#### Key message:

• It is recommended, whenever available, to use intensive HD regimens (daily and/or long) with benefits in reducing BP (Class I/Level A), antihypertensive medication (Class I/Level A), and left ventricular mass (Class I, Level B).

## DIETARY AND WATER INTAKE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR Hypertensive Patients on PD and HD, Especially to Prevent Interdialytic Weight Gain (IDWG)

It is recommended that dietary sodium intake for the hypertensive population (non-dialysis) should be up to 2 g/day, corresponding to 5.0 g/day of salt<sup>4</sup>. In individuals on dialysis, who are typically salt-sensitive, there is a greater impact of salt restriction on BP, suggesting a dietary intake of up to 1.5 g of sodium or 3.6 g of salt<sup>5</sup>. Compared to antihypertensive treatment, dietary sodium restriction associated with more intensive UF resulted in a reduction in IDWH, LVH, antihypertensive load, and episodes of intradialytic hypotension<sup>212</sup>.

A meta-analysis identified that reducing salt intake by at least 1 g was associated with a reduction in BP<sup>213</sup>. Conversely, high sodium intake was related to an increased risk of hypervolemia and death, but with no association with BP<sup>214</sup>.

In addition, hyperglycemia could increase thirst and salt intake, leading to an increase in IDWG and BP<sup>215</sup>. In dialysis patients, the Mediterranean diet appears to improve myocardial remodeling<sup>216</sup>, but has not been able to reduce mortality, similar to the DASH (Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension) and vegetarian diets<sup>217</sup>. In turn, polyphenol-rich diets have improved diastolic BP in the dialysis population<sup>218</sup>.

Key message:

• Dietary sodium restriction of 1.5 to 2.0g/day is recommended for dialysis patients to reduce BP and IDWG (Class I/Level B).

# Physical Exercise for Hypertensive Patients on Pd and Hd

Studies examining the effect of physical exercise on BP in dialysis patients have yielded divergent results. A meta-analysis did not identify an effect of physical training on BP219. However, in another meta-analysis consisting of 78 randomized studies with 3,326 participants, it was possible to highlight a greater reduction in DBP with combined aerobic and resistance exercises, involving patient-tolerated loads on the lower and upper limbs without AVF<sup>220</sup>. On the other hand, improvements are documented in parameters associated with CV outcomes, target organ damage, quality of life and cognitive function<sup>219,220</sup>. As for the types of physical exercise, evidence shows benefits from both aerobic and resistance exercises. Regarding the location, intradialytic or home-based exercises may be used<sup>221</sup>.

Key messages:

- It is recommended to encourage aerobic activity for a minimum of 30 minutes, at least 5 times a week, for both PD and HD patients (Class IIA/ Level B).
- It is recommended that supervised resistance training should also be prescribed (Class IIA/ Level B).
- It is recommended that physical activity be performed, either during dialysis sessions or in

the interdialytic interval, outside of the dialysis setting (Class IIA/Level B).

• It is recommended that, whenever possible, physical exercises be supervised by physical educators and/or physiotherapists in the units (Class IIA/Level C).

# Other Lifestyle Changes (Spirituality, Stress Management, etc.)

Spirituality and religiosity are potentially important tools for dialysis patients, positively related to doctor-patient interaction, quality of life and life expectancy, coping with the disease, treatment and its consequences. They should therefore be considered by physicians<sup>222</sup>.

Spirituality may be understood as the pursuit of meaning and purpose in life, as well as the transcendence of the self. This experience may develop through religiosity and/or belief in God, family, naturalism, rationalism, humanism, and the arts, for example<sup>222</sup>. Religiosity, in turn, implies the human relationship with a transcendent being<sup>222</sup>.

These concepts correspond to a psychological construction in coping with chronic diseases, converting a personally challenging situation into a meaningful experience<sup>223</sup>. Strong religious beliefs among individuals on dialysis have been correlated with attenuated perceptions of the disease burden and an enhanced sense of social support<sup>223,224</sup>.

Adherence to dialysis is influenced by religious faith, age, and education: (a) Muslims have the desire to live and are less likely to discontinue dialysis<sup>215,223</sup>; (b) Christians with both extrinsic religiosity (religious behavior) and intrinsic religiosity (strong beliefs and commitments) show greater adherence<sup>223</sup>; (c) older age and longer dialysis vintage correlated with better adherence<sup>223,225</sup>; (d) patient counseling and education provide better results, increased adherence, and a potential reduction in healthcare-related costs<sup>223</sup>.

In some dialysis centers, social workers and psychologists have supported and encouraged non-formal religious activities (religious literature, prayer, discussion groups) as positive coping methods<sup>223,226</sup>.

Key message:

• It is recommended to respect and encourage religiosity and spirituality as a means of improving adherence and coping with dialytic CKD, involving the multidisciplinary team (Class I/Level B).

# Pharmacological Treatment of HTN in PD and HD

There is an apparent paradox regarding the use of antihypertensive drugs and BP control in dialysis patients, since the greater the number of medications, the greater the likelihood that BP is not controlled, suggesting that the combined use of different antihypertensive drugs may hinder the achievement of the target dry weight<sup>227</sup>. Antihypertensive medications should be used, if necessary, after volume control, preferably those assessed in RCTs. However, these studies are not widely available and have a limited number of participants, making it difficult to generalize guidelines for the use of antihypertensive drugs in dialytic CKD. The frequent CV impairment and the presence of comorbidities in dialysis patients make the combination of factors so diverse and unique that some guidelines recommend an individualized approach regarding the classes of drugs to be used<sup>3,9,48</sup>.

The use of antihypertensive medication in dialysis patients provides benefits, reducing overall and CV morbidity and mortality<sup>228,229</sup>. All classes of antihypertensives could be used for BP control in dialysis patients, if risks and benefits are considered<sup>5</sup>.

### DIURETICS

The use of these drugs is not supported for HD or PD patients without residual diuresis (< 100 mL/day). In PD patients, diuretics may improve volume status and minimize the need for solutions containing a higher glucose concentration, although the International Society of Peritoneal Dialysis Guidelines do not recommend their use<sup>120</sup>. In HD patients, diuretics could help reduce IDWG, resulting in reduced UF rates and fewer intradialytic hypotension episodes<sup>230,231</sup>.

In a prospective cohort study involving 5,219 patients who continued using loop diuretics after the initiation of HD compared to 6,078 controls who did not, lower rates of hospitalization, intradialytic hypotension, and IDWG were observed, with no significant difference in BP or mortality rate within the first year of dialysis. In the USA, the most used diuretic in HD patients is furosemide, at doses of 20 to  $\geq$  320 mg/day<sup>232</sup>.

A prospective observational study followed 16,420 HD patients across three continents and observed that the use of diuretics (> 90% loop diuretics) in the first 3 months of HD was higher in Japan (47.8%) and Europe (45.1%) compared to the United States (26.4%), with a progressive decline over this period<sup>233</sup>. In this study, diuretic use was associated with lower IDWG and a lower probability of hyperkalemia. Patients with RRF undergoing diuretic therapy were nearly twice as likely to maintain residual diuresis after one year of follow-up compared to those not using diuretics. Patients receiving diuretics also had a lower risk of overall mortality (-7%; p = 0.12) and CV mortality (-14%; p = 0.03)<sup>233</sup>.

No studies were found evaluating the use of thiazide or thiazide-like diuretics as monotherapy in PD or HD patients. A study with high-dose triple diuretic therapy (furosemide 1000 mg/day, hydrochlorothiazide 100 mg/day, and spironolactone 50 mg/day) in 51 PD patients demonstrated increased diuresis and improved volume control compared to furosemide alone<sup>234</sup>.

A meta-analysis including 28,226 HD patients revealed a potential benefit in reducing intradialytic hypotension, CV and all-cause mortality<sup>231</sup>.

Key message:

• The use of loop diuretics is recommended in HD and PD patients if residual diuresis is present (Class I/Level B).

# RENIN-ANGIOTENSIN-ALDOSTERONE SYSTEM (RAAS) INHIBITORS

RAAS inhibitors, including ACE inhibitors and ARBs are the most used antihypertensive medications in CKD at its different stages. A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials evaluating the antihypertensive effect of different classes of drugs compared to placebo or to each other found that ACE inhibitors/ARBs modestly reduced BP when compared to placebo (–4.3 mmHg in SBP), as expected for the hypervolemic state typical of CKD in the dialysis phase<sup>235</sup>. When compared to placebo, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs) are the most powerful in reducing BP (–10.8 mmHg), followed by BB (–8.7 mmHg) and CCB (–4.6 mmHg)<sup>235</sup>.

In dialysis patients, the use of ACE inhibitors or ARBs is associated with a reduction in left ventricular mass index, morbid events - especially HF - and CV mortality<sup>236–238</sup>. Furthermore, there is evidence that

the renal protection provided by these classes of drugs continues at this stage of the disease, as their use is associated with the maintenance of renal function or residual diuresis, which allows for better volume control and reduces the risk of intradialytic hypotension<sup>239,240</sup>. In PD patients, the use of ACE inhibitors/ARBs is preferably associated with preservation of the peritoneal membrane, with a reduction in fibrosis and maintenance of peritoneal clearance and UF<sup>241,242</sup>. The risk of hyperkalemia is plausible but controversial, as it is not observed in all studies<sup>243–245</sup>.

Key messages:

- The use of ACE inhibitors or ARBs in HD and PD patients is recommended as antihypertensive agents due to their pleiotropic effects: reduction of LVH, HF, reduction of peritoneal fibrosis in PD, and CV mortality (Class I/Level B).
- Monitoring serum potassium levels is recommended, although the risk of hyperkalemia is controversial and not always observed (Class IIA/Level B).

## BETA-BLOCKERS (BB)

In dialytic CKD, BB are recommended as the preferred medication. Two RCTs have demonstrated the efficacy and CV protection provided by BB in a subpopulation of dialysis patients. The first, a placebo-controlled RCT, showed that carvedilol used in 114 HD patients with HF (dilated cardiomyopathy and reduced ejection fraction) resulted in clinical improvement, a reduction (-49%) in overall and CV mortality (-68, 0%) and in hospitalizations due to HF  $(-81\%)^{58}$ . The second study aimed to compare the effect of reducing LVH with atenolol or lisinopril (administered following HD sessions) in HD patients with BP  $\geq$  140/90 mmHg and LVH. However, it was halted early because the interim results were so beneficial in favor of atenolol that it would have been unethical to continue the study<sup>246</sup>. Although with a limited number of participants, 100 in each group, the study has become a reference. The rates of severe CV events and hospitalizations were at least halved in the atenolol-randomized group when compared to lisinopril<sup>246</sup>. The 44-hour ABPM was consistently lower in the group receiving atenolol, even though participants in the lisinopril group had received more antihypertensive medication and reduced their dry weight by an additional 3 kilos during follow-up, indicating the superiority

of atenolol over lisinopril<sup>246</sup>. Other studies with BB (carvedilol, bisoprolol, and atenolol) have shown similar results regarding BP reduction, on average -8.7 mmHg in SBP<sup>235</sup>.

There is controversy in the literature regarding the dialyzable BB (atenolol, bisoprolol, metoprolol, nadolol) and the non-dialyzable ones (carvedilol, nebivolol, propranolol, pindolol)<sup>247</sup>. Some studies present objective data and suggest that dialyzable BB are superior to the non-dialyzable ones, as they show a reduction in major CV events (stroke, AMI, and CHF), and in both CV and overall mortality, when compared<sup>248,249</sup>. There is also a meta-analysis of observational studies that found no differences in the CV protective effects between dialyzable and non-dialyzable BB in individuals undergoing HD<sup>250</sup>. All studies that have evaluated possible differences in CV protection between dialyzable and non-dialyzable BB are observational, either retrospective or prospective cohorts, and based on drug prescription data.

Regardless of the BB, an important question arises: "Could its association with other antihypertensive drugs have an additional beneficial effect?" At least one observational study has evaluated this aspect in HD patients who developed HF after the initiation of dialysis and started using BB, ACE inhibitors/ARBs, or both<sup>251</sup>. In the 5-year mortality follow-up, patients who used only BB were considered a reference for those who used only ACEI/ARBs, those who used both BB and ACEI/ARBs, and for those using any other drugs. Compared to the reference (use of BB alone), mortality for those using only ACE inhibitors/ ARBs was similar (+8%; not statistically significant). For those who used a combination of BB and ACE inhibitors/ARBs, mortality was lower (-33%; p < 0.001), while for those who used neither BB nor ACE inhibitors/ARBs, mortality was higher (+74%;  $p < 0.001)^{251}$ .

Key messages:

- Beta-blockers are recommended as preferred drugs for HD and PD patients, unless contraindicated (Class I/Level B).
- Preferential use of atenolol is recommended, unless contraindicated (Class I/Level B).
- There is insufficient evidence on the preferential use of dialyzable *vs.* non-dialyzable BB (Class IIB/Level B).

# CALCIUM CHANNEL BLOCKERS (CCB)

There are few studies evaluating CCB in the treatment of HTN and the prevention of CV complications in dialysis patients. A meta-analysis of randomized studies<sup>235</sup> found only 3 studies with CCB compared to placebo (nitrendipine, diltiazem, and anlodipine), in which, on average, the hypotensive effect was similar to that of ACE inhibitors (-4.6 mmHg in systolic BP). The most robust RCT included 251 patients and compared anlodipine vs. placebo. It demonstrated a mean reduction of -10 mmHg in SBP, a nonsignificant reduction in overall mortality (primary outcome; RR = 0.62), and a significant reduction (RR = 0.53, p = 0.03) in secondary outcomes (AMI, stroke, myocardial revascularization, and peripheral artery disease requiring revascularization or amputation)<sup>252</sup>. It was observed that the hypotensive effect of nitrendipine was more pronounced in patients with higher IDWG<sup>253</sup>.

A systematic review with meta-analysis identified 13 randomized or quasi-randomized studies involving the use of CCB in dialysis patients<sup>254</sup>. Although the number of patients included in this analysis was large (1,459), the diversity of the studies and the low level of confidence limited the conclusions<sup>254</sup>.

Key message:

• The use of CCB in HD and PD patients is recommended, as they maintain their antihypertensive and CV-protective effects, even in the presence of hypervolemia (Class I/Level B).

## MINERALOCORTICOID RECEPTOR ANTAGONISTS (MRA)

A meta-analysis including 1,133 HD patients in 11 studies on MRA (10 with spironolactone and 1 with eplerenone) observed a greater hypotensive effect when compared to placebo (-10.8 mmHg in SBP) and higher drug discontinuation rates, although with no additional risk of hyperkalemia<sup>235</sup>.

Another meta-analysis, which included 1,309 HD patients, in 14 RCTs involving the use of MRAs (13 with spironolactone) vs. placebo or no treatment, demonstrated a significant reduction in non-fatal CV events (-49%), a reduction in overall mortality (-56%) and CV mortality (-59%), without causing statistically significant hyperkalemia<sup>255</sup>.

A meta-analysis including 829 dialysis patients from 9 RCTs on MRA observed a significant reduction in overall mortality (–60%) and CV mortality (–66%). However, a 3-fold increase in the risk of hyperkalemia

and up to a 5-fold increase in the risk of gynecomastia were observed<sup>256</sup>. In the available meta-analyses, although hyperkalemia may pose a risk, it does not appear to mitigate the beneficial effect on CV and overall mortality<sup>255,256</sup>.

Two large ongoing RCTs could provide more reliable data on the efficacy and safety of spironolactone use in dialytic CKD. The Aldosterone Antagonist Chronic Hemodialysis Interventional Survival Trial (ALCHEMIST), which has already recruited 825 HD patients and is due to be completed in 2024, evaluates the effects of spironolactone on clinical outcomes of interest. The Aldosterone Blockade for Health Improvement Evaluation in ESKD Trial (ACHIEVE) plans to enroll 2,750 dialysis patients and compare the effects of spironolactone in relation to CV death or hospitalization.

It is worth noting that the existing studies predominantly use spironolactone, with a higher risk of gynecomastia, and a low and controversial risk of hyperkalemia (Class I/Level B). To date, there has been no RCT using selective non-steroidal MRAs in HD or PD.

Key message:

• The use of MRAs in HD patients is recommended, given their good antihypertensive effect and their capacity to reduce CV and overall morbidity and mortality (Class I/Level A). For PD patients, the number of studies and the evidence are weak (Class IIB/Level B).

### OTHER CLASSES OF ANTIHYPERTENSIVES

### CENTRALLY ACTING SYMPATHOLYTICS

There are no studies involving methyldopa, and a systematic review with meta-analysis concluded that there is no evidence to support the chronic use of clonidine in HD. In addition, its use is associated with a significant side effect profile<sup>257</sup>.

#### DIRECT-ACTING VASODILATORS

There have been no studies of direct-acting vasodilators such as hydralazine and minoxidil in the dialysis population. Hydralazine has been used in association to isosorbide in HD patients with HF with reduced ejection fraction, but not as an antihypertensive agent<sup>258,259</sup>.

However, sympatholytics and direct vasodilator agents are used empirically in dialytic CKD, particularly in resistant and refractory HTN. A study conducted in 210 dialysis clinics in the United States found that in the first 6 months of dialysis, sympatholytics are used by 19% of patients, while vasodilators are used by 4% to 10%<sup>260</sup>.

#### Key message:

• It is recommended that centrally acting sympatholytics and direct vasodilators be used as the 5th or 6th antihypertensive medication in dialysis patients, or if there are contraindications to the other antihypertensive drugs (Class IIA/ Level C).

# RENAL AND HEMODIALYSIS CLEARANCE OF ANTIHYPERTENSIVE DRUGS

The need for dose adjustment or post-dialysis replacement of the prescribed antihypertensive medication is a recurring concern for nephrologists when monitoring HD patients. Chart 8 shows a summary of antihypertensive drugs available in Brazil that are either renally cleared or more extensively dialyzable, and therefore may require adjustment. Antihypertensives not mentioned do not require adjustment or post-HD replacement<sup>261</sup>.

# TIMING OF ADMINISTRATION OR SUSPENSION OF PREDIALYSIS DRUG DOSING

Intradialytic hypotension is always a risk for HD patients, particularly if there is excessive IDWG or use of drugs that block defense mechanisms in the event of transient hypovolemia during HD (sympatholytics, BB, and ACE inhibitors/ARBs). It is therefore tempting to reduce the dose or refrain from administering some medication(s) on the day of HD or in the hours prior to it. There is a single cluster-randomized study, conducted in five centers that maintained antihypertensive medication (65 participants) and five centers that discontinued medication on the day of HD  $(n = 66)^{98}$ . In both groups, the medication used in a single daily dose was maintained as a bedtime dose. The study revealed that there was no reduced risk of intradialytic hypotension nor was there a higher frequency of reaching the estimated dry weight in the group where medication was discontinued on the day of HD. However, there was a higher risk of pre-dialysis hypertension (SBP > 160 mmHg)<sup>98</sup>.

RAIE OF	REMOVAL BY DIALYSIS"	
Drug class and medications	Drug adjustment in CKD	Dialysis removal
ACE Inhibitors		
Captopril	<b>GFR &lt; 10 mL/min:</b> administer 50% of the dose every 24 hours	<b>HD:</b> administer an extra dose after HD <b>PD:</b> insignificant removal
Enalapril	<b>GFR ≤ 30 mL/min:</b> 2.5 mg every 24 hours. Increase progressively according to BP	<ul><li>HD: clearance rate 20% - 50%. Extra dose of 2.5 mg after HD</li><li>PD: adjust to 25% of the usual dose</li></ul>
Benazepril	<b>GFR</b> ≤ <b>30 mL/min:</b> 5 mg every 24h. Increase progressively according to BP	<ul><li>HD: adjust the dose to 25% - 50% of the usual dose. No extra dose post-HD</li><li>PD: adjust the dose to 25% to 50% of the usual dose</li></ul>
Lisinopril	<b>GFR 10-30 mL/min:</b> 2.5 mg - 5mg every 24 hours. Increase progressively according to BP <b>GFR &lt; 10 mL/min:</b> consider replacing with another medication (high risk of AE).	<ul><li>HD: 50% clearance rate. The use of 10 mg after HD (3 times/week) is recommended, increasing the dose progressively according to BP</li><li>PD: 2.5 mg every 24 hours. Increase progressively according to BP</li></ul>
Ramipril	<b>GFR ≤40 mL/min:</b> 25% of the usual dose.	HD: insignificant removal PD: insignificant removal
Perindopril	GFR <30 mL/min: not recommended	HD: post-HD use, if so PD: not recommended
Beta-blockers		
Atenolol	GFR >30 mL/min: no need for dose	HD: removal rate 25% to 50%. Use of dose after
Bisoprolol	adjustment	HD
Metoprolol	GEB 10-30ml /min: half ofdaily dose	PD: insignificant removal. Use ¼ of daily dose
Nadolol	GEB <10ml /min: ¼ of daily dose	
Propranolol	No nood for doso adjustment	HD: insignificant romoval
Pindolol	No need for dose adjustment	<b>D</b> : insignificant removal
Carvedilol Nebivolol		
Sympatholytic drug	S	
Alpha-methyldopa	<b>GFR &lt;10 mL/min:</b> Administer every 12 to 24 hours	HD: removal rate of up to 60%. Use one dose after HD.
		PD: Administer every 12 to 24 hours.
Clonidine	<b>GFR &lt; 30 mL/min:</b> start with a low dose and increase slowly according to BP and EA	HD: insignificant removal PD: insignificant removal
ARB		
Angiotensin Receptors Blockers CCB	No need for dose adjustment	HD: insignificant removal PD: insignificant removal
Calcium Channel Blockers Diuroties	No need for dose adjustment	HD: insignificant removal PD: insignificant removal
Loop Diuretics	No need for dose adjustment	HD: insignificant removal PD: insignificant removal
Alpha-blockers Alpha-blockers	No need for dose adjustment	HD: insignificant removal PD: insignificant removal
Vasodilators Direct Vasodilators	No need for dose adjustment	<b>HD:</b> insignificant removal <b>PD:</b> insignificant removal

# CHART 8 MAIN ANTIHYPERTENSIVE DRUGS AVAILABLE IN BRAZIL. DOSE ADJUSTMENT IN CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE AND RATE OF REMOVAL BY DIALYSIS\*

Abbreviations – ACE: angiotensin-converting enzymes; GFR: glomerular filtration rate; CKD: chronic kidney disease; HD: hemodialysis; PD: peritoneal dialysis; AE: adverse effects; ARB: dos angiotensin receptors blockers; CCB: calcium channel blockers. Notes – \*Chart adapted from reference<sup>261</sup>. Information for dose adjustment and removal during dialysis based on UpToDate 2021 recommendations for each drug listed.

#### Key message:

• There is no evidence of benefits in suspending or reducing the dose of antihypertensive medication on the day of HD (Class III/Level B).

### **COMBINATION THERAPY**

The classes of antihypertensive drugs with the most appropriate profile, considering the effect on BP, the reduction in CV complications, and safety, would be: BB, followed by CCBs and ACE inhibitors/ARBs, and finally MRA. However, this is not the reality in clinical practice. Most patients start their dialysis treatment using BB, ACE inhibitors/ARB, and CCB<sup>260</sup>. Thus, the most reasonable initial strategy should be to control hypervolemia, reaching the estimated DW, avoiding the combination of antihypertensives, and (re)introducing them according to the characteristics of each patient, in the order suggested above. Other antihypertensive drugs could be added, if necessary, only after euvolemia has been reached.

## SPECIAL SITUATIONS OF HYPERTENSION IN DIALYSIS

### HYPERTENSION IN PREGNANT WOMEN ON DP AND HD

The prevalence of pregnancies in women on dialysis is estimated at 1% to 7%, being more frequent in HD patients than in those on PD<sup>262,263</sup>. Chronic hypertension in early pregnancy is an important factor for maternal complications, but it is not associated with neonatal complications (1/5 = 20.0%*vs.* 2/9 = 22.2%, with no chronic hypertension)<sup>262,263</sup>. Recently, an increase in the number of pregnancies in women with CKD has been observed, possibly due to improvements in HTN management and a reduction in complications such as polyhydramnios<sup>264</sup>.

The most common maternal complications in women with CKD, whether dialytic or not, during pregnancy are hypertensive disorders. Identifying the difference between preeclampsia and decompensation of CKD may be challenging. HTN in these patients can be related to fluid overload, and its management with UF could lead to target organ hypoperfusion, including the placenta<sup>262</sup>. There are no randomized clinical trials establishing the optimal BP for pregnant women with CKD on dialysis<sup>265</sup>. Available studies typically follow the HTN management recommendations from the major obstetric guidelines<sup>263–266</sup>. In addition, strict BP control is necessary, with a target pressure < 140/90 mmHg or a DBP < 85 mmHg, according to the CHIPS study<sup>267</sup>. Pregnancy in dialysis patients shows better outcomes when dialysis time and dose are intensified, based on serum urea levels (below 50–70 mg/dL)<sup>265</sup>. A systematic review highlighted a higher risk of pregnancy complications in women with CKD, including preeclampsia (odds ratio [OR] 10.4, 95% CI 6.3–17.1), preterm birth (OR 5.7, 95% CI 3.3– 10.0), intrauterine growth restriction or low birth weight (OR 4.9, 95% CI 3.0–7.8), caesarean sections (OR 2.7, 95% CI 2.0–3.5), and pregnancy failures [including stillbirth and fetal and neonatal death] (OR 1.8, 95% CI 1.0–3.1), compared to healthy women in the control group<sup>264</sup>.

The live birth rate on dialysis has improved significantly over the decades, from 25% in 1960 to over 75% currently. However, 53.4% of babies are still born prematurely, and 65% have a low birth weight, i.e. less than 2.5 kilos<sup>268</sup>. A review that analyzed 10 articles revealed that most pregnant women on HD follow therapeutic regimens ranging from 15 to 40 hours a week (in daily or four-times-a-week regimens), urea target below 60 mg/dL, and creatinine target of 6 mg/ dL. The same review demonstrated that most patients use a dialysate flow rate of 500 mL/min, while blood flow and the type of dialyzer varied considerably<sup>269</sup>. Another systematic review identified an overall live birth rate of 82%, with a positive relationship between the number of hours on dialysis and better outcomes, including a reduced risk of preterm birth before 37 weeks of gestation and small-for-gestational-age newborns below the tenth percentile<sup>270</sup>.

Key messages:

- HTN management in pregnant women on PD or HD should be conducted in accordance with the guidelines established for BP control in the general population.
- Increased dialysis time and dose are related to better maternal and fetal outcomes (Class I/ Level 2A).

RESISTANT (RHT) AND REFRACTORY HYPERTENSION (RFHT) IN PERITONEAL DIALYSIS AND HEMODIALYSIS PATIENTS

According to the main Guidelines, resistant hypertension (RHT) is characterized by a lack of BP control (usually BP < 140/90 mmHg) for more than 3 months, even with the use of three antihypertensive drugs, preferably a thiazide diuretic, a RAAS inhibitor,

and a CCB, or the use of four BP-controlling drugs<sup>271</sup>. RfHT, in turn, occurs when BP remains outside the therapeutic target for more than 6 months, even with the use of five or more drugs, including a MRA (spironolactone) and a long-acting thiazide diuretic (chlorthalidone)<sup>272,273</sup>.

To diagnose these conditions, it is important to assess treatment adherence and confirm uncontrolled BP using ABPM or HBPM, according to the protocols discussed in Chapter 3.

Although the pathophysiological mechanism of RHT is directly related to volume overload, which is worsened in the presence of CKD 5D, clinical practice shows that many patients, upon initiating dialysis treatment, still have elevated BP values, despite adequate UF. Studies report a prevalence of RHT ranging from 18%<sup>274</sup> to 24%<sup>6</sup>.

For better control of RHT and RfHT, it is important to ensure adequate volume control, achieving optimal DW, as well as individualized prescription of sodium in the dialysis fluid, appropriate dialysis length, and restriction of salt intake in the interdialytic period<sup>274</sup>.

Pharmacological treatment for patients undergoing dialysis with RHT or RfHT has some particularities. The use of diuretics is not recommended for patients without RRF, and pharmacological treatment follows the recommendations already described in Chapter 7. A non-randomized intervention study has highlighted the BP response to sacubitril/valsartan in these patients, albeit with a small sample size and short duration<sup>275</sup>.

The use of spironolactone has been shown to be poorly effective for BP control, but it has a positive effect on reducing overall mortality and the incidence of CV events<sup>276</sup>.

In more challenging cases of BP control in RHT or RfHT patients, once the options of other antihypertensive classes have been exhausted, direct vasodilators such as hydralazine or minoxidil may be considered as therapeutic options<sup>277</sup>. Furthermore, the use of renal denervation in RHT on dialysis has been proposed, although this approach remains a matter of debate. Recent studies, such as RCTs, have shown a favorable short- and long-term BP response patients undergoing this intervention<sup>278,279</sup>. in pharmacological The treatment follows the recommendations established in Chapter 7.

Key messages:

• It is recommended that, for the diagnosis of true RHT and RfHT, adherence to treatment should

be checked and confirmed, preferably by ABPM or HBPM (Class I/Level A).

• Renal denervation may be a therapeutic option in selected patients (Class 2B/Level C).

Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Undergoing Dialysis

Isolated systolic arterial hypertension (SHTN) is highly prevalent in elderly patients due to the impaired elasticity of the thoracic great vessels. Conversely, it is known that SHTN, with or without elevated diastolic levels, assessed during the interdialytic period by ABPM or HBPM, is diagnosed in more than 70% of CKD 5D patients<sup>280</sup>. Thus, elderly patients initiating dialysis treatment, like all other subpopulations, may experience accelerated vascular damage, characteristic of CKD 5D patients<sup>281</sup>.

Elderly patients on dialysis may present with SHTN, even after reaching their DW. In such cases, it is necessary to consider the possibility that increases in systolic levels are the result of central vascular stiffness<sup>274</sup>. The so-called pseudo hypertension should be included in the differential diagnosis of these patients<sup>4</sup>. It is not uncommon to prescribe gradual fluid removal through UF to normalize BP in these conditions. However, it is important to consider that elderly individuals with pseudo hypertension may develop intravascular hypovolemia with severe CV consequences. Additionally, it is important to consider that elderly hypertensive patients on dialysis may also have secondary hypertension (see item 8.5) and other HTN phenotypes, such as WCH and MH (see item 3.4.3), and that clinical investigations should be conducted as minimally invasively as possible.

The lack of RCTs on the harmful effects of SHTN in dialysis patients leads to all recommendations being based on expert opinions. The situation becomes more complex when it comes to suggestions for the elderly undergoing dialysis therapy.

# Arterial Hypertension in Hemodialysis Associated with High-Flow Arteriovenous Fistula

The hemodynamic effects of the creation of an arteriovenous fistula (AVF)<sup>282</sup> have been studied in patients requiring HD. Before creating an AVF, it is crucial to perform a comprehensive cardiac function assessment, with an emphasis on the RV, complemented by information on the LV. Together, a detailed CV evaluation, electrocardiogram and transthoracic echocardiogram enable cardiac

functions and structures to be assessed prior to AVF. Furthermore, it is essential to perform a thorough assessment to establish the optimal diameter of the arteriovenous anastomosis, ensuring that the consequent flow reduction manages to lower BP, with benefits outweighing the risk of volume overload and increased pressure on the RV.

Significant hemodynamic changes occur due to the redistribution of fluids from the high-pressure, low-capacitance arterial system to a low-pressure, high-capacitance system, resulting in reduced peripheral resistance, an increased RV preload and LV afterload<sup>283</sup>. Clinical studies have shown that SBP and DBP decrease in the short and long term after the creation of an AVF, but increase following its ligation<sup>284–286</sup>. Scholz et al.<sup>283</sup>, in a meta-analysis, confirmed these findings.

It is essential to assess the hemodynamic and cardiac effects of an AVF and its possible complications, such as right heart failure caused by increased venous return, RV overload, and LV remodeling. Additionally, side effects such as venous stenosis, increased pulmonary BP, and right ventricular dysfunction may occur due to the increased venous flow<sup>284-286</sup>. These alterations may lead to the need for AVF closure in symptomatic patients<sup>287</sup>. It is crucial to consider the long-term effects of this artificially increased volume load on the right heart. Reddy et al.288 have demonstrated that the creation of an AVF resulted in significant right heart dilatation and deterioration in right ventricular function, consequently leading to heart failure in over 40% of patients. Conversely, the creation of an AVF may lead to a modest reduction in the left ventricular size and mass, as well as slowing CKD progression in some cases<sup>288</sup>.

### SECONDARY HYPERTENSION IN DIALYSIS

Dialysis patients who meet the established criteria for RHT/RfHT (see item 8.2) are candidates to be assessed for possible underlying causes, in addition to the CKD condition itself, which could alone justify the difficulty in BP management<sup>5</sup>. Patients with secondary endocrine or non-endocrine causes are rarely identified, and the use of medications that may lead to uncontrolled BP - such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, recombinant human erythropoietin, and corticosteroids - should be particularly noted<sup>84</sup>. Another non-endocrine cause highly prevalent among chronic kidney disease patients, including over 50%

CHART 9	CARDIOVASCULAR COMPLICATIONS OF	
	ARTERIAL HYPERTENSION IN DIALYSIS CHRONIC	
	KIDNEY DISEASE	
Left ventrie	cular hypertrophy	
Heart failu	re	
Coronary h	neart disease	
Sudden ca	rdiac death	
Atrial fibrill	ation	
Stroke		
Peripheral arterial obstructive disease (including carotid		
territory)		
Aortic ane	urysms (thoracic, abdominal)	
Occlusion	of the central retinal artery or central retinal	
vein		

of those on HD and PD, is OSA, which should be recognized and treated<sup>78,289,290</sup>. All possible etiologies should be considered and investigated in clinically and laboratory-selected patients, after achieving the appropriate dry weight.

### COMPLICATIONS OF HTN IN DIALYSIS PATIENTS

The complications of HTN in dialysis patients are eminently CV and fall within the spectrum of target organ damage due to HTN in patients with normal renal function (Chart 9). However, due to multiple other CV injury mechanisms in CKD, such as systemic inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, arterial calcification, hyperparathyroidism, anemia, salt overload, and the presence of AVF, it is difficult to demonstrate an independent relationship between HTN and CV complications in dialytic CKD. There are very few studies reporting improvement in these complications with the treatment of HTN, which is a limiting factor for conclusions.

The most important association is that with clinically manifest CV outcomes such as HF, CAD, sudden cardiac death, and stroke. The relationship between HTN and CV events in dialytic CKD is complex. Studies indicate a U-shaped association between the BP obtained in the dialysis unit and CV events, both coronary and cerebral<sup>3</sup>, as well as with ambulatory BP measurements in PD<sup>291</sup>. Events occur at the highest rates in patients with pre-dialysis SBP below 110–120 mmHg. The lowest incidence of events is seen at SBP values between 140–160 mmHg, and there is a slight but significant increase above these levels. There are two possible explanations, supported by evidence, for this paradox. One explanation refers to the method of BP measurement, particularly that measured outside the dialysis unit, as some studies suggest that the use of ABPM instead of peridialytic BP converts the U-shaped relationship into a linear one, more similar to what is observed in hypertensive patients with no kidney disease<sup>3,5,99,292,293</sup>. Similarly, in PD, the absence of a linear relationship between BP and CV outcomes becomes positive when based on SBP values obtained with ABPM<sup>294</sup>. The other explanation is related to the coexistence of cardiac dysfunction in patients with lower BP. A study showed that in patients assessed by ABPM, an analysis excluding patients with HF or AF transformed a U-shaped relationship into a positive linear relationship between BP and outcomes<sup>295</sup>.

LVH is a common complication of HTN that often precedes the onset of HF and predisposes to arrhythmias that lead to sudden cardiac death. Despite the presence of various other factors in the genesis of LVH in dialysis, HTN is an independent causal factor in several studies<sup>296</sup>. A recent study showed that LVH in HD patients progressively increased with a pre-dialysis SBP rise (1.9x between 131-139 mmHg, 7.7× between 140-149 mmHg, and 12.9× if > 150 mmHg)<sup>297</sup>. Unfortunately, it is not clear whether lowering BP results in improved LVH in dialysis patients. In the BID (BP in Dialysis) study, there were no differences in LV mass between patients randomized to intensive BP control (SBP 115-140 mmHg) vs. the control group (SBP 155-165 mmHg) after one year of follow-up<sup>178</sup>. Conversely, salt restriction and prolonged dialysis, two interventions that result in better BP control, provide regression of LVH in dialysis patients<sup>298</sup>. ARBs and ACE inhibitors lead to LVH regression in HD and PD patients<sup>299</sup>, which is not the case with aldosterone receptor blockers<sup>300</sup>. It is not defined whether this effect is mediated by BP reduction or by other mechanisms.

Atrial Fibrillation (AF) has an average prevalence of 11.6% and an average annual incidence of 2.7% in dialytic CKD<sup>301</sup>. While the relationship between HTN and AF is strong in patients without CKD, this association in dialysis is not consistent across publications. Among the largest studies evaluating the issue, there was an approximately 22% increase in the risk of chronic AF in hypertensive patients in a USRDS study<sup>302</sup>, while two studies from other databases (Medicare/Medicaid and DOPPS)<sup>303,304</sup> demonstrated a decreased risk of AF in the presence of HTN, both in a binary manner (21% reduction)<sup>304</sup> and linearly (6% reduction for every 10 mmHg increase in pre-HD SBP)<sup>303</sup>. There are no studies analyzing the impact of HTN treatment on the incidence of AF in dialysis patients.

PAD is common in patients with CKD. The relationship between HTN and PAD in dialysis patients is inconsistent. In the CRIC study, HTN was not a risk factor for PAD<sup>305</sup>. On the other hand, the DOPPS study showed a significant relationship between HTN and the prevalence of PAD in HD patients<sup>306</sup>. There is no evidence that the treatment of HTN has any impact on the onset or regression of PAD in CKD. By extrapolation, it makes sense for patients with aortic aneurysms to be managed with impulse control (reduction in both BP and HR).

Retinal vein occlusion (central artery, central vein) is a complication associated with several risk factors, including HTN. Central retinal artery occlusion is 4.5-fold more frequent in dialytic CKD than in patients with normal renal function. Similarly, central retinal vein occlusion, a more common entity than central artery occlusion, occurs 2.6 times more frequently in dialysis patients<sup>307</sup>. In retrospective studies with multivariate analysis, HTN is independently associated with both complications<sup>308,309</sup>.

Key message:

• To reduce the risk of CV complications in hypertensive dialysis patients, it is recommended to avoid pre-dialysis SBP < 120 or > 160 mmHg (Class IIA/Level B).

## CONCLUSIONS

The diagnosis and management of HTN in dialytic CKD are even more complex than for the general population. Different pathophysiological determinants are involved in CKD 5D hypertension, with sodium and volume overload playing a major role. Technologies for this analysis must prove to be both safe and feasible.

There is greater variability in the circadian rhythm of BP, and 44-hour ABPM appears to provide the most accurate diagnostic and prognostic information. However, HBPM is better tolerated and may be a useful substitute in resource-limited settings. RCTs should be conducted in the long-term using these diagnostic and follow-up tools to determine their importance and significance in outcomes.

Both intradialytic and off-dialysis hyper- and hypotension are harmful and should be avoided.

Non-pharmacological interventions, such as dietary sodium restriction, prolonged dialysis vintage,

and changing dialysis prescription to improve sodium diffusion, may help in the management of HTN, and have an impact on symptoms, quality of life, and CV complications.

When dry weight is achieved and it is not sufficient for HTN control, antihypertensive pharmacotherapy is recommended. Although meta-analyses reveal a survival benefit from therapy, no study has fully demonstrated the benefit of one class of antihypertensive drugs over another. Large head-to-head comparative RCTs are needed to elucidate the optimal pharmacological treatment for HTN in dialysis, a gap to be filled by researchers worldwide.

Treatment and BP targets should be individualized, considering best practices, cost/benefit, dialysis medication clearance, age, frailty, vulnerability, comorbidities, polypharmacy, as well as the patient's priorities and preferences.

## **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

CISR, SRFF: design and general coordination of the guidelines; CISR, SRFF, AFSM, CEPF, DRS, FSGP, FAA, MEP, RB, RBP: chapter coordination; AJP, AEPLF, ADMF, CAM, CA, DMJ, ESM, GVF, JAMN, JMPJ, LDL, LFD, LCM, LAB, MGB, MVBM, MVPCM, MEFC, RDM, RJSF, RPF, RAM, RME, WKSBS, WN: writing; CISR, SRFF, AFSM, CEPF, DRS, FSGP, FAA, MEP, RB, RBP: critical review of the manuscript; all authors have approved the final version to be published.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

Declaration of potential conflicts of interest by the authors/collaborators of the Brazilian Guidelines of Arterial Hypertension		
Relationship of t with the pharma	the Guideline's author/collaborator ceutical industry in the past 3 years	
Guideline Member	Relationship with pharmaceutical industry	
Aldo José Peixoto	Receives personal or institutional support from the industry: all grants were institutional (all from research in which I served as Principal Investigator) and all were directed to Yale University (New Haven, CT, USA) by the following pharmaceutical or medical device companies: Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Lundbeck, Reata, Vascular Dynamics.	

Ana Elizabeth Prado Lima Figueiredo	Nothing to declare.
Ana Flávia de Souza Moura	Nothing to declare.
Audes Diógenes Magalhães Feitosa	Is a member of the advisory or steering board of the pharmaceutical or medical device industry.
Carlos Eduardo Poli- de-Figueiredo	Participated in regulatory committees for industry- sponsored scientific studies (HD Fit: not related to this Guideline). Received personal or institutional support from the industry (Mayo Clinic PucRS). Authored scientific manuscripts for industry-sponsored journals (HD Fit).
Carlos Alberto Machado	Nothing to declare.
Celso Amodeo	Nothing to declare.
Cibele Isaac Saad Rodrigues	Nothing to declare.
Décio Mion Junior	Nothing to declare.
Dirceu Reis da Silva	Is a member of the advisory or steering board of the pharmaceutical or medical device industry. Received personal or institutional
	support from the industry.
Elizabeth Silaid Muxfeldt	support from the industry. Nothing to declare.
Elizabeth Silaid Muxfeldt Fernanda Salomão Gorayeb Polacchini	support from the industry. Nothing to declare. Received personal or institutional support from the industry.
Elizabeth Silaid Muxfeldt Fernanda Salomão Gorayeb Polacchini Fernando Antônio de Almeida	support from the industry. Nothing to declare. Received personal or institutional support from the industry. Nothing to declare.
Elizabeth Silaid Muxfeldt Fernanda Salomão Gorayeb Polacchini Fernando Antônio de Almeida Giovanio Vieira da Silva	support from the industry. Nothing to declare. Received personal or institutional support from the industry. Nothing to declare. Nothing to declare.
Elizabeth Silaid Muxfeldt Fernanda Salomão Gorayeb Polacchini Fernando Antônio de Almeida Giovanio Vieira da Silva José Andrade Moura-Neto	support from the industry. Nothing to declare. Received personal or institutional support from the industry. Nothing to declare. Nothing to declare.
Elizabeth Silaid Muxfeldt Fernanda Salomão Gorayeb Polacchini Fernando Antônio de Almeida Giovanio Vieira da Silva José Andrade Moura-Neto José Muniz Pazeli Júnior	support from the industry.         Nothing to declare.         Received personal or institutional support from the industry.         Nothing to declare.

Luciano	Nothing to declare.
Drager	
Luis Cuadrado Martin	Received personal or institutional support from the industry.
Luiz Aparecido Bortolotto	Authored scientific manuscripts for industry-sponsored journals.
Marcus Gomes Bastos	Nothing to declare.
Marcus Vinícius Bolívar Malachias	Speaker at industry-sponsored events or activities related to the positioning in question. Authored scientific manuscripts for industry-sponsored journals.
Marcus Vinicius P. Cavalcanti Moreira	Nothing to declare.
Maria Eliete Pinheiro	Nothing to declare.
Maria Eugenia Fernandes Canziani	Nothing to declare.
Roberto Jorge da Silva Franco	Nothing to declare.
Roberto Dischinger Miranda	Nothing to declare.
Roberto Pecoits Filho	Is a member of the advisory or steering board of the pharmaceutical or medical device industry. Participated in regulatory committees for industry- sponsored scientific studies. Received personal or institutional support from the industry. Authored scientific manuscripts for industry-sponsored journals.
Rodrigo Bezerra	Nothing to declare.
Rogério Baumgratz de Paula	Nothing to declare.
Rogerio Andrade Mulinari	Nothing to declare.
Rosilene Motta Elias	Nothing to declare.

Sebastião Rodrigues	Nothing to declare.
Ferreira-Filho	
Weimar Kunz Sebba Barroso	Participated in clinical and/or experimental studies subsidized by the pharmaceutical or medical device industry related to the guideline in question. Speaker at industry-sponsored events or activities related to the positioning in question. Is a member of the advisory or steering board of the pharmaceutical or medical device industry. Participated in regulatory committees for industry- sponsored scientific studies. Received personal or institutional support from the industry. Authored scientific manuscripts in industry-sponsored journals.
Wilson Nadruz	Nothing to declare.

## REFERENCES

- Sociedade Brasileira de Cardiologia. Classes de recomendação e níveis de evidência. Recomendações para a elaboração de diretrizes, posicionamentos e atualizações. São Paulo: Sociedade Brasileira de Cardiologia; 2019 [cited 2024 Aug 6]. Available from: http://publicacoes.cardiol.br/2014/diretrizes/ pdf/Recomendacoes-para-Elaboracao-de-Diretrizes-SBC-2019-PDF.pdf.
- Nerbass FB, Lima HDN, Moura-Neto JA, Lugon JR, Sesso R. Brazilian Dialysis Survey 2022. J Bras Nefrol. 2024 Apr-Jun;46(2):e20230062. doi: 10.1590/2175-8239-JBN-2023-0062en. PMID: 38078834; PMCID: PMC11210532.
- Bansal N, Artinian NT, Bakris G, Chang T, Cohen J, Flythe J, et al. Hypertension in patients treated with in-center maintenance hemodialysis: current evidence and future opportunities: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Hypertension. 2023;80(6):e112–22. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1161/HYP.00000000000230. PMid:37092336.
- 4. Barroso WKS, Rodrigues CIS, Bortolotto LA, Mota-Gomes MA, Brandao AA, Feitosa ADM, et al. Brazilian Guidelines of Hypertension 2020. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2021;116(3):516–658. doi: http://doi.org/10.36660/abc.20201238. PMid:33909761.
- 5. Sarafidis PA, Persu A, Agarwal R, Burnier M, de Leeuw P, Ferro CJ, et al. Hypertension in dialysis patients: a consensus document by the European Renal and Cardiovascular Medicine (EURECA-m) working group of the European Renal Association-European Dialysis and Transplant Association (ERA-EDTA) and the Hypertension and the Kidney working group of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH). Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2017;32(4):620–40. doi: http://doi. org/10.1093/ndt/gfw433. PubMed PMID: 28340239.
- Mallamaci F, Torino C, Sarafidis P, Ekart R, Loutradis C, Siamopoulos K, et al. Treatment-resistant hypertension in the hemodialysis population: a 44-h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring-based study. J Hypertens. 2020;38(9):1849– 56. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.000000000002448. PubMed PMID: 32649620.

- 7. Georgianos PI, Sarafidis PA, Zoccali C. Intradialysis hypertension in end-stage renal disease patients: clinical epidemiology, pathogenesis, and treatment. Hypertension. 2015;66(3):456–63. doi: http://doi.org/10.1161/ HYPERTENSIONAHA.115.05858. PubMed PMID: 26150436.
- Foley RN, Herzog CA, Collins AJ, United States Renal Data System. Blood pressure and long-term mortality in United States hemodialysis patients: USRDS Waves 3 and 4 Study. Kidney Int. 2002;62(5):1784–90. doi: http://doi.org/10.1046/ j.1523-1755.2002.00636.x. PubMed PMID: 12371980.
- Flythe JE, Chang TI, Gallagher MP, Lindley E, Madero M, Sarafidis PA, et al. Blood pressure and volume management in dialysis: conclusions from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Controversies Conference. Kidney Int. 2020;97(5):861–76. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j. kint.2020.01.046. PubMed PMID: 32278617.
- 10. Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (K/DOQI). K/ DOQI clinical practice guidelines on hypertension and antihypertensive agents in chronic kidney disease. Am J Kidney Dis. 2004;43(5, Suppl 1):S1–290. PubMed PMID: 15114537.
- 11. Chazot C, Charra B, Laurent G, Didier C, Vo Van C, Terrat JC, et al. Interdialysis blood pressure control by long haemodialysis sessions. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 1995;10(6):831–7. PubMed PMID: 7566612.
- Charra B, Bergstrom J, Scribner BH. Blood pressure control in dialysis patients: importance of the lag phenomenon. Am J Kidney Dis. 1998;32(5):720–4. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/ S0272-6386(98)70147–7. PubMed PMID: 9820439.
- 13. Ozkahya M, Ok E, Toz H, Asci G, Duman S, Basci A, et al. Long-term survival rates in haemodialysis patients treated with strict volume control. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2006;21(12):3506–13. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfl487. PubMed PMID: 17000733.
- 14. Agarwal R. Volume-associated ambulatory blood pressure patterns in hemodialysis patients. Hypertension. 2009;54(2): 241–7. doi: http://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA. 109.136366. PubMed PMID: 19528362.
- 15. Van Biesen W, Williams JD, Covic AC, Fan S, Claes K, Lichodziejewska-Niemierko M, et al. Fluid status in peritoneal dialysis patients: the European Body Composition Monitoring (EuroBCM) study cohort. PLoS One. 2011;6(2):e17148. doi: http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017148. PubMed PMID: 21390320.
- 16. de Castro Jr JR, Fernandes N, Lacet TB, Maia FS, Bonato GR, Nogueira C, et al. Total body water reduction in subjects with chronic kidney disease on peritoneal dialysis is associated with a better hypertension control. J Bras Nefrol. 2014;36(4):482–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.5935/0101-2800.20140069. PubMed PMID: 25517277.
- 17. Titze J, Shakibaei M, Schafflhuber M, Schulze-Tanzil G, Porst M, Schwind KH, et al. Glycosaminoglycan polymerization may enable osmotically inactive Na+ storage in the skin. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2004;287(1):H203–8. doi: http://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.01237.2003. PubMed PMID: 14975935.
- Titze J. Sodium balance is not just a renal affair. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. 2014;23(2):101–5. doi: http://doi. org/10.1097/01.mnh.0000441151.55320.c3. PubMed PMID: 24401786.
- Selvarajah V, Connolly K, McEniery C, Wilkinson I. Skin sodium and hypertension: a paradigm shift? Curr Hypertens Rep. 2018;20(11):94. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/s11906-018-0892-9. PubMed PMID: 30215153.
- 20. Dahlmann A, Dorfelt K, Eicher F, Linz P, Kopp C, Mossinger I, et al. Magnetic resonance-determined sodium removal from tissue stores in hemodialysis patients. Kidney Int. 2015;87(2):434–41. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2014.269. PubMed PMID: 25100048.
- 21. Salerno FR, Akbari A, Lemoine S, Filler G, Scholl TJ, McIntyre CW. Outcomes and predictors of skin sodium concentration

in dialysis patients. Clin Kidney J. 2022;15(6):1129–36. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfac021. PubMed PMID: 35664280.

- 22. Balafa O, Kalaitzidis RG. Salt sensitivity and hypertension. J Hum Hypertens. 2021;35(3):184-92. doi: http://doi. org/10.1038/s41371-020-00407-1. PubMed PMID: 32862203.
- 23. Kopp C, Linz P, Maier C, Wabel P, Hammon M, Nagel AM, et al. Elevated tissue sodium deposition in patients with type 2 diabetes on hemodialysis detected by (23)Na magnetic resonance imaging. Kidney Int. 2018;93(5):1191–7. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2017.11.021. PubMed PMID: 29455909.
- 24. Schneider MP, Raff U, Kopp C, Scheppach JB, Toncar S, Wanner C, et al. Skin Sodium Concentration Correlates with Left Ventricular Hypertrophy in CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2017;28(6):1867–76. doi: http://doi.org/10.1681/ ASN.2016060662. PubMed PMID: 28154199.
- 25. Nongnuch A, Campbell N, Stern E, El-Kateb S, Fuentes L, Davenport A. Increased postdialysis systolic blood pressure is associated with extracellular overhydration in hemodialysis outpatients. Kidney Int. 2015;87(2):452–7. doi: http://doi. org/10.1038/ki.2014.276. PubMed PMID: 25075771.
- 26. Koutroumbas G, Georgianos PI, Sarafidis PA, Protogerou A, Karpetas A, Vakianis P, et al. Ambulatory aortic blood pressure, wave reflections and pulse wave velocity are elevated during the third in comparison to the second interdialytic day of the long interval in chronic haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2015;30(12):2046–53. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ ndt/gfv090. PubMed PMID: 25920919.
- 27. Basile C, Pisano A, Lisi P, Rossi L, Lomonte C, Bolignano D. High versus low dialysate sodium concentration in chronic haemodialysis patients: a systematic review of 23 studies. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2016;31(4):548–63. doi: http://doi. org/10.1093/ndt/gfv084. PubMed PMID: 25843783.
- 28. Marshall MR, Vandal AC, de Zoysa JR, Gabriel RS, Haloob IA, Hood CJ, et al. Effect of low-sodium versus conventional sodium dialysate on left ventricular mass in home and self-care satellite facility hemodialysis patients: a randomized clinical trial. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2020;31(5):1078–91. doi: http://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2019090877. PubMed PMID: 32188697.
- Dunlop JL, Vandal AC, Marshall MR. Low dialysate sodium levels for chronic haemodialysis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019;1(1):CD011204. doi: http://doi.org/10.1002/14651858. CD011204.pub2. PubMed PMID: 30646428.
- 30. Radhakrishnan RC, Varughese S, Chandran A, Jacob S, David VG, Alexander S, et al. Effects of individualized dialysate sodium prescription in hemodialysis results from a prospective interventional trial. Indian J Nephrol. 2020;30(1):3–7. doi: http://doi.org/10.4103/ijn.IJN\_391\_18. PubMed PMID: 32015592.
- 31. Geng X, Song Y, Hou B, Ma Y, Wang Y. The efficacy and safety of low dialysate sodium levels for patients with maintenance haemodialysis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Surg. 2020;79:332–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijsu.2020.05.027. PubMed PMID: 32447003.
- 32. Santos SF, Peixoto AJ. Sodium balance in maintenance hemodialysis. Semin Dial. 2010;23(6):549–55. doi: http://doi. org/10.1111/j.1525-139X.2010.00794.x. PubMed PMID: 21175831.
- 33. Grekas D, Bamichas G, Bacharaki D, Goutzaridis N, Kasimatis E, Tourkantonis A. Hypertension in chronic hemodialysis patients: current view on pathophysiology and treatment. Clin Nephrol. 2000;53(3):164–8. PubMed PMID: 10749293.
- 34. Mitsides N, Pietribiasi M, Waniewski J, Brenchley P, Mitra S. Transcapillary refilling rate and its determinants during haemodialysis with standard and high ultrafiltration rates. Am J Nephrol. 2019;50(2):133–43. doi: http://doi. org/10.1159/000501407. PubMed PMID: 31288231.
- 35. Flythe JE, Kimmel SE, Brunelli SM. Rapid fluid removal during dialysis is associated with cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Kidney Int. 2011;79(2):250–7. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2010.383. PubMed PMID: 20927040.

- 36. Lee YJ, Okuda Y, Sy J, Lee YK, Obi Y, Cho S, et al. Ultrafiltration rate, residual kidney function, and survival among patients treated with reduced-frequency hemodialysis. Am J Kidney Dis. 2020;75(3):342–50. doi: http://doi. org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2019.08.019. PubMed PMID: 31813665.
- 37. Assimon MM, Wenger JB, Wang L, Flythe JE. Ultrafiltration rate and mortality in maintenance hemodialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis. 2016;68(6):911–22. doi: http://doi.org/10.1053/j. ajkd.2016.06.020. PubMed PMID: 27575009.
- 38. Movilli E, Gaggia P, Zubani R, Camerini C, Vizzardi V, Parrinello G, et al. Association between high ultrafiltration rates and mortality in uraemic patients on regular haemodialysis. A 5-year prospective observational multicentre study. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2007;22(12):3547–52. doi: http://doi. org/10.1093/ndt/gfm466. PubMed PMID: 17890254.
- 39. Saran R, Bragg-Gresham JL, Levin NW, Twardowski ZJ, Wizemann V, Saito A, et al. Longer treatment time and slower ultrafiltration in hemodialysis: associations with reduced mortality in the DOPPS. Kidney Int. 2006;69(7):1222–8. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ki.5000186. PubMed PMID: 16609686.
- 40. Lee MJ, Park JT, Park KS, Kwon YE, Oh HJ, Yoo TH, et al. Prognostic value of residual urine volume, GFR by 24-hour urine collection, and eGFR in patients receiving dialysis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2017;12(3):426–34. doi: http://doi. org/10.2215/CJN.05520516. PubMed PMID: 28228465.
- 41. Flythe JE, Assimon MM, Overman RA. Target weight achievement and ultrafiltration rate thresholds: potential patient implications. BMC Nephrol. 2017;18(1):185. doi: http://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-017-0595-5. PubMed PMID: 28578687.
- 42. Malik U, Raizada V. Some aspects of the renin-angiotensinsystem in hemodialysis patients. kidney blood press res. 2015;40(6):614–22. doi: http://doi.org/10.1159/000368537. PubMed PMID: 26618349.
- 43. Nielsen AH, Knudsen F, Kristensen SD. Serum angiotensinconverting enzyme increases during hemodialysis. Evidence for injury of the pulmonary vascular endothelium? Nephron J. 1985;40(1):100–3. doi: http://doi.org/10.1159/000183438. PubMed PMID: 2987714.
- 44. Koo WS, Lee YJ, Kim HS, Kim SY, Choi EJ, Chang YS, et al. Changes of plasma angiotensin-converting enzyme activity during hemodialysis. Korean J Intern Med (Korean Assoc Intern Med). 1987;2(1):62–5. doi: http://doi.org/10.3904/kjim.1987.2.1.62. PubMed PMID: 2856479.
- 45. Agarwal R, Lewis R, Davis JL, Becker B. Lisinopril therapy for hemodialysis hypertension: hemodynamic and endocrine responses. Am J Kidney Dis. 2001;38(6):1245–50. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1053/ajkd.2001.29221. PubMed PMID: 11728957.
- 46. Rocha R, Stier Jr CT. Pathophysiological effects of aldosterone in cardiovascular tissues. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2001;12(7):308–14. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/S1043-2760(01)00432-5. PubMed PMID: 11504670.
- 47. Matsumoto Y, Mori Y, Kageyama S, Arihara K, Sugiyama T, Ohmura H, et al. Spironolactone reduces cardiovascular and cerebrovascular morbidity and mortality in hemodialysis patients. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63(6):528–36. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2013.09.056. PubMed PMID: 24184249.
- 48. Sarafidis PA, Persu A, Agarwal R, Burnier M, de Leeuw P, Ferro C, et al. Hypertension in dialysis patients: a consensus document by the European Renal and Cardiovascular Medicine (EURECA-m) working group of the European Renal Association - European Dialysis and Transplant Association (ERA-EDTA) and the Hypertension and the Kidney working group of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH). J Hypertens. 2017;35(4):657–76. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/ HJH.000000000001283. PubMed PMID: 28157814.
- 49. Underwood CF, Hildreth CM, Wyse BF, Boyd R, Goodchild AK, Phillips JK. Uraemia: an unrecognized driver of central neurohumoral dysfunction in chronic kidney disease?

Acta Physiol (Oxf). 2017;219(1):305–23. doi: http://doi. org/10.1111/apha.12727. PubMed PMID: 27247097.

- 50. Abuyassin B, Sharma K, Ayas NT, Laher I. Obstructive sleep apnea and kidney disease: a potential bidirectional relationship? J Clin Sleep Med. 2015;11(8):915–24. doi: http:// doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.4946. PubMed PMID: 25845900.
- 51. Grassi G, Biffi A, Seravalle G, Bertoli S, Airoldi F, Corrao G, et al. Sympathetic nerve traffic overactivity in chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hypertens. 2021;39(3):408–16. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/ HJH.00000000002661. PubMed PMID: 33031182.
- 52. Malyszko J, Malyszko JS, Rysz J, Mysliwiec M, Tesar V, Levin-Iaina N, et al. Renalase, hypertension, and kidney the discussion continues. Angiology. 2013;64(3):181–7. doi: http://doi.org/10.1177/0003319712459212. PubMed PMID: 22969162.
- 53. US Renal Data System. USRDS 2006 Annual Data Report. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; 2006 Google Scholar.[A1] [RB2]. Disponível em: https://ghdx.healthdata. org/record/united-states-renal-data-system-annual-datareport-2006
- 54. Converse Jr RL, Jacobsen TN, Toto RD, Jost CM, Cosentino F, Fouad-Tarazi F, et al. Sympathetic overactivity in patients with chronic renal failure. N Engl J Med. 1992;327(27):1912–8. doi: http://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199212313272704. PubMed PMID: 1454086.
- 55. Ott C, Mahfoud F, Mancia G, Narkiewicz K, Ruilope LM, Fahy M, et al. Renal denervation in patients with versus without chronic kidney disease: results from the Global SYMPLICITY Registry with follow-up data of 3 years. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2022;37(2):304–10. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ ndt/gfab154. PubMed PMID: 34109413.
- 56. Papademetriou V, Doumas M, Anyfanti P, Faselis C, Kokkinos P, Tsioufis C. Renal nerve ablation for hypertensive patients with chronic kidney disease. Curr Vasc Pharmacol. 2014;12(1):47–54. doi: http://doi.org/10.2174/157016111131 19990143. PubMed PMID: 23905594.
- 57. Bakris GL, Hart P, Ritz E. Beta blockers in the management of chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int. 2006;70(11):1905–13. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ki.5001835. PubMed PMID: 17021610.
- Cice G, Ferrara L, D'Andrea A, D'Isa S, Di Benedetto A, Cittadini A, et al. Carvedilol increases two-year survivalin dialysis patients with dilated cardiomyopathy: a prospective, placebo-controlled trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003;41(9):1438– 44. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/S0735-1097(03)00241-9. PubMed PMID: 12742278.
- 59. Vaziri ND, Ni Z, Wang XQ, Oveisi F, Zhou XJ. Downregulation of nitric oxide synthase in chronic renal insufficiency: role of excess PTH. Am J Physiol. 1998;274(4):F642–9. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1152/ajprenal.1998.274.4.F642. PubMed PMID: 9575886.
- 60. Carmona-Martinez V, Ruiz-Alcaraz AJ, Vera M, Guirado A, Martinez-Esparza M, Garcia-Penarrubia P. Therapeutic potential of pteridine derivatives: a comprehensive review. Med Res Rev. 2019;39(2):461–516. doi: http://doi.org/10.1002/med.21529. PubMed PMID: 30341778.
- 61. Yokoyama K, Tajima M, Yoshida H, Nakayama M, Tokutome G, Sakagami H, et al. Plasma pteridine concentrations in patients with chronic renal failure. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2002;17(6):1032–6. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/17.6.1032. PubMed PMID: 12032193.
- 62. Schnackenberg CG. Oxygen radicals in cardiovascular-renal disease. Curr Opin Pharmacol. 2002;2(2):121–5. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/S1471-4892(02)00133-9. PubMed PMID: 11950621.
- 63. Vallance P, Leone A, Calver A, Collier J, Moncada S. Accumulation of an endogenous inhibitor of nitric oxide synthesis in chronic renal failure. Lancet. 1992;339(8793):572–

5. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(92)90865-Z. PubMed PMID: 1347093.

- 64. Böger RH, Zoccali C. ADMA: a novel risk factor that explains excess cardiovascular event rate in patients with end-stage renal disease. Atheroscler Suppl. 2003;4(4):23–8. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1016/S1567-5688(03)00030-8. PubMed PMID: 14664899.
- 65. Zoccali C, Bode-Boger S, Mallamaci F, Benedetto F, Tripepi G, Malatino L, et al. Plasma concentration of asymmetrical dimethylarginine and mortality in patients with end-stage renal disease: a prospective study. Lancet. 2001;358(9299):2113–7. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(01)07217-8. PubMed PMID: 11784625.
- 66. Shichiri M, Hirata Y, Ando K, Emori T, Ohta K, Kimoto S, et al. Plasma endothelin levels in hypertension and chronic renal failure. Hypertension. 1990;15(5):493–6. doi: http://doi. org/10.1161/01.HYP.15.5.493. PubMed PMID: 2185151.
- 67. Van Buren PN. Pathophysiology and implications of intradialytic hypertension. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. 2017;26(4):303–10. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/MNH.00000000000334. PubMed PMID: 28399019.
- 68. Cabrera-Fischer E, Zocalo Y, Wray S, Bia D. Arterial stiffness in haemodialyzed patients: findings and controversies. Curr Hypertens Rev. 2018;14(2):100–6. doi: http://doi.org/10.2174/ 1573402114666180413115431. PubMed PMID: 29651958.
- 69. Li X, Lindholm B. Cardiovascular Risk Prediction in Chronic Kidney Disease. Am J Nephrol. 2022;53(10):730–9. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1159/000528560. PubMed PMID: 36481730.
- 70. Nagarajarao HS, Musani SK, Cobb KE, Pollard JD, Cooper LL, Anugu A, et al. Kidney function and aortic stiffness, pulsatility, and endothelial function in African Americans: The Jackson Heart Study. Kidney Med. 2021;3(5):702–711 e1. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.xkme.2021.03.018. PubMed PMID: 34693252.
- 71. Kanbay M, Afsar B, Gusbeth-Tatomir P, Covic A. Arterial stiffness in dialysis patients: where are we now? Int Urol Nephrol. 2010;42(3):741–52. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/ s11255-009-9675-1. PubMed PMID: 19924558.
- 72. Laucyte-Cibulskiene A, Petraviciute M, Gudynaite M, Gumbys L, Valanciene D, Galiauskiene K, et al. Mismatch between stiffness in elastic and muscular arteries as a predictor of vascular calcification in dialysis patients. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2018;30(4):375–82. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-017-0787-7. PubMed PMID: 28660595.
- 73. Gu Y, Cheng LT, Chen HM, Sun XY, Tang LJ, Guo LJ, et al. Strong association between nutritional markers and arterial stiffness in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis patients. Blood Purif. 2008;26(4):340–6. doi: http://doi. org/10.1159/000132465. PubMed PMID: 18483453.
- 74. Soveri I, Lind L, Wikstrom B, Zilmer M, Zilmer K, Fellstrom B. Improvement in central arterial pressure waveform during hemodialysis is related to a reduction in asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) levels. Nephron Clin Pract. 2007;106(4):c180–6. doi: http://doi.org/10.1159/000104429. PubMed PMID: 17596727.
- 75. Gutiérrez OM, Mannstadt M, Isakova T, Rauh-Hain JA, Tamez H, Shah A, et al. Fibroblast growth factor 23 and mortality among patients undergoing hemodialysis. N Engl J Med. 2008;359(6):584–92. doi: http://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJM0a0706130. PubMed PMID: 18687639.
- 76. Turgut F, Kanbay M, Metin MR, Uz E, Akcay A, Covic A. Magnesium supplementation helps to improve carotid intima media thickness in patients on hemodialysis. Int Urol Nephrol. 2008;40(4):1075–82. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/s11255-008-9410-3. PubMed PMID: 18568412.
- 77. Cai MM, Smith ER, Holt SG. The role of fetuin-A in mineral trafficking and deposition. Bonekey Rep. 2015;4:672. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/bonekey.2015.39. PubMed PMID: 25987986.
- Lin CH, Lurie RC, Lyons OD. Sleep apnea and chronic kidney disease: a state-of-the-art review. Chest. 2020;157(3):673–85.

doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2019.09.004. PubMed PMID: 31542452.

- 79. Aziz F, Chaudhary K. The Triad of sleep apnea, hypertension, and chronic kidney disease: a spectrum of common pathology. Cardiorenal Med. 2016;7(1):74–82. doi: http://doi. org/10.1159/000450796. PubMed PMID: 27994605.
- 80. Nicholl DDM, Ahmed SB, Loewen AHS, Hemmelgarn BR, Sola DY, Beecroft JM, et al. Declining kidney function increases the prevalence of sleep apnea and nocturnal hypoxia. Chest. 2012;141(6):1422–30. doi: http://doi.org/10.1378/ chest.11-1809. PubMed PMID: 22222188.
- 81. Beecroft JM, Pierratos A, Hanly PJ. Clinical presentation of obstructive sleep apnea in patients with end-stage renal disease. J Clin Sleep Med. 2009;5(2):115–21. doi: http://doi. org/10.5664/jcsm.27438. PubMed PMID: 19968043.
- 82. Oscullo G, Gomez-Olivas JD, Martinez-Garcia MA. Refractory hypertension and obstructive sleep apnea: a novel relationship. Sleep Breath. 2023;27(6):2079-81. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/ s11325-023-02864-7. PubMed PMID: 37392325.
- 83. Agarwal R, Flynn J, Pogue V, Rahman M, Reisin E, Weir MR. Assessment and management of hypertension in patients on dialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014;25(8):1630–46. doi: http://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2013060601. PubMed PMID: 24700870.
- 84. Brar SK, Perveen S, Chaudhry MR, AlBabtain S, Amreen S, Khan S. Erythropoietin-induced hypertension: a review of pathogenesis, treatment, and role of blood viscosity. Cureus. 2021;13(1):e12804. doi: http://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.12804. PubMed PMID: 33628672.
- 85. Phillips LS, Branch Jr WT, Cook CB, Doyle JP, El-Kebbi IM, Gallina DL, et al. Clinical inertia. Ann Intern Med. 2001;135(9):825–34. doi: http://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-135-9-200111060-00012. PubMed PMID: 11694107.
- 86. Viera AJ, Schmid D, Bostrom S, Yow A, Lawrence W, DuBard CA. Level of blood pressure above goal and clinical inertia in a Medicaid population. J Am Soc Hypertens. 2010;4(5):244–54. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jash.2010.07.003. PubMed PMID: 20728422.
- 87. Desai N, Madhavankutty Saraswathy V, Hunter K, McFadden C. Prevalence of true therapeutic inertia in blood pressure control in an academic chronic kidney disease clinic. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2013;15(6):375–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/jch.12095. PubMed PMID: 23730985.
- 88. Pathak A, Poulter NR, Kavanagh M, Kreutz R, Burnier M. Improving the management of hypertension by tackling awareness, adherence, and clinical inertia: a symposium report. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs. 2022;22(3):251–61. doi: http://doi. org/10.1007/s40256-021-00505-6. PubMed PMID: 34751917.
- 89. Lebeau JP, Cadwallader JS, Vaillant-Roussel H, Pouchain D, Yaouanc V, Aubin-Auger I, et al. General practitioners' justifications for therapeutic inertia in cardiovascular prevention: an empirically grounded typology. BMJ Open. 2016;6(5):e010639. doi: http://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2015-010639. PubMed PMID: 27178974.
- 90. Clemens KK, Ouedraogo AM, Garg AX, Silver SA, Nash DM. Opportunities to improve diabetes care in the hemodialysis unit: a cohort study in Ontario, Canada. Kidney360 2021;2(4):653– 65. doi: http://doi.org/10.34067/KID.0007082020.
- 91. Hall RK, Morton S, Wilson J, Ephraim PL, Boulware LE, St Peter WL, et al. Risks associated with continuation of potentially inappropriate antihypertensive medications in older adults receiving hemodialysis. BMC Nephrol. 2021;22(1):232. doi: http://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-021-02438-3. PubMed PMID: 34147085.
- 92. Lüders S, Schrader J, Schmieder RE, Smolka W, Wegscheider K, Bestehorn K. Improvement of hypertension management by structured physician education and feedback system: cluster randomized trial. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2010;17(3):271–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/ HJR.0b013e328330be62. PubMed PMID: 19901841.

- 93. Kohok DD, Shah S, Kumar R, Venuto L, Gudleski G, Venuto R. Interventions to reduce clinical inertia in cardiac risk factor management in renal transplant recipients. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2014;16(2):127–32. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/ jch.12249. PubMed PMID: 24433451.
- 94. Vrijens B, De Geest S, Hughes DA, Przemysław K, Demonceau J, Ruppar T, et al. A new taxonomy for describing and defining adherence to medications. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2012;73(5):691–705. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2125.2012.04167.x. PubMed PMID: 22486599.
- 95. Ghimire S, Castelino RL, Lioufas NM, Peterson GM, Zaidi ST. Nonadherence to Medication Therapy in Haemodialysis Patients: A Systematic Review. PLoS One. 2015;10(12):e0144119. doi: http://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0144119. PubMed PMID: 26636968.
- 96. Murali KM, Mullan J, Roodenrys S, Hassan HC, Lambert K, Lonergan M. Strategies to improve dietary, fluid, dialysis or medication adherence in patients with end stage kidney disease on dialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized intervention trials. PLoS One. 2019;14(1):e0211479. doi: http://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0211479. PubMed PMID: 30695068.
- 97. da Silva GV, de Barros S, Abensur H, Ortega KC, Mion Jr D, Cochrane Renal Group Prospective Trial Register CRG. Home blood pressure monitoring in blood pressure control among haemodialysis patients: an open randomized clinical trial. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2009;24(12):3805–11. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfp332. PubMed PMID: 19586971.
- 98. Chang TI, Tatoian ET, Montez-Rath ME, Chertow GM. Timing of Antihypertensive medications on key outcomes in hemodialysis: a cluster randomized trial. Kidney360 2021;2(11):1752–60. doi: http://doi.org/10.34067/ KID.0001922021.
- 99. Bansal N, McCulloch CE, Rahman M, Kusek JW, Anderson AH, Xie D, et al. Blood pressure and risk of all-cause mortality in advanced chronic kidney disease and hemodialysis: the chronic renal insufficiency cohort study. Hypertension. 2015;65(1):93–100. doi: http://doi.org/10.1161/ HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.04334. PubMed PMID: 25287404.
- 100.Bansal N, McCulloch CE, Lin F, Alper A, Anderson AH, Cuevas M, et al. Blood pressure and risk of cardiovascular events in patients on chronic hemodialysis: the CRIC Study (Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort). Hypertension. 2017;70(2):435–43. doi: http://doi.org/10.1161/ HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.09091. PubMed PMID: 28674037.
- 101.Agarwal R, Peixoto AJ, Santos SF, Zoccali C. Pre- and postdialysis blood pressures are imprecise estimates of interdialytic ambulatory blood pressure. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2006;1(3):389–98. doi: http://doi.org/10.2215/ CJN.01891105. PubMed PMID: 17699236.
- 102. Wuerzner G, Pruijm M, Burnier M. Defining intradialytic hypertension: the importance of measuring blood pressure accurately. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2022;37(10):1783–5. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfac142. PubMed PMID: 35362528.
- 103. Ebrahimi H, Safavi M, Saeidi MH, Emamian MH. Effects of sodium concentration and dialysate temperature changes on blood pressure in hemodialysis patients: a randomized, triple-blind crossover clinical trial. Ther Apher Dial. 2017;21(2):117–25. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/1744-9987.12506. PubMed PMID: 28185407.
- 104.Alborzi P, Patel N, Agarwal R. Home blood pressures are of greater prognostic value than hemodialysis unit recordings. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2007;2(6):1228–34. doi: http://doi. org/10.2215/CJN.02250507. PubMed PMID: 17942773.
- 105. Agarwal R, Alborzi P, Satyan S, Light RP. Dry-weight reduction in hypertensive hemodialysis patients (DRIP): a randomized, controlled trial. Hypertension. 2009;53(3):500–7. doi: http://

doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.108.125674. PubMed PMID: 19153263.

- 106.Van Buren PN, Inrig JK. Special situations: intradialytic hypertension/chronic hypertension and intradialytic hypotension. Semin Dial. 2017;30(6):545–52. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/sdi.12631. PubMed PMID: 28666072.
- 107. Singh AT, Waikar SS, Mc Causland FR. Association of Different definitions of intradialytic hypertension with long-term mortality in hemodialysis. Hypertension. 2022;79(4):855–62. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.121.18058. PubMed PMID: 35166122.
- 108. Mattos MS, Lemes HP, Ferreira-Filho SR. Correlation between pre- and post-dialysis blood pressure levels in hemodialysis patients with intradialytic hypertension. Int Urol Nephrol. 2016;48(12):2095–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/s11255-016-1427-4. PubMed PMID: 27671906.
- 109. Chou KJ, Lee PT, Chen CL, Chiou CW, Hsu CY, Chung HM, et al. Physiological changes during hemodialysis in patients with intradialysis hypertension. Kidney Int. 2006;69(10):1833–8. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ki.5000266. PubMed PMID: 16691262.
- 110.Zilch O, Vos PF, Oey PL, Cramer MJ, Ligtenberg G, Koomans HA, et al. Sympathetic hyperactivity in haemodialysis patients is reduced by short daily haemodialysis. J Hypertens. 2007;25(6):1285–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/ HJH.0b013e3280f9df85. PubMed PMID: 17563543.
- 111.Prasad B, Hemmett J, Suri R. Five things to know about intradialytic hypertension. Can J Kidney Health Dis. 2022;9:20543581221106657. doi: http://doi. org/10.1177/20543581221106657. PubMed PMID: 35756329.
- 112.Parati G, Ochoa JE, Bilo G, Agarwal R, Covic A, Dekker FW, et al. Hypertension in Chronic Kidney Disease Part 2: Role of Ambulatory and Home Blood Pressure Monitoring for Assessing Alterations in Blood Pressure Variability and Blood Pressure Profiles. Hypertension. 2016;67(6):1102–10. doi: http://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.115.06896. PubMed PMID: 27141057.
- 113. Agarwal R. Pro: ambulatory blood pressure should be used in all patients on hemodialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2015;30(9):1432–7. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfv243. PubMed PMID: 26022728.
- 114.Parati G, Stergiou GS, Bilo G, Kollias A, Pengo M, Ochoa JE, et al. Home blood pressure monitoring: methodology, clinical relevance and practical application: a 2021 position paper by the Working Group on Blood Pressure Monitoring and Cardiovascular Variability of the European Society of Hypertension. J Hypertens. 2021;39(9):1742–67. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.00000000002922. PubMed PMID: 34269334.
- 115. Mayer CC, Schmaderer C, Loutradis C, Matschkal J, Theodorakopoulou M, Lorenz G, et al. Heart Failure and Atrial Fibrillation Modify the Associations of Nocturnal Blood Pressure Dipping Pattern With Mortality in Hemodialysis Patients. Hypertension. 2020;76(4):1231–9. doi: http://doi. org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.15420. PubMed PMID: 32862707.
- 116.Liu M, Takahashi H, Morita Y, Maruyama S, Mizuno M, Yuzawa Y, et al. Non-dipping is a potent predictor of cardiovascular mortality and is associated with autonomic dysfunction in haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2003;18(3):563–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/18.3.563. PubMed PMID: 12584280.
- 117.Mettang T, Kremer AE. Uremic pruritus. Kidney Int. 2015;87(4):685–91. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2013.454. PubMed PMID: 24402092.
- 118.Bansal N, Glidden DV, Mehrotra R, Townsend RR, Cohen J, Linke L, et al. Treating home versus predialysis blood pressure among in-center hemodialysis patients: a pilot randomized trial. Am J Kidney Dis. 2021;77(1):12–22. doi: http://doi. org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2020.06.014. PubMed PMID: 32800842.

- 119.Feitosa ADM, Barroso WKS, Mion Jr D, Nobre F, Mota-Gomes MA, Jardim PCBV, et al. Brazilian Guidelines for Inoffice and Out-of-office Blood Pressure Measurement -2023. Scielo Preprints. 2023. doi: http://doi.org/10.1590/ SciELOPreprints.7057.
- 120. Wang AY, Brimble KS, Brunier G, Holt SG, Jha V, Johnson DW, et al. ISPD Cardiovascular and Metabolic Guidelines in Adult Peritoneal Dialysis Patients Part I Assessment and Management of Various Cardiovascular Risk Factors. Perit Dial Int. 2015;35(4):379–87. doi: http://doi.org/10.3747/pdi.2014.00279. PubMed PMID: 26228782.
- 121. Agarwal R, Sinha AD, Light RP. Toward a definition of masked hypertension and white-coat hypertension among hemodialysis patients. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2011;6(8):2003–8. doi: http:// doi.org/10.2215/CJN.02700311. PubMed PMID: 21737856.
- 122. Minutolo R, Gabbai FB, Agarwal R, Chiodini P, Borrelli S, Bellizzi V, et al. Assessment of achieved clinic and ambulatory blood pressure recordings and outcomes during treatment in hypertensive patients with CKD: a multicenter prospective cohort study. Am J Kidney Dis. 2014;64(5):744–52. doi: http://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2014.06.014. PubMed PMID: 25082100.
- 123.Précoma DB, Oliveira GMM, Simão AF, Dutra OP, Coelho OR, Izar MCO, et al. Updated Cardiovascular Prevention Guideline of the Brazilian Society of Cardiology 2019. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2019;113(4):787–891. doi: http://doi. org/10.5935/abc.20190204. PubMed PMID: 31691761.
- 124. McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, Gardner RS, Baumbach A, Bohm M, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J. 2021;42(36):3599–726. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehab368. PubMed PMID: 34447992.
- 125.Heidenreich PA, Bozkurt B, Aguilar D, Allen LA, Byun JJ, Colvin MM, et al. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022;79(17):e263–421. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j. jacc.2021.12.012. PubMed PMID: 35379503.
- 126. Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D, McCulloch CE, Hsu CY. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. N Engl J Med. 2004;351(13):1296–305. doi: http://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa041031. PubMed PMID: 15385656.
- 127.Vallianou NG, Mitesh S, Gkogkou A, Geladari E. Chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular disease: is there any relationship? Curr Cardiol Rev. 2019;15(1):55–63. doi: http:// doi.org/10.2174/1573403X14666180711124825. PubMed PMID: 29992892.
- 128.D'Agostino Sr RB, Grundy S, Sullivan LM, Wilson P, CHD Risk Prediction Group. Validation of the Framingham coronary heart disease prediction scores: results of a multiple ethnic groups investigation. JAMA. 2001;286(2):180–7. doi: http://doi.org/10.1001/jama.286.2.180. PubMed PMID: 11448281.
- 129.Muntner P, Colantonio LD, Cushman M, Goff Jr DC, Howard G, Howard VJ, et al. Validation of the atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease Pooled Cohort risk equations. JAMA. 2014;311(14):1406–15. doi: http://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.2014.2630. PubMed PMID: 24682252.
- 130.Mora SCGM, Torres E, Verdalles U, Pérez de José A, Verde E, et al. Predicción del riesgo cardiovascular en pacientes con enfermedad renal crónica. Nefrologia. 2017;37(3):293–300. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2016.10.002.
- 131.Zhang W, Guan X, Jiao S, Wang G, Wang X. Development and validation of an artificial intelligence prediction model and a survival risk stratification for lung metastasis in colorectal cancer from highly imbalanced data: A multicenter retrospective study. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2023;49(12):107107. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2023.107107. PubMed PMID: 37883884.

- 132. Matsubara Y, Kimachi M, Fukuma S, Onishi Y, Fukuhara S. Development of a new risk model for predicting cardiovascular events among hemodialysis patients: population-based hemodialysis patients from the Japan Dialysis Outcome and Practice Patterns Study (J-DOPPS). PLoS One. 2017;12(3):e0173468. doi: http://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0173468. PubMed PMID: 28273175.
- 133.Floege J, Gillespie IA, Kronenberg F, Anker SD, Gioni I, Richards S, et al. Development and validation of a predictive mortality risk score from a European hemodialysis cohort. Kidney Int. 2015;87(5):996–1008. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/ ki.2014.419. PubMed PMID: 25651366.
- 134.Bozkurt B, Coats AJ, Tsutsui H, Abdelhamid M, Adamopoulos S, Albert N, et al. Universal Definition and Classification of Heart Failure: A Report of the Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology, Japanese Heart Failure Society and Writing Committee of the Universal Definition of Heart Failure. J Card Fail. 2021;27(4):387–413. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j. cardfail.2021.01.022. PubMed PMID: 33663906.
- 135.Gori M, Gupta DK, Claggett B, Selvin E, Folsom AR, Matsushita K, et al. Natriuretic Peptide and high-sensitivity troponin for cardiovascular risk prediction in diabetes: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. Diabetes Care. 2016;39(5):677–85. doi: http://doi.org/10.2337/dc15-1760. PubMed PMID: 26740635.
- 136. Wolsk E, Claggett B, Pfeffer MA, Diaz R, Dickstein K, Gerstein HC, et al. Role of B-Type Natriuretic Peptide and N-Terminal Prohormone BNP as predictors of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients with a recent coronary event and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6(6):e004743. doi: http://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.116.004743. PubMed PMID: 28554908.
- 137.Malachias MVB, Jhund PS, Claggett BL, Wijkman MO, Bentley-Lewis R, Chaturvedi N, et al. NT-proBNP by Itself Predicts Death and Cardiovascular Events in High-Risk Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. J Am Heart Assoc. 2020;9(19):e017462. doi: http://doi.org/10.1161/ JAHA.120.017462. PubMed PMID: 32964800.
- 138.Prausmuller S, Resl M, Arfsten H, Spinka G, Wurm R, Neuhold S, et al. Performance of the recommended ESC/ EASD cardiovascular risk stratification model in comparison to SCORE and NT-proBNP as a single biomarker for risk prediction in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2021;20(1):34. doi: http://doi.org/10.1186/s12933-021-01221-w. PubMed PMID: 33530999.
- 139. Wijkman MO, Claggett BL, Pfeffer MA, Pare G, McQueen M, Hess S, et al. NT-proBNP versus routine clinical risk factors as a predictor of cardiovascular events or death in people with dysglycemia - A brief report from the ORIGIN trial. J Diabetes Complications. 2021;35(7):107928. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2021.107928. PubMed PMID: 33906818.
- 140. Malachias MVB, Wijkman MO, Bertoluci MC. NT-proBNP as a predictor of death and cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetol Metab Syndr. 2022;14(1):64. doi: http://doi.org/10.1186/s13098-022-00837-6. PubMed PMID: 35501909.
- 141.Harrison TG, Shukalek CB, Hemmelgarn BR, Zarnke KB, Ronksley PE, Iragorri N, et al. Association of NT-proBNP and BNP with future clinical outcomes in patients with ESKD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Kidney Dis. 2020;76(2):233–47. doi: http://doi.org/10.1053/j. ajkd.2019.12.017. PubMed PMID: 32387090.
- 142. Wijkman MO, Claggett BL, Malachias MVB, Vaduganathan M, Ballantyne CM, Kitzman DW, et al. Importance of NT-proBNP and conventional risk factors for prediction of death in older adults with and without diabetes mellitus- A report from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2022;194:110164. doi: http://

doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2022.110164. PubMed PMID: 36410558.

- 143.Bidadkosh A, Lambooy SPH, Heerspink HJ, Pena MJ, Henning RH, Buikema H, et al. Predictive properties of biomarkers GDF-15, NTproBNP, and hs-TnT for morbidity and mortality in patients with Type 2 Diabetes With Nephropathy. Diabetes Care. 2017;40(6):784–92. doi: http://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-2175. PubMed PMID: 28341782.
- 144.McMurray JJ, Uno H, Jarolim P, Desai AS, de Zeeuw D, Eckardt KU, et al. Predictors of fatal and nonfatal cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, and anemia: an analysis of the Trial to Reduce cardiovascular Events with Aranesp (darbepoetinalfa) Therapy (TREAT). Am Heart J. 2011;162(4):748–755 e3. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2011.07.016. PubMed PMID: 21982669.
- 145.Locatelli F, Eckardt KU, Macdougall IC, Tsakiris D, Clyne N, Burger HU, et al. Value of N-terminal brain natriuretic peptide as a prognostic marker in patients with CKD: results from the CREATE study. Curr Med Res Opin. 2010;26(11):2543–52. doi: http://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2010.516237. PubMed PMID: 20849244.
- 146.Wang Y, Cao X, Yu J, Zhang Y, Li X, Chen X, et al. Association of N-Terminal Pro-brain natriuretic peptide with volume status and cardiac function in hemodialysis patients. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2021;8:646402. doi: http://doi. org/10.3389/fcvm.2021.646402. PubMed PMID: 33693039.
- 147.Satoh A, Doi S, Naito T, Nakashima A, Masaki T. N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide predicts both all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality in Japanese hemodialysis patients. Clin Exp Nephrol. 2021;25(10):1142–50. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/s10157-021-02073-0. PubMed PMID: 34106372.
- 148.Lee KH, Moon I, Oh YS, Yu BC, Park MY, Kim JK, et al. Prediction of heart function and volume status in end-stage kidney disease patients through N-Terminal Pro-Brain Natriuretic Peptide. Medicina (Kaunas). 2022;58(8):975. doi: http://doi.org/10.3390/medicina58080975. PubMed PMID: 35893090.
- 149.Booth J, Pinney J, Davenport A. N-terminal proBNP--marker of cardiac dysfunction, fluid overload, or malnutrition in hemodialysis patients? Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2010;5(6):1036– 40. doi: http://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.09001209. PubMed PMID: 20507952.
- 150. Yamaoka M, Yoshida M, Nakashima A, Doi S, Naito T, Masaki T. N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide predicts hospitalization for ischemic stroke in Japanese hemodialysis patients. Clin Exp Nephrol. 2022;26(11):1111–8. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1007/s10157-022-02254-5. PubMed PMID: 35838853.
- 151.Vickery S, Price CP, John RI, Abbas NA, Webb MC, Kempson ME, et al. B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) and amino-terminal proBNP in patients with CKD: relationship to renal function and left ventricular hypertrophy. Am J Kidney Dis. 2005;46(4):610–20. doi: http://doi.org/10.1053/j. ajkd.2005.06.017. PubMed PMID: 16183415.
- 152.Van Bortel LM, Laurent S, Boutouyrie P, Chowienczyk P, Cruickshank JK, De Backer T, et al. Expert consensus document on the measurement of aortic stiffness in daily practice using carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity. J Hypertens. 2012;30(3):445–8. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/ HJH.0b013e32834fa8b0. PubMed PMID: 22278144.
- 153.Brandão AA, Amodeo C, Alcantara C, Barbosa E, Nobre F, Pinto F, et al. I Luso-Brazilian Positioning on Central Arterial Pressure. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2017;108(2):100–8. doi: http://doi.org/10.5935/abc.20170011. PubMed PMID: 28327876.
- 154.Blacher J, Guerin AP, Pannier B, Marchais SJ, Safar ME, London GM. Impact of aortic stiffness on survival in endstage renal disease. Circulation. 1999;99(18):2434–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.99.18.2434. PubMed PMID: 10318666.

- 155.Ng XN, Tsai JP, Wang CH, Hsu BG. Carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity could be a marker to predict cardiovascular and all-cause mortality of hemodialysis patients. J Clin Med. 2023;12(7):2509. doi: http://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12072509. PubMed PMID: 37048592.
- 156. Onuigbo M, Onuigbo N, Bellasi A, Russo D, Di Iorio BR. Penultimate pulse wave velocity, better than baseline pulse wave velocity, predicted mortality in Italian ESRD cohort study - a case for daily hemodialysis for ESRD patients with accelerated pulse wave velocity changes. G Ital Nefrol. 2013;30(2):gin/30.2.22. PubMed PMID: 23832464.
- 157.Sarafidis PA, Loutradis C, Mayer CC, Karpetas A, Pagkopoulou E, Bikos A, et al. Weak within-individual association of blood pressure and pulse wave velocity in hemodialysis is related to adverse outcomes. J Hypertens. 2019;37(11):2200–8. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.000000000002153. PubMed PMID: 31584899.
- 158. Yimei XU; Hao YAN; Zanzhe YU; Zhenyuan LI; Dahua MA; Yiwei SHEN; Xinyu SU; Jiangzi YUAN; Zhaohui NI; Wei FANG. Chinese Journal of Nephrology; (12): 305–312, 2021. Disponível em: https://pesquisa.bvsalud.org/portal/resource/ pt/wpr-885497
- 159.Breitsameter G, Freitas AR, Poli-de-Figueiredo CE, Figueiredo AE. Clinical evaluation to determine dry weight in hemodialysis is as good as bioelectrical impedance analysis. J Nephrol Urol Res. 2015;3(2):33–41. doi: https://doi.org/10.12970/2310-984X.2015.03.02.3.
- 160.Mayne KJ, Lees JS, Herrington WG. Bioimpedance in CKD: an untapped resource? Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2023;38(3):583–5. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfac275. PubMed PMID: 36260361.
- 161.Scotland G, Cruickshank M, Jacobsen E, Cooper D, Fraser C, Shimonovich M, et al. Multiple-frequency bioimpedance devices for fluid management in people with chronic kidney disease receiving dialysis: a systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technol Assess. 2018;22(1):1–138. doi: http://doi.org/10.3310/hta22010. PubMed PMID: 29298736.
- 162. Tabinor M, Elphick E, Dudson M, Kwok CS, Lambie M, Davies SJ. Bioimpedance-defined overhydration predicts survival in end stage kidney failure (ESKF): systematic review and subgroup meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):4441. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21226-y. PubMed PMID: 29535377.
- 163.Zoccali C, Moissl U, Chazot C, Mallamaci F, Tripepi G, Arkossy O, et al. Chronic fluid overload and mortality in ESRD. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2017;28(8):2491-7. doi: http://doi. org/10.1681/ASN.2016121341. PubMed PMID: 28473637.
- 164.Covic A, Ciumanghel AI, Siriopol D, Kanbay M, Dumea R, Gavrilovici C, et al. Value of bioimpedance analysis estimated "dry weight" in maintenance dialysis patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int Urol Nephrol. 2017;49(12):2231–45. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/s11255-017-1698-4. PubMed PMID: 28965299.
- 165.Beaubien-Souligny W, Kontar L, Blum D, Bouchard J, Denault AY, Wald R. Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials using tool-assisted target weight adjustments in chronic dialysis patients. Kidney Int Rep. 2019;4(10):1426–34. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2019.07.003. PubMed PMID: 31701052.
- 166. Yang K, Pan S, Yang N, Wu J, Liu Y, He Q. Effect of bioelectrical impedance technology on the prognosis of dialysis patients: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Ren Fail. 2023;45(1):2203247. doi: http://doi.org/10.1080/08860 22X.2023.2203247. PubMed PMID: 37133857.
- 167.Horowitz L, Karadjian O, Braam B, Mavrakanas T, Weber C. Bioimpedance-guided monitoring of volume status in patients with kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Can J Kidney Health Dis. 2023;10:20543581231185433. doi: http://doi.org/10.1177/20543581231185433. PubMed PMID: 37457623.

- 168.Beaubien-Souligny W, Rola P, Haycock K, Bouchard J, Lamarche Y, Spiegel R, et al. Quantifying systemic congestion with Point-Of-Care ultrasound: development of the venous excess ultrasound grading system. Ultrasound J. 2020;12(1):16. doi: http://doi.org/10.1186/s13089-020-00163-w. PubMed PMID: 32270297.
- 169.Shu Y, Liu J, Zeng X, Hong HG, Li Y, Zhong H, et al. The effect of overhydration on mortality and technique failure among peritoneal dialysis patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Blood Purif. 2018;46(4):350–8. doi: http://doi.org/10.1159/000492148. PubMed PMID: 30189422.
- 170.Caron-Lienert RS, Poli-de-Figueiredo CE, Figueiredo A, da Costa BEP, Crepaldi C, Pizzato AC, et al. The influence of glucose exposure load and peritoneal membrane transport on body composition and nutritional status changes after 1 year on peritoneal dialysis. Perit Dial Int. 2017;37(4):458–63. doi: http://doi.org/10.3747/pdi.2016.00265. PubMed PMID: 28408713.
- 171.Galindo P, Gasca C, Argaiz ER, Koratala A. Point of care venous Doppler ultrasound: exploring the missing piece of bedside hemodynamic assessment. World J Crit Care Med. 2021;10(6):310–22. doi: http://doi.org/10.5492/wjccm.v10. i6.310. PubMed PMID: 34888157.
- 172.Zoccali C, Torino C, Mallamaci F, Sarafidis P, Papagianni A, Ekart R, et al. A randomized multicenter trial on a lung ultrasound-guided treatment strategy in patients on chronic hemodialysis with high cardiovascular risk. Kidney Int. 2021;100(6):1325–33. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j. kint.2021.07.024. PubMed PMID: 34418415.
- 173.Robinson BM, Tong L, Zhang J, Wolfe RA, Goodkin DA, Greenwood RN, et al. Blood pressure levels and mortality risk among hemodialysis patients in the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study. Kidney Int. 2012;82(5):570–80. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2012.136. PubMed PMID: 22718187.
- 174. Jhee JH, Park J, Kim H, Kee YK, Park JT, Han SH, et al. The optimal blood pressure target in different dialysis populations. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):14123. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-018-32281-w. PubMed PMID: 30237432.
- 175.Sarafidis PA, Mallamaci F, Loutradis C, Ekart R, Torino C, Karpetas A, et al. Prevalence and control of hypertension by 48-h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring in haemodialysis patients: a study by the European Cardiovascular and Renal Medicine (EURECA-m) working group of the ERA-EDTA. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2018;33(10):1872. doi: http://doi. org/10.1093/ndt/gfy263. PubMed PMID: 30113666.
- 176.Sumida K, Molnar MZ, Potukuchi PK, Thomas F, Lu JL, Ravel VA, et al. Blood pressure before initiation of maintenance dialysis and subsequent mortality. Am J Kidney Dis. 2017;70(2):207–17. doi: http://doi.org/10.1053/j. ajkd.2016.12.020. PubMed PMID: 28291617.
- 177.De Lima JJG, Gowdak LHW, Reusing Jr JO, David-Neto E, Bortolotto LA. Interdialytic blood pressure and risk of cardiovascular events and death in hemodialysis patients. High Blood Press Cardiovasc Prev. 2023;30(3):235–41. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/s40292-023-00575-4. PubMed PMID: 37099259.
- 178. Miskulin DC, Gassman J, Schrader R, Gul A, Jhamb M, Ploth DW, et al. BP in Dialysis: Results of a Pilot Study. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2018;29(1):307–16. doi: http://doi.org/10.1681/ ASN.2017020135. PubMed PMID: 29212839.
- 179.K/DOQI Workgroup. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for cardiovascular disease in dialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis. 2005;45(4, Suppl 3):S1–153. PubMed PMID: 15806502.
- 180.Shafi T, Waheed S, Zager PG. Hypertension in hemodialysis patients: an opinion-based update. Semin Dial. 2014;27(2):146–53. http://doi.org/10.1111/sdi.12195. PubMed PMID: 24494716.
- 181.Goldfarb-Rumyantzev AS, Baird BC, Leypoldt JK, Cheung AK. The association between BP and mortality in patients on chronic peritoneal dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant.

2005;20(8):1693-701. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/ gfh856. PubMed PMID: 15899939.

- 182.Ryuzaki M. Blood pressure control in peritoneal dialysis patients. Contrib Nephrol. 2018;196:148–54. doi: http://doi. org/10.1159/000485715. PubMed PMID: 30041220.
- 183.Vaios V, Georgianos PI, Liakopoulos V, Agarwal R. Assessment and management of hypertension among patients on peritoneal dialysis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2019;14(2):297– 305. doi: http://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.07480618. PubMed PMID: 30341090.
- 184.Georgianos PI, Agarwal R. Blood pressure in hemodialysis: targets? Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. 2017;26(6):523–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/MNH.00000000000359. PubMed PMID: 28832356.
- 185.McCallum W, Sarnak MJ. Blood pressure target for the dialysis patient. Semin Dial. 2019;32(1):35–40. doi: http://doi. org/10.1111/sdi.12754. PubMed PMID: 30422343.
- 186.National Kidney Foundation. KDOQI Clinical Practice Guideline for Hemodialysis Adequacy: 2015 update. Am J Kidney Dis. 2015;66(5):884–930. doi: http://doi. org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2015.07.015. PubMed PMID: 26498416.
- 187.Hirakata H, Nitta K, Inaba M, Shoji T, Fujii H, Kobayashi S, et al. Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy guidelines for management of cardiovascular diseases in patients on chronic hemodialysis. Ther Apher Dial. 2012;16(5):387–435. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-9987.2012.01088.x. PubMed PMID: 23046367.
- 188.Unger T, Borghi C, Charchar F, Khan NA, Poulter NR, Prabhakaran D, et al. 2020 International Society of Hypertension Global Hypertension Practice Guidelines. Hypertension. 2020;75(6):1334–57. doi: http://doi. org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.15026. PubMed PMID: 32370572.
- 189.Assimon MM, Wang L, Flythe JE. Failed target weight achievement associates with short-term hospital encounters among individuals receiving maintenance hemodialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2018;29(8):2178–88. doi: http://doi. org/10.1681/ASN.2018010004. PubMed PMID: 29793962.
- 190.Hecking M, Moissl U, Genser B, Rayner H, Dasgupta I, Stuard S, et al. Greater fluid overload and lower interdialytic weight gain are independently associated with mortality in a large international hemodialysis population. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2018;33(10):1832–42. doi: http://doi. org/10.1093/ndt/gfy083. PubMed PMID: 29688512.
- 191.Dasgupta I, Thomas GN, Clarke J, Sitch A, Martin J, Bieber B, et al. Associations between hemodialysis facility practices to manage fluid volume and intradialytic hypotension and patient outcomes. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2019;14(3):385–93. doi: http://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.08240718. PubMed PMID: 30723164.
- 192.Torino C, Gargani L, Sicari R, Letachowicz K, Ekart R, Fliser D, et al. The agreement between auscultation and lung ultrasound in hemodialysis patients: the LUST study. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2016;11(11):2005–11. doi: http://doi. org/10.2215/CJN.03890416. PubMed PMID: 27660305.
- 193.Rutkowski B, Tam P, van der Sande FM, Vychytil A, Schwenger V, Himmele R, et al. Low-Sodium versus standardsodium peritoneal dialysis solution in hypertensive patients: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Kidney Dis. 2016;67(5):753– 61. doi: http://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2015.07.031. PubMed PMID: 26388284.
- 194.Dunne N. A meta-analysis of sodium profiling techniques and the impact on intradialytic hypotension. Hemodial Int. 2017;21(3):312–22. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/hdi.12488. PubMed PMID: 27615278.
- 195.Nesrallah GE, Suri RS, Guyatt G, Mustafa RA, Walter SD, Lindsay RM, et al. Biofeedback dialysis for hypotension and hypervolemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2013;28(1):182–91. doi: http://doi. org/10.1093/ndt/gfs389. PubMed PMID: 23197678.

- 196.Flythe JE, Tugman MJ, Narendra JH, Assimon MM, Li Q, Wang Y, et al. Effect of ultrafiltration profiling on outcomes among maintenance hemodialysis patients: a pilot randomized crossover trial. J Nephrol. 2021;34(1):113–23. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1007/s40620-020-00862-6. PubMed PMID: 32975783.
- 197.Mustafa RA, Bdair F, Akl EA, Garg AX, Thiessen-Philbrook H, Salameh H, et al. Effect of Lowering the dialysate temperature in chronic hemodialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2016;11(3):442–57. doi: http://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.04580415. PubMed PMID: 26712807.
- 198.Htay H, Johnson DW, Wiggins KJ, Badve SV, Craig JC, Strippoli GF, et al. Biocompatible dialysis fluids for peritoneal dialysis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018;10(10):CD007554. doi: http://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007554.pub3. PubMed PMID: 30362116.
- 199. Tawada M, Hamada C, Suzuki Y, Sakata F, Sun T, Kinashi H, et al. Effects of long-term treatment with low-GDP, pHneutral solutions on peritoneal membranes in peritoneal dialysis patients. Clin Exp Nephrol. 2019;23(5):689–99. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/s10157-018-1679-7. PubMed PMID: 30547267.
- 200.Elphick EH, Teece L, Chess JA, Do JY, Kim YL, Lee HB, et al. Biocompatible Solutions and Long-Term Changes in Peritoneal Solute Transport. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2018;13(10):1526– 33. doi: http://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.02380218. PubMed PMID: 30171050.
- 201.Chan CT, Chertow GM, Daugirdas JT, Greene TH, Kotanko P, Larive B, et al. Effects of daily hemodialysis on heart rate variability: results from the Frequent Hemodialysis Network (FHN) Daily Trial. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2014;29(1):168–78. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gft212. PubMed PMID: 24078335.
- 202.Chan CT, Kaysen GA, Beck GJ, Li M, Lo JC, Rocco MV, et al. The effect of frequent hemodialysis on matrix metalloproteinases, their tissue inhibitors, and FGF23: implications for blood pressure and left ventricular mass modification in the Frequent Hemodialysis Network trials. Hemodial Int. 2020;24(2):162–74. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/hdi.12807. PubMed PMID: 31826326.
- 203.Kotanko P, Garg AX, Depner T, Pierratos A, Chan CT, Levin NW, et al. Effects of frequent hemodialysis on blood pressure: results from the randomized frequent hemodialysis network trials. Hemodial Int. 2015;19(3):386–401. doi: http://doi. org/10.1111/hdi.12255. PubMed PMID: 25560227.
- 204. Cherukuri S, Bajo M, Colussi G, Corciulo R, Fessi H, Ficheux M, et al. Home hemodialysis treatment and outcomes: retrospective analysis of the Knowledge to Improve Home Dialysis Network in Europe (KIHDNEy) cohort. BMC Nephrol. 2018;19(1):262. doi: http://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-018-1059-2. PubMed PMID: 30314451.
- 205.Mathew A, McLeggon JA, Mehta N, Leung S, Barta V, McGinn T, et al. Mortality and hospitalizations in intensive dialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Can J Kidney Health Dis. 2018;5:2054358117749531. doi: http://doi. org/10.1177/2054358117749531. PubMed PMID: 29348924.
- 206. Wong B, Collister D, Muneer M, Storie D, Courtney M, Lloyd A, et al. In-center nocturnal hemodialysis versus conventional hemodialysis: a systematic review of the evidence. Am J Kidney Dis. 2017;70(2):218–34. doi: http://doi.org/10.1053/j. ajkd.2017.01.047. PubMed PMID: 28359656.
- 207.Culleton BF, Walsh M, Klarenbach SW, Mortis G, Scott-Douglas N, Quinn RR, et al. Effect of frequent nocturnal hemodialysis vs conventional hemodialysis on left ventricular mass and quality of life: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2007;298(11):1291–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.298.11.1291. PubMed PMID: 17878421.
- 208.Blankestijn PJ, Vernooij RWM, Hockham C, Strippoli GFM, Canaud B, Hegbrant J, et al. Effect of hemodiafiltration or hemodialysis on mortality in kidney failure. N Engl

J Med. 2023;389(8):700–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJM0a2304820. PubMed PMID: 37326323.

- 209.Rootjes PA, de Roij van Zuijdewijn CLM, Grooteman MPC, Bots ML, Canaud B, Blankestijn PJ, et al. Long-term peridialytic blood pressure patterns in patients treated by hemodialysis and hemodiafiltration. Kidney Int Rep. 2020;5(4):503–10. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2020.01.007. PubMed PMID: 32274454.
- 210. Nistor I, Palmer SC, Craig JC, Saglimbene V, Vecchio M, Covic A, et al. Convective versus diffusive dialysis therapies for chronic kidney failure: an updated systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Am J Kidney Dis. 2014;63(6):954–67. doi: http://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2013.12.004. PubMed PMID: 24434188.
- 211.Koda Y, Aoike I. Prevention of Intradialytic hypotension with intermittent back-filtrate infusion haemodiafiltration: insights into the underlying mechanism. Blood Purif. 2019;48(Suppl 1):1–6. doi: http://doi.org/10.1159/000503878. PubMed PMID: 31751990.
- 212.Kayikcioglu M, Tumuklu M, Ozkahya M, Ozdogan O, Asci G, Duman S, et al. The benefit of salt restriction in the treatment of end-stage renal disease by haemodialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2009;24(3):956–62. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ ndt/gfn599. PubMed PMID: 19004849.
- 213.Cole NI, Swift PA, He FJ, MacGregor GA, Suckling RJ. The effect of dietary salt on blood pressure in individuals receiving chronic dialysis: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomised controlled trials. J Hum Hypertens. 2019;33(4):319–26. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/s41371-018-0131-5. PubMed PMID: 30413764.
- 214.Mc Causland FR, Waikar SS, Brunelli SM. Increased dietary sodium is independently associated with greater mortality among prevalent hemodialysis patients. Kidney Int. 2012;82(2):204–11. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2012.42. PubMed PMID: 22418981.
- 215.Davenport A. Interdialytic weight gain in diabetic haemodialysis patients and diabetic control as assessed by glycated haemoglobin. Nephron Clin Pract. 2009;113(1):c33–7. doi: http://doi.org/10.1159/000228073. PubMed PMID: 19590233.
- 216.Bacharaki D, Petrakis I, Kyriazis P, Markaki A, Pleros C, Tsirpanlis G, et al. Adherence to the Mediterranean Diet Is Associated with a More Favorable Left Ventricular Geometry in Patients with End-Stage Kidney Disease. J Clin Med. 2022;11(19):5746. doi: http://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11195746. PubMed PMID: 36233612.
- 217. Charkviani M, Thongprayoon C, Tangpanithandee S, Krisanapan P, Miao J, Mao MA, et al. Effects of mediterranean diet, DASH diet, and plant-based diet on outcomes among end stage kidney disease patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Pract. 2022;13(1):41–51. doi: http://doi. org/10.3390/clinpract13010004. PubMed PMID: 36648844.
- 218. Marx W, Kelly J, Marshall S, Nakos S, Campbell K, Itsiopoulos C. The effect of polyphenol-rich interventions on cardiovascular risk factors in haemodialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutrients. 2017;9(12):1345. doi: http://doi.org/10.3390/ nu9121345. PubMed PMID: 29232891.
- 219. Young HML, March DS, Graham-Brown MPM, Jones AW, Curtis F, Grantham CS, et al. Effects of intradialytic cycling exercise on exercise capacity, quality of life, physical function and cardiovascular measures in adult haemodialysis patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2018;33(8):1436–45. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfy045. PubMed PMID: 29608708.
- 220.Ferrari F, Andrade FP, Teixeira MS, Ziegelmann PK, Carvalho G, Bittencourt ESS, et al. Efficacy of six exercise-based interventions for individuals undergoing hemodialysis: a network meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2023;38(10):2389–406. doi: http://doi. org/10.1093/ndt/gfad083. PubMed PMID: 37118876.

- 221.Battaglia Y, Amicone M, Mantovani A, Combe C, Mitra S, Basile C. Home-based exercise in patients on maintenance dialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2023;38(11):2550– 61. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfad102. PubMed PMID: 37202219.
- 222.Leimig MBC, Lira RT, Peres FB, Ferreira AGC, Falbo AR. Qualidade de vida, espiritualidade, religiosidade e esperança em pessoas com doença renal crônica em hemodiálise. Rev Soc Bras Clin Med. 2018;1(16):30–6.
- 223.Burlacu A, Artene B, Nistor I, Buju S, Jugrin D, Mavrichi I, et al. Religiosity, spirituality and quality of life of dialysis patients: a systematic review. Int Urol Nephrol. 2019;51(5):839–50. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/s11255-019-02129-x. PubMed PMID: 30919258.
- 224.Patel SS, Shah VS, Peterson RA, Kimmel PL. Psychosocial variables, quality of life, and religious beliefs in ESRD patients treated with hemodialysis. Am J Kidney Dis. 2002;40(5):1013–22. doi: http://doi.org/10.1053/ajkd.2002.36336. PubMed PMID: 12407647.
- 225.Berman E, Merz JF, Rudnick M, Snyder RW, Rogers KK, Lee J, et al. Religiosity in a hemodialysis population and its relationship to satisfaction with medical care, satisfaction with life, and adherence. Am J Kidney Dis. 2004;44(3):488–97. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6386(04)00818-2. PubMed PMID: 15332222.
- 226.Santos PR, Capote Jr JRFG, Cavalcante Fo JRM, Ferreira TP, Dos Santos Fo JNG, da Silva Oliveira S. Religious coping methods predict depression and quality of life among endstage renal disease patients undergoing hemodialysis: a cross-sectional study. BMC Nephrol. 2017;18(1):197. doi: http://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-017-0619-1. PubMed PMID: 28623903.
- 227.Agarwal R. Epidemiology of interdialytic ambulatory hypertension and the role of volume excess. Am J Nephrol. 2011;34(4):381–90. doi: http://doi.org/10.1159/000331067. PubMed PMID: 21893975.
- 228. Agarwal R, Sinha AD. Cardiovascular protection with antihypertensive drugs in dialysis patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. Hypertension. 2009;53(5):860–6. doi: http://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.108.128116. PubMed PMID: 19273737.
- 229. Heerspink HJ, Ninomiya T, Zoungas S, de Zeeuw D, Grobbee DE, Jardine MJ, et al. Effect of lowering blood pressure on cardiovascular events and mortality in patients on dialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Lancet. 2009;373(9668):1009–15. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60212-9. PubMed PMID: 19249092.
- 230.Trinh E, Bargman JM. Are diuretics underutilized in dialysis patients? Semin Dial. 2016;29(5):338–41. doi: http://doi. org/10.1111/sdi.12483. PubMed PMID: 27060970.
- 231.Tang X, Chen L, Chen W, Li P, Zhang L, Fu P. Effects of diuretics on intradialytic hypotension in maintenance dialysis patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int Urol Nephrol. 2021;53(9):1911–21. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/ s11255-021-02805-x. PubMed PMID: 33675484.
- 232.Sibbel S, Walker AG, Colson C, Tentori F, Brunelli SM, Flythe J. Association of continuation of loop diuretics at hemodialysis initiation with clinical outcomes. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2019;14(1):95–102. doi: http://doi.org/10.2215/ CJN.05080418. PubMed PMID: 30567905.
- 233.Bragg-Gresham JL, Fissell RB, Mason NA, Bailie GR, Gillespie BW, Wizemann V, et al. Diuretic use, residual renal function, and mortality among hemodialysis patients in the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Pattern Study (DOPPS). Am J Kidney Dis. 2007;49(3):426–31. doi: http://doi.org/10.1053/j. ajkd.2006.12.012. PubMed PMID: 17336704.
- 234.Witoon R, Yongsiri S, Buranaburidej P, Nanna P. Efficacy of triple diuretic treatment in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis patients: a randomized controlled trial. Kidney Res

Clin Pract. 2019;38(1):108–15. doi: http://doi.org/10.23876/j. krcp.18.0115. PubMed PMID: 30798586.

- 235.Shaman AM, Smyth B, Arnott C, Palmer SC, Mihailidou AS, Jardine MJ, et al. Comparative efficacy and safety of bp-lowering pharmacotherapy in patients undergoing maintenance dialysis: a network meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2020;15(8):1129–38. doi: http://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.12201019. PubMed PMID: 32675281.
- 236. Cice G, Di Benedetto A, D'Isa S, D'Andrea A, Marcelli D, Gatti E, et al. Effects of telmisartan added to Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors on mortality and morbidity in hemodialysis patients with chronic heart failure a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;56(21):1701–8. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2010.03.105. PubMed PMID: 21070920.
- 237.Liu Y, Ma X, Zheng J, Jia J, Yan T. Effects of angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers on cardiovascular events and residual renal function in dialysis patients: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMC Nephrol. 2017;18(1):206. doi: http://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-017-0605-7. PubMed PMID: 28666408.
- 238.Shen JI, Saxena AB, Montez-Rath ME, Chang TI, Winkelmayer WC. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker use and cardiovascular outcomes in patients initiating peritoneal dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2017;32(5):862–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfw053. PubMed PMID: 27190342.
- 239.Moist LM, Port FK, Orzol SM, Young EW, Ostbye T, Wolfe RA, et al. Predictors of loss of residual renal function among new dialysis patients. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2000;11(3):556–64. doi: http://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.V113556. PubMed PMID: 10703680.
- 240.Ding L, Yang J, Li L, Yang Y. Effects of ACEIs and ARBs on the residual renal function in peritoneal dialysis patients: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. BioMed Res Int. 2020;2020:6762029. doi: http://doi. org/10.1155/2020/6762029. PubMed PMID: 33029520.
- 241.Trošt Rupnik A, Pajek J, Guček A, Osredkar J, Kovač D, Bren A, et al. Influence of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone systemblocking drugs on peritoneal membrane in peritoneal dialysis patients. Ther Apher Dial. 2013;17(4):425–30. doi: http://doi. org/10.1111/1744-9987.12091. PubMed PMID: 23931884.
- 242. Jing S, Kezhou Y, Hong Z, Qun W, Rong W. Effect of reninangiotensin system inhibitors on prevention of peritoneal fibrosis in peritoneal dialysis patients. Nephrology (Carlton). 2010;15(1):27–32. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1797.2009.01162.x. PubMed PMID: 20377768.
- 243.Knoll GA, Sahgal A, Nair RC, Graham J, van Walraven C, Burns KD. Renin-angiotensin system blockade and the risk of hyperkalemia in chronic hemodialysis patients. Am J Med. 2002;112(2):110–4. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9343(01)01068-3. PubMed PMID: 11835948.
- 244.Lin HH, Yang YF, Chang JK, Ting IW, Kuo HL, Wang IK, et al. Renin-angiotensin system blockade is not associated with hyperkalemia in chronic hemodialysis patients. Ren Fail. 2009;31(10):942–5. doi: http://doi.org/10.3109/08860220903216147.PubMed PMID: 20030530.
- 245.Ribeiro SC, Figueiredo AE, Barretti P, Pecoits-Filho R, de Moraes TP, BRAZPD Investigators. Impact of reninangiotensin aldosterone system inhibition on serum potassium levels among peritoneal dialysis patients. Am J Nephrol. 2017;46(2):150–5. doi: http://doi.org/10.1159/000479011. PubMed PMID: 28738355.
- 246. Agarwal R, Sinha AD, Pappas MK, Abraham TN, Tegegne GG. Hypertension in hemodialysis patients treated with atenolol or lisinopril: a randomized controlled trial. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2014;29(3):672–81. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ ndt/gft515. PubMed PMID: 24398888.
- 247.Tieu A, Velenosi TJ, Kucey AS, Weir MA, Urquhart BL. β-blocker dialyzability in maintenance hemodialysis

patients: a randomized clinical trial. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2018;13(4):604–11. doi: http://doi.org/10.2215/ CJN.07470717. PubMed PMID: 29519953.

- 248.Assimon MM, Brookhart MA, Fine JP, Heiss G, Layton JB, Flythe JE. A comparative study of carvedilol versus metoprolol initiation and 1-year mortality among individuals receiving maintenance hemodialysis. Am J Kidney Dis. 2018;72(3):337– 48. doi: http://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2018.02.350. PubMed PMID: 29653770.
- 249.Wu PH, Lin YT, Liu JS, Tsai YC, Kuo MC, Chiu YW, et al. Comparative effectiveness of bisoprolol and carvedilol among patients receiving maintenance hemodialysis. Clin Kidney J. 2021;14(3):983–90. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfaa248. PubMed PMID: 33779636.
- 250. Yeh TH, Tu KC, Hung KC, Chuang MH, Chen JY. Impact of type of dialyzable beta-blockers on subsequent risk of mortality in patients receiving dialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2022;17(12):e0279680. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0279680. PubMed PMID: 36584227.
- 251.Tang CH, Wang CC, Chen TH, Hong CY, Sue YM. Prognostic benefits of carvedilol, bisoprolol, and metoprolol controlled release/extended release in hemodialysis patients with heart failure: a 10-year cohort. J Am Heart Assoc. 2016;5(1):e002584. doi: http://doi.org/10.1161/ JAHA.115.002584. PubMed PMID: 26738790.
- 252. Tepel M, Hopfenmueller W, Scholze A, Maier A, Zidek W. Effect of amlodipine on cardiovascular events in hypertensive haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2008;23(11):3605–12. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfn304. PubMed PMID: 18511605.
- 253.London GM, Marchais SJ, Guerin AP, Metivier F, Safar ME, Fabiani F, et al. Salt and water retention and calcium blockade in uremia. Circulation. 1990;82(1):105–13. doi: http://doi. org/10.1161/01.CIR.82.1.105. PubMed PMID: 2364508.
- 254.Mugendi GA, Mutua FM, Natale P, Esterhuizen TM, Strippoli GF. Calcium channel blockers for people with chronic kidney disease requiring dialysis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2020;10(10):CD011064. doi: http://doi. org/10.1002/14651858.CD011064.pub2. PubMed PMID: 33000470.
- 255.Chen KT, Kang YN, Lin YC, Tsai IL, Chang WC, Fang TC, et al. Efficacy and safety of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists in kidney failure patients treated with dialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2021;16(6):916–25. doi: http://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.15841020. PubMed PMID: 34117083.
- 256. Quach K, Lvtvyn L, Baigent C, Bueti J, Garg AX, Hawley C, et al. The safety and efficacy of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists in patients who require dialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Kidney Dis. 2016;68(4):591–8. doi: http://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2016.04.011. PubMed PMID: 27265777.
- 257.Derk G, Barton A, An R, Fang HY, Ashrafi SA, Wilund K. The safety and efficacy of clonidine in hemodialysis patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pharmacology. 2022;107(11–12):545–55. doi: http://doi.org/10.1159/000525424. PubMed PMID: 36075189.
- 258.Mavrakanas TA, Soomro QH, Charytan DM. Hydralazineisosorbide dinitrate use in patients with end-stage kidney disease on dialysis. Kidney Int Rep. 2022;7(6):1332–40. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2022.03.032. PubMed PMID: 35685328.
- 259. Charytan DM, Hsu JY, Mc Causland FR, Waikar SS, Ikizler TA, Raj DS, et al. Combination Hydralazine and Isosorbide Dinitrate in Dialysis-Dependent ESRD (HIDE): a randomized, placebo-controlled, pilot trial. Kidney360. 2020;1(12):1380–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.34067/KID.0004342020.
- 260.St Peter WL, Sozio SM, Shafi T, Ephraim PL, Luly J, McDermott A, et al. Patterns in blood pressure medication use in US incident dialysis patients over the first 6 months. BMC

Nephrol. 2013;14(1):249. doi: http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2369-14-249. PubMed PMID: 24219348.

- 261. Moura AF, Rodrigues CIS, Almeida FA. Anti-hipertensivos orais: uma revisão prática. In: Moura AF & Rodrigues CIS. Hipertensão Arterial: manual prático: uso diário ambulatorial e hospitalar. 1a edição, Piracicaba, SP: Balieiro, 2022, p. 128–62.
- 262.Alkhunaizi A, Melamed N, Hladunewich MA. Pregnancy in advanced chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. 2015;24(3):252–9. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1097/MNH.00000000000119. PubMed PMID: 26066474.
- 263.Hladunewich MA, Melamed N, Bramham K. Pregnancy across the spectrum of chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int. 2016;89(5):995–1007. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j. kint.2015.12.050. PubMed PMID: 27083278.
- 264.Baouche H, Jais JP, Meriem S, Kareche M, Moranne O, Vigneau C, et al. Pregnancy in women on chronic dialysis in the last decade (2010–2020): a systematic review. Clin Kidney J. 2023;16(1):138–50. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfac204. PubMed PMID: 36726433.
- 265.Hui D, Hladunewich MA. Chronic kidney disease and pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol. 2019;133(6):1182–94. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1097/AOG.00000000003256. PubMed PMID: 31135733.
- 266.Luders C, Titan SM, Kahhale S, Francisco RP, Zugaib M. Risk Factors for adverse fetal outcome in hemodialysis pregnant women. Kidney Int Rep. 2018;3(5):1077–88. doi: http://doi. org/10.1016/j.ekir.2018.04.013. PubMed PMID: 30197974.
- 267. Magee LA, von Dadelszen P, Rey E, Ross S, Asztalos E, Murphy KE, et al. Less-tight versus tight control of hypertension in pregnancy. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(5):407–17. doi: http://doi. org/10.1056/NEJMoa1404595. PubMed PMID: 25629739.
- 268. Shahir AK, Briggs N, Katsoulis J, Levidiotis V. An observational outcomes study from 1966–2008, examining pregnancy and neonatal outcomes from dialysed women using data from the ANZDATA Registry. Nephrology (Carlton). 2013;18(4):276– 84. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/nep.12044. PubMed PMID: 23441694.
- 269.Piccoli GB, Conijn A, Consiglio V, Vasario E, Attini R, Deagostini MC, et al. Pregnancy in dialysis patients: is the evidence strong enough to lead us to change our counseling policy? Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2010;5(1):62–71. doi: http:// doi.org/10.2215/CJN.05660809. PubMed PMID: 19965547.
- 270.Piccoli GB, Minelli F, Versino E, Cabiddu G, Attini R, Vigotti FN, et al. Pregnancy in dialysis patients in the new millennium: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis correlating dialysis schedules and pregnancy outcomes. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2016;31(11):1915–34. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfv395. PubMed PMID: 26614270.
- 271.Carey RM, Calhoun DA, Bakris GL, Brook RD, Daugherty SL, Dennison-Himmelfarb CR, et al. Resistant hypertension: detection, evaluation, and management: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Hypertension. 2018;72(5):e53–90. doi: http://doi.org/10.1161/HYP.00000000000084. PubMed PMID: 30354828.
- 272. Acelajado MC, Pisoni R, Dudenbostel T, Dell'Italia LJ, Cartmill F, Zhang B, et al. Refractory hypertension: definition, prevalence, and patient characteristics. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2012;14(1):7–12. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1751-7176.2011.00556.x. PubMed PMID: 22235818.
- 273.Yugar-Toledo JC, Moreno Jr H, Gus M, Rosito GBA, Scala LCN, Muxfeldt ES, et al. Brazilian Position Statement on Resistant Hypertension - 2020. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2020;114(3):576–96. doi: http://doi.org/10.36660/ abc.20200198. PubMed PMID: 32267335.
- 274. Georgianos PI, Agarwal R. Systolic and diastolic hypertension among patients on hemodialysis: musings on volume overload, arterial stiffness, and erythropoietin. Semin Dial. 2019;32(6):507–12. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/sdi.12837. PubMed PMID: 31463996.

- 275. Wang B, Wang GH, Ding XX, Tang HX, Zheng J, Liu BC, et al. Effects of Sacubitril/Valsartan on resistant hypertension and myocardial work in hemodialysis patients. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2022;24(3):300–8. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/ jch.14422. PubMed PMID: 35099841.
- 276.Liu J, Jia W, Yu C. Safety and efficacy of spironolactone in dialysis-dependent patients: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Front Med (Lausanne). 2022;9:828189. doi: http://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.828189. PubMed PMID: 35372414.
- 277.Mundt HM, Matenaer M, Lammert A, Gottmann U, Kramer BK, Birck R, et al. Minoxidil for treatment of resistant hypertension in chronic kidney disease--a retrospective cohort analysis. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2016;18(11):1162– 7. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/jch.12847. PubMed PMID: 27246772.
- 278.Scalise F, Sole A, Singh G, Sorropago A, Sorropago G, Ballabeni C, et al. Renal denervation in patients with endstage renal disease and resistant hypertension on long-term haemodialysis. J Hypertens. 2020;38(5):936–42. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1097/HJH.00000000002358. PubMed PMID: 31990900.
- 279.Symonides B, Lewandowski J, Malyszko J. Resistant hypertension in dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2023;38(9):1952–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfad047. PubMed PMID: 36898677.
- 280.Zoccali C, Tripepi R, Torino C, Tripepi G, Mallamaci F. Moderator's view: ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and home blood pressure for the prognosis, diagnosis and treatment of hypertension in dialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2015;30(9):1443–8. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ ndt/gfv241. PubMed PMID: 26022727.
- 281.London GM. Arterial stiffness in chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease. Blood Purif. 2018;45(1-3):154-8. doi: http://doi.org/10.1159/000485146. PubMed PMID: 29478047.
- 282.Lobo MD, Sobotka PA, Stanton A, Cockcroft JR, Sulke N, Dolan E, et al. Central arteriovenous anastomosis for the treatment of patients with uncontrolled hypertension (the ROX CONTROL HTN study): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2015;385(9978):1634–41. http://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(14)62053-5. PubMed PMID: 25620016.
- 283.Scholz SS, Vukadinovic D, Lauder L, Ewen S, Ukena C, Townsend RR, et al. Effects of arteriovenous fistula on blood pressure in patients with end-stage renal disease: a systematic meta-analysis. J Am Heart Assoc. 2019;8(4):e011183. doi: http://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.118.011183. PubMed PMID: 30764686.
- 284.Kurita N, Mise N, Tanaka S, Tanaka M, Sai K, Nishi T, et al. Arteriovenous access closure in hemodialysis patients with refractory heart failure: a single center experience. Ther Apher Dial. 2011;15(2):195–202. doi: http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-9987.2010.00907.x. PubMed PMID: 21426513.
- 285.van Duijnhoven EC, Cheriex EC, Tordoir JH, Kooman JP, van Hooff JP. Effect of closure of the arteriovenous fistula on left ventricular dimensions in renal transplant patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2001;16(2):368–72. doi: http://doi. org/10.1093/ndt/16.2.368. PubMed PMID: 11158414.
- 286.Vaes RH, Tordoir JH, Scheltinga MR. Systemic effects of a high-flow arteriovenous fistula for hemodialysis. J Vasc Access 2014;15(3):163–8. doi: http://doi.org/10.5301/jva.5000196.
- 287.Aitken E, Kerr D, Geddes C, Berry C, Kingsmore D. Cardiovascular changes occurring with occlusion of a mature arteriovenous fistula. J Vasc Access. 2015;16(6):459–66. doi: http://doi.org/10.5301/jva.5000336.
- 288.Reddy YNV, Obokata M, Dean PG, Melenovsky V, Nath KA, Borlaug BA. Long-term cardiovascular changes following creation of arteriovenous fistula in patients with end stage renal disease. Eur Heart J. 2017;38(24):1913–23. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehx045. PubMed PMID: 28329100.

- 289. Chu G, Price E, Paech GM, Choi P, McDonald VM. Sleep apnea in maintenance hemodialysis: a mixed-methods study. Kidney Med. 2020;2(4):388–97. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j. xkme.2020.02.006. PubMed PMID: 32775978.
- 290.Huang ST, Lin CL, Yu TM, Kao CH, Liang WM, Chou TC. Risk, severity, and predictors of obstructive sleep apnea in hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis patients. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(11):2377. doi: http://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph15112377. PubMed PMID: 30373203.
- 291.Xie X, Lv D, Zheng H, Zhang X, Han F, Chen J. The associations of blood pressure parameters with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in peritoneal dialysis patients: a cohort study in China. J Hypertens. 2020;38(11):2252–60. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.00000000002526. PubMed PMID: 32618891.
- 292. Agarwal R. Blood pressure and mortality among hemodialysis patients. Hypertension. 2010;55(3):762–8. doi: http://doi. org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.109.144899. PubMed PMID: 20083728.
- 293.Sarafidis PA, Loutradis C, Karpetas A, Tzanis G, Piperidou A, Koutroumpas G, et al. Ambulatory pulse wave velocity is a stronger predictor of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality than office and ambulatory blood pressure in hemodialysis patients. Hypertension. 2017;70(1):148–57. doi: http://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.09023. PubMed PMID: 28483919.
- 294.Georgianos PI, Vaios V, Zebekakis PE, Liakopoulos V. The relation of clinic and ambulatory bp with the risk of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality among patients on peritoneal dialysis. J Clin Med. 2021;10(11):2232. doi: http://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10112232. PubMed PMID: 34063995.
- 295. Mayer CC, Matschkal J, Sarafidis PA, Hagmair S, Lorenz G, Angermann S, et al. Association of ambulatory blood pressure with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in hemodialysis patients: effects of heart failure and atrial fibrillation. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2018;29(9):2409–17. doi: http://doi.org/10.1681/ ASN.2018010086. PubMed PMID: 30045925.
- 296. Charytan D. Is left ventricular hypertrophy a modifiable risk factor in end-stage renal disease. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. 2014;23(6):578–85. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/ MNH.000000000000067. PubMed PMID: 25295959.
- 297.Zhao X, Zhu L, Jin W, Yang B, Wang Y, Ni M, et al. Echocardiographic left ventricular hypertrophy and geometry in Chinese chronic hemodialysis patients: the prevalence and determinants. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2022;22(1):55. doi: http://doi.org/10.1186/s12872-022-02506-y. PubMed PMID: 35172749.
- 298. McCullough PA, Chan CT, Weinhandl ED, Burkart JM, Bakris GL. Intensive hemodialysis, left ventricular hypertrophy, and cardiovascular disease. Am J Kidney Dis 2016;68(5 Suppl 1):S5–14. doi: http://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2016.05.025.
- 299. Yang LY, Ge X, Wang YL, Ma KL, Liu H, Zhang XL, et al. Angiotensin receptor blockers reduce left ventricular hypertrophy in dialysis patients: a meta-analysis. Am J Med Sci. 2013;345(1):1–9. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/ MAJ.0b013e318249d387. PubMed PMID: 23018492.
- 300.Hammer F, Malzahn U, Donhauser J, Betz C, Schneider MP, Grupp C, et al. A randomized controlled trial of the effect of spironolactone on left ventricular mass in hemodialysis patients. Kidney Int. 2019;95(4):983–91. doi: http://doi. org/10.1016/j.kint.2018.11.025. PubMed PMID: 30712923.
- 301.Zimmerman D, Sood MM, Rigatto C, Holden RM, Hiremath S, Clase CM. Systematic review and metaanalysis of incidence, prevalence and outcomes of atrial fibrillation in patients on dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2012;27(10):3816–22. doi: http://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/ gfs416. PubMed PMID: 23114904.
- 302. Winkelmayer WC, Patrick AR, Liu J, Brookhart MA, Setoguchi S. The increasing prevalence of atrial fibrillation among hemodialysis patients. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2011;22(2):

349–57. doi: http://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2010050459. PubMed PMID: 21233416.

- 303. Wizemann V, Tong L, Satayathum S, Disney A, Akiba T, Fissell RB, et al. Atrial fibrillation in hemodialysis patients: clinical features and associations with anticoagulant therapy. Kidney Int. 2010;77(12):1098–106. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/ ki.2009.477. PubMed PMID: 20054291.
- 304.Wetmore JB, Mahnken JD, Rigler SK, Ellerbeck EF, Mukhopadhyay P, Spertus JA, et al. The prevalence of and factors associated with chronic atrial fibrillation in Medicare/Medicaid-eligible dialysis patients. Kidney Int. 2012;81(5):469–76. doi: http://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2011.416. PubMed PMID: 22189842.
- 305.Chen J, Mohler 3 rd ER, Xie D, Shlipak MG, Townsend RR, Appel LJ, et al. Risk factors for peripheral arterial disease among patients with chronic kidney disease. Am J Cardiol. 2012;110(1):136–41. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j. amjcard.2012.02.061. PubMed PMID: 22465315.
- 306.Rajagopalan S, Dellegrottaglie S, Furniss AL, Gillespie BW, Satayathum S, Lameire N, et al. Peripheral arterial

disease in patients with end-stage renal disease: observations from the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS). Circulation. 2006;114(18):1914–22. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.607390. PubMed PMID: 17060384.

- 307.Fang LJ, Dong L, Li YF, Wei WB. Retinal vein occlusion and chronic kidney disease: a meta-analysis. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2021;31(4):1945–52. doi: http://doi. org/10.1177/1120672120937669. PubMed PMID: 32578456.
- 308. Chang YS, Weng SF, Chang C, Wang JJ, Tseng SH, Wang JY, et al. Risk of retinal vein occlusion following end-stage renal disease. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016;95(16):e3474. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000003474. PubMed PMID: 27100450.
- 309. Chang YS, Weng SF, Chang C, Wang JJ, Tseng SH, Ko SY, et al. Risk of retinal artery occlusion in patients with end-stage renal disease: a retrospective large-scale cohort study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016;95(14):e3281. doi: http://doi.org/10.1097/ MD.000000000003281. PubMed PMID: 27057891.